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## Guidelines and suggestions (from R. Bailey and F.zimmermann)

■ What do we need to measure?
$\square$ Apertures across individual IRs and exploration of local aperture bottlenecks $\longrightarrow$ mostly covered by previous LHCCWG presentation

- Expected aperture bottlenecks, identification and correction
$\square$ Setting of tertiary collimators $\longrightarrow$ covered by collimation team
$\square$ Commissioning of separation bumps and eventually crossing angle
- Description of crossing schemes (separation bumps, crossing angles)
- Experimental magnet effects and correction

■ Available facilities needed
$\square$ Orbit correctors (calibration)
$\square$ Diagnostics (BPMs, BLMs, BCTs)
$\square$ Application software
■ Measurement and correction methods
$\square$ Crossing scheme commissioning procedure

- Beam conditions
- Orbit measurement resolution and correction accuracy
- Who will do it and how long will it take?


## LHC experimental IRs



# Layout of IR1 and IR5 



- Identical layouts and optics in both IRs.
$\square$ Exceptions: Crossing scheme, tunnel slope, beam screen orientation $\qquad$ different cryostats
■ Super-conducting low beta triplets (Q1-Q3)
■ One warm, 6-module (D1-MBXW) and one cold single-module (D2-MBRC) separation-recombination dipole
■ 4 matching quads (Q4-Q7) + 4 dispersion suppressor quads (Q8-Q11)
■ Experimental solenoids in both ATLAS and CMS
■ 2 absorbers in front of triplet (TAS) and D2 (TAN)
■ Mirror symmetry around IP apart Q8-Q10 ( 0.5 m closer to IP on right side)


## 



■ Includes injection elements for beam 1 (left side) and heavy ion experiment ALICE
■ Super-conducting in low beta triplets (Q1-Q3), and dispersion suppressor quads (Q8Q11), as in IR1/5
■ Two cold single-module separation-recombination dipoles (D1-MBX, D2-MBRC)
■ Four 2-module matching quads (Q4-Q7)

- Experimental dipole (MBAW) with 3 warm compensator magnets and solenoid
- Absorber TDI and TCDD for injection failure protection in front of D1L

■ Injection septum MSI between Q5L-Q6L and injection kicker MKI between Q5L-Q4L
■ Mirror symmetry around IP apart Q8-Q10 ( 0.5 m closer to IP on right side)

## Layout of IR8



■ Includes injection elements for beam 2 (right side) and LHCb experiment
■ Super-conducting quads and separation-recombination dipoles, as in IR2
■ Experimental dipole (MBLW) with 3 warm compensator magnets

- Absorber TDI and TCDD for injection failure protection in front of D1R

■ Injection septum MSI between Q5R-Q6R and injection kicker MKI between Q5R-Q4R
■ IP8 shift of 11.25 m implies non-symmetric magnet layout in matching section (apart from Q8-Q10 which are also 0.5 m closer to IP as for other IRs)



## IR1/5 injection optics

(S. Fartoukh, LTC 31/03/04)

- $\beta^{*}=17 \mathrm{~m}$
- $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{x}}, \mu_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=2 \pi(2.618,2.644)$
- $\beta_{\text {max }} \sim 300 \mathrm{~m}$ around Q5 (MQML)
- $D_{\text {max }} \sim 2.1 \mathrm{~m}$ around Q 10 (MQML)
- Small vertical dispersion due to vertical separation bump (IR5) or crossing angle (IR1)
- Matching uses all quads from Q11L-Q11R and the MQT12-13


- Horizontal/Vertical parallel separation bump $\pm 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (13.7б) in IR1/5
- Vertical/Horizontal crossing angle of $\pm 160 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ in IR1/5 to reduce long range beam beam encounters
$\square$ Not needed for 43 bunches operation (stage I), but experience should be gained early enough
- The sign of the vertical crossing angle (IR1) and separation bump (IR5) are arbitrary
- The sign of horizontal crossing angle (beam 1 in IR5) and separation bump (beam2 in IR1) must be positive, due to ring geometry


## Steerers for crossing scheme in IR1/5

| Separation bump |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IR1 |  | IR5 |  |
| Beam 1 | Beam 2 | Beam 1 | Beam 2 |
| MCBCH.6L1 | MCBCH.5L1 | MCBCV.5L5 | MCBCV.6L5 |
| MCBYH.B4L1 | MCBYH.4L1 | MCBYV.4L5 | MCBYV.B4L5 |
| MCBYH.A4L1 | MCBXA.3L1 | MCBXA.3L5 | MCBYV.A4L5 |
| MCBXA.3L1 | MCBX.2L1 | MCBX.2L5 | MCBXA.3L5 |
| MCBX.2L1 | MCBX.1L1 | MCBX.1L5 | MCBX.2L5 |
| MCBX.1L1 | MCBX.1R1 | MCBX.1R5 | MCBX.1L5 |
| MCBX.1R1 | MCBX.2R1 | MCBX.2R5 | MCBX.1R5 |
| MCBX.2R1 | MCBXA.3R1 | MCBXA.3R5 | MCBX.2R5 |
| MCBXA.3R1 | MCBYH.A4R1 | MCBYV.A4R5 | MCBXA.3R5 |
| MCBYH.4R1 | MCBYH.B4R1 | MCBYV.B4R5 | MCBYV.4R5 |
| MCBCH.5R1 | MCBCH.6R1 | MCBCV.6R5 | MCBCV.5R5 |


| CrOSSing angle |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IR1 |  | IR5 |  |
| Beam 1 | Beam 2 | Beam 1 | Beam 2 |
| MCBCV.5L1 | MCBCV.6L1 | MCBCV.5L5 | MCBCV.6L5 |
| MCBYV.4L1 | MCBYV.B4L1 | MCBYV.4L5 | MCBYV.B4L5 |
| MCBXA.3L1 | MCBYV.A4L1 | MCBXA.3L5 | MCBYV.A4L5 |
| MCBX.2L1 | MCBXA.3L1 | MCBX.2L5 | MCBXA.3L5 |
| MCBX.1L1 | MCBX.2L1 | MCBX.1L5 | MCBX.2L5 |
| MCBX.1R1 | MCBX.1L1 | MCBX.1R5 | MCBX.1L5 |
| MCBX.2R1 | MCBX.1R1 | MCBX.2R5 | MCBX.1R5 |
| MCBXA.3R1 | MCBX.2R1 | MCBXA.3R5 | MCBX.2R5 |
| MCBYV.A4R1 | MCBXA.3R1 | MCBYV.A4R5 | MCBXA.3R5 |
| MCBYV.B4R1 | MCBYV.4R1 | MCBYV.B4R5 | MCBYV.4R5 |
| MCBCV.6R1 | MCBCV.5R1 | MCBCV.6R5 | MCBCV.5R5 |

- $11+11$ steerers per beam and IR
$\square$ MCBX. 2 and MCBX. 3 are used for orbit correction, the rest for the crossing scheme
■ Same steerers used per beam and IR but different purpose (separation - crossing)
- Both signs of the vertical separation bump (IR5) and crossing angle (IR1) should be commissioned
- Calibration of all these elements with beam is necessary


## IR2 Injection optics <br> (O. Brüning et al. LHC Project rep 367)


$\square \beta^{*}=10 \mathrm{~m}$, vertical crossing angle of $\pm 150 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ and horizontal parallel separation of $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\square$ External angle of $\pm 80 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ for reducing the long range beam-beam effect
$\square$ Internal angle of $\pm 70 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ for compensating spectrometer orbit distortion


Beam 2

$\square$ Horizontal separation positive for Beam 1 and negative for Beam 2
$\underset{\text { 20/09/2006 }}{\square}$ Angle sign can be chosen arbitrarily (following spectrometer polarity)

## IR8 Iniection optics


s(m) [*10** (3)]


Momentum offset $=0.00 \%$

 spectrometer orbit distortion
$\square$ Horizontal crossing angle always negative for Beam 1 and positive for Beam 2
$\square$ Vertical separation sign can be chosen arbitrarily


## IR1/5 aperture

- A few locations are below the specification for QF of $7 \sigma$
■ Note that for QD the spec is $6.7 \sigma$
- Magnets are shifted when installed in order to increase acceptance
- Alignment data can be included in model
M. Giovannozzi, AB/ABP

| IR1/5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Beam 1 | Beam 2 |
| MQ.11L | MQ.11L |
| MQTLI.11L | MB.A11L |
| MS.11L | MQML.10L |
| MCBV.11L | MB.B10L |
| MQML.5R | MQML.5L |
| MQML.10R | MQML.10R |
| MB.A11R | MB.A11R |
|  | MQ.11R |
|  | MQTLI.11R |
|  | MS.11R |
|  | MCBV.11R |





## IR Beam Position Monitors

■ $12+12$ beam position monitors in either side of the IRs
$\square 5+5$ standard 24mm buttons (BPM), near Q7-Q11
$\square 2+2$ for magnets with vertical beam screen (BPMR), near Q5-Q6
$\square 1+1$ enlarged aperture (34mm) buttons with horizont al beam screen (BPMYA), near Q4
$1+1$ enlarged (34mm) warm buttons (BPMWB), near D2$1+1$ directional stripline couplers ( 120 mm ) for DFBX (BPMSY)
$\square 1+1$ directional stripline couplers ( 120 mm ) for Q2 (BPMS)
$\square 1+1$ directional stripline couplers (120mm) for Q1 (BPMSW)
■ PerformanceRange of operation: $\pm 6 \mathrm{~mm}$Non-linearity: $\pm 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$Resolution:
■ Pilot ( $5 \times 10^{9}$ ): $130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (single), $9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (average/224 turns)
■ Nominal - ultimate (1-1.7×1011) $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (single), $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (average/224 turns)

B. Dehning AB/BI, L. Ponce, AB/OP

| Location | IC | SEM | Patch | Location | IC | SEM | Patch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAS.1L1 | 1 | 1 | BJBAP.A1L1 | TAS.1R1 | 1 | 1 | BJBAP.A1R1 |
| BPMSW.1L1 | 1 | 1 |  | BPMSW.1R1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| MQXA.1L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B1L1 | MQXA.1R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B1R1 |
| MQXB.A2L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A2L1 | MQXB.A2R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A2R1 |
| MQXA.3L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A3L1 | MQXA.3R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A3R1 |
| TAN.4L1 | 1 | 1 | BJBAP.A4L1 | TAN.4R1 | 1 | 1 | BJBAP.A4R1 |
| TCTV.4L1.B1 | 1 | 1 |  | TCTV.4R1.B2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| TCTH.4L1.B1 | 1 | 1 |  | TCTH.4R1.B2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| TCL.4L1.B2 | 1 | 1 |  | TCLP.4R1.B1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| MQY.4L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B4L1 | MQY.4R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B4R1 |
| TCL.5L1.B2 | 1 | 1 | BJBAP.A5L1 | TCL.5R1.B1 | 1 | 1 | BJBAP.A5R1 |
| MQML.5L1 | 6 |  |  | MQML.5R1 | 6 |  |  |
| MQML.6L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A6L1 | MQML.6R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A6R1 |
| XRP.A7L1 | 2 |  | BJBAP.A7L1 | XRP.A7R1 | 2 |  | BJBAP.A7R1 |
| XRP.B7L1 | 2 |  |  | XRP.B7R1 | 2 |  |  |
| MQM.A7L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B7L1 | MQM.A7R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B7R1 |
| MBA.8L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A8L1 | MBA.8R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A8R1 |
| MQML.8L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B8L1 | MQML.8R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B8R1 |
| MQM.9L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A9L1 | MQM.9R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A9R1 |
| MBA.10L1 | 2 |  | BJBAP.A10L1 | MBA.10R1 | 2 |  | BJBAP.A10R1 |
| MQML. 10L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B10L1 | MQML. 10R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B10R1 |
| MBA.11L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A11L1 | MBA.11R1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.A11R1 |
| MQ.11L1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B11L1 | MQ. 11 R 1 | 6 |  | BJBAP.B11R1 |

## IR Beam loss monitors

- 6 ionisation chambers (IS) per cryostat, 2 IS close to roman pots
- Secondary emission monitors added in special locations (collimators, injection kickers,...)
- Dynamic range @ 450 GeV

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~ms} \text { (BLMA) } \\ & 0.1 \mathrm{~ms} \text { (BLMS) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 s |  | 10s |  | 100s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MIN | MAX | MIN | MAX | MIN | MAX | MIN | MAX |
| 450 GeV | $\begin{gathered} 6 \times 10^{10} \\ \text { (C) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \times 10^{12} \\ (\mathrm{~A}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \times 10^{9} \\ \text { (D) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \times 10^{5} \\ (\mathrm{E}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \times 10^{9} \\ \text { (B) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \times 10^{5} \\ (\mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |  |

B.Jeanneret, H. Burkhardt, EDMS no. 328146


- Pilot bunch losses can be detected for relatively long integration times $\sim 1$ sec
- Intermediated bunches of $3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{p}$ can be detected in $\sim 10-100 \mathrm{msec}$
- For fast response ( $<2.5 \mathrm{~ms}$ ), more than $6 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{p}$ needed


## Beam current transformers

- DC beam transformers (BCTDC)
$\square 2$ instruments per ring, for redundancy, located in IR4
$\square$ Resolution of $\sim 10 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ when integrating over 20 ms , equivalent to $1.2 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{p}$, or loss rate of $6 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{ms} \longrightarrow$ just capable of detecting fast nominal bunch losses but with slow response time (40ms)
$\square 20 \%$ resolution for pilot bunches
$\longrightarrow$ not sufficient
■ The alternative are fast BCT
$\square 2$ transformers per ring, for redundancy, located on either side of IR4
$\square$ Capable of resolving bunch by bunch current variation
$\square 5-10 \%$ measurement precision for the pilot bunch (1\% for averaging over 20 ms )

| Measurem ent Mode | Beam type | Accuracy/ <br> Resolution | Fast BCT <br> (BCTFR) | DC BCT (BCTDC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Injection | Pilot bunch | $\pm 20 \% / \pm 20 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 10^{9} \\ & \text { (OK) } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
|  | Nominal bunch | $\pm 3 \% / \pm 1 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm 3 \cdot 10^{9} / \pm 10^{9} \\ (\text { OK }) \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Circulating } \\ & \text { Beam } \\ & \text { (>200 turns) } \end{aligned}$ | Pilot bunch | $\pm 10 \% / \pm 10 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm 0.5 \cdot 10^{9} \\ (\mathrm{OK}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mu \mathrm{~A}(\text { on } 10 \mu \mathrm{~A}) \\ \text { (resolution } \sim 2-10 \mu \mathrm{~A}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Nominal bunch | $\pm 1 \% / \pm 1 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 10^{9} \\ & \text { (OK) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mu \mathrm{~A}(\text { on } 180 \mu \mathrm{~A}) \\ \text { (limit for short int time) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 43 pilot bunches | $\pm 1 \% / \pm 1 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 10^{9} \\ & \text { (OK) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mu \mathrm{~A}(\text { on } 390 \mu \mathrm{~A}) \\ \text { (limit for short int time) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Lifetime | Pilot bunch | 10\% (10hrs/1min) | (OK) | N/A |
|  | Nominal bunch | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ (30 \mathrm{hrs} / 10 \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | (OK) | N/A |$1 \%$ precision for nominal bunch

## R.Jones, LHCCWG 25/04/06

## Machine conditions and requirements

■ Well corrected and stable closed orbit
■ Measured, stable and possibly well corrected linear optics
■ Measured optics model (response matrix analysis)
$\square$ Well calibrated machine elements (especially steerers, tune/aperture kicker)
■ Stable injected beams with reproducible emittance
■ Beam of around $10^{10} \mathrm{p}$ (or below the quench limit)
■ Calibrated, corrected and well-synchronized BPMs, with turn-by-turn acquisition available
■ Calibrated BLMs
■ Wire scanners and IPMs for profile measurements
■ Cross-calibrated fast BCTs and DC BCTs
■ Application software for control and acquisition of beam positions (TBT, COD modes), losses, profiles, current and lifetime
■ Application software for (sliding) bumps (YASP) and kicker control, synchronized with current/lifetime and beam loss measurements

## IR aperture measurements

- Purpose: find and correct major aperture bottlenecks in view of intensity/energy ramping (S. Redaelli, LHCCWG 26/07/06)
- For the IRs, important to identify particular limitations that may become critical at top energy, including crossing scheme and squeeze (triplets)
- Measurement methods
$\square$ Two steerer orbit oscillation (global aperture)
$\square$ Local or sliding closed bumps across IR
$\square$ Note that available aperture (measured in $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ ) by the model uses specific tolerances for the orbit distortion, optics beating, alignment and mechanical tolerances
$\square$ Data from magnet evaluation activity to machine operation database
$\square$ The comparison with the measured available aperture will depend on the knowledge of these quantities
■ Knowledge of the triplet aperture can be used for the set-up of the tertiary
201092008


## Orbit oscillation

■ Create orbit oscillation by two orthogonal correctors ( $90^{\circ}$ apart)
■ Beam has to be centered for each corrector
■ Observe beam loss and correlate it with current drop
■ Need calibrated steerers and knowledge of the optics at these locations

TI8 line aperture measurements, by
B.Goddard, V. Kain, J.Wenninger and R. Schmid, EPAC 2005

## Local and sliding bumps

- Scan over the amplitude of a closed bump for a specific location, until a beam loss occurs
$\square$ YASP has the ability of choosing the location of the bump and than calculate the corresponding corrector currents
$\square$ Some cross-calibration of steerers or optics should be possible
■ Slide the bumps along all elements of the IR to establish a complete aperture map
- A lot of refills may be needed and procedure may be quite lengthy



## Separation bumps

■ Ideally should follow the aperture measurements for each beam in all IRs, but already experience may be gained during the 450 GeV collisions' run
■ Need a good knowledge of the orbit (work with difference orbits)
■ Step by step increase of the bump amplitude until nominal (pilot beam)
■ At each step measure bump closure (effect in the orbit)

- When bump is vertical (IR5, IR8) repeat procedure for opposite sign and keep the optimal one
- Measure optics (especially dispersion) and aperture with separated beam
- Check validity of the bump with other beam and then inject both beams for final optimisation



## ALICE dipole magnet and its compensators



■ 3m-long spectrometer dipole (MBAW) @ 10 m to the right of the IP
■ Vertical deflection with nominal integrated field of 3Tm (deflection of $130 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ @ 7 TeV )
■ The resulting orbit deflection is compensated by three dipole magnets
$\square$ Two 1.5m-long magnets of type MBXWT @ 20m left and right of the IP
$\square$ One 2.6m-long magnet of type MBWMD @ 10 m to the left of the IP

- Two Beam Position Monitors (BPMWS) are located upstream and downstream of the two MBXWT to monitor the internal bump closure


## LHCb dipole magnet



■ 1.9m-long spectrometer dipole (MBLW) @ 4.9m to the right of the IP
■ Horizontal deflection with nominal integrated field of 4.2Tm (deflection of $180 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ @ 7 TeV )
■ The resulting orbit deflection is compensated by three dipole magnets
$\square$ Two 0.8 m -long magnets of type MBXWS @ 20m left and right of the IP
$\square$ One 3.4 m -long magnet of type MBXWH @ 5 m to the left of the IP
■ Two Beam Position Monitors (BPMWS) are located upstream and downstream of the two MBXWS to monitor the internal bump closure

## Internal crossing angle in IR2 and IR8

■ Can be established independently of the separation bump (in opposite plane, with dedicated compensators)
$\square$ Need a good knowledge of the orbit as before (work with difference orbits)
■ Use pilot bunches and always check induced beam losses
■ Step by step increase of the experimental dipoles' strength, measurement of the orbit deflection in nearby BPM and correction with the compensators, until reaching nominal
■ Repeat procedure for opposite polarity of the experimental magnets
■ Add beam separation and measure optics and aperture
■ Check the bump settings for the other beam and then inject both beams for final optimisation

- Collapse the separation bump and measure luminosity

■ Measure the aperture when dipoles pushed at their collision strength


## Nominal injection aperture in IR8

| Equipment | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{1}}$ <br> $[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{1}}$ <br> $[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ <br> $[\%]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPMSW.1L8 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| MBXWS.1L8 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| MBXWH.1L8 | 34 | 0.012 | 45 |
| IP8 | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| MBLW.1R8 | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |
| MBXWS.1R8 | 16 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0}$ | 40 |
| BPMSW.1R8 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |



■ Differences with respect to IP2 on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ compensator (smaller $\beta$ ) and spectrometer (smaller $\beta$ and aperture)
■ Aperture varies for less than $3 \sigma$ between the scheme with only internal $\underline{\underline{b}}$ and full crossing scheme

- Around $50-60 \%$ of the available aperture is lost for all compensators and $40 \%$ for the spectrometer



## Internal crossing bump of IR8 with collision

 strength for the spectrometer dipole $\cdots$ sem 1 nom

■ Internal crossing angle of $\pm 2100 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ in the horizontal plane!
■ Deflection of $\pm 0.010 \mathrm{~m}$ at MBXWH, corresponding to $29 \sigma$, as compared to $0.0006 \mathrm{~m}(2 \sigma)$ of the nominal bump

## Aperture in IR8 with full spectrometer dipole strength

■ When crossing angle is added beam excursion of 0.011 m (33б) at MBXWH, as compared to $0.0004 \mathrm{~m}(6 \sigma)$ for the nominal scheme
■ When polarity and external crossing angle sign are mismatched, two additional crossings occur $\sim 15 \mathrm{~m}$ left and right of the IP (in total 4 crossings)


■ Biggest loss in aperture around MBXWH
■ $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ correspond to even smaller values than MBWXS in mm

## Aperture loss in IR8 by element

| Equipment | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ <br> nominal <br> $[\sigma]$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ full <br> $[\sigma]$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ <br> nominal <br> $[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ full <br> $[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ <br> nominal <br> $[\%]$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ full <br> $[\%]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPMSW.1L8 | 20 | 20 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 45 | 45 |
| MBXWS.1L8 | 16 | 16 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 40 | 40 |
| MBXWH.1L8 | 34 | 19 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 45 | 24 |
| IP8 | 56 | 56 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 52 | 52 |
| MBLW.1R8 | 111 | 95 | 0.037 | 0.031 | 58 | 50 |
| MBXWS.1R8 | 16 | 16 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 40 | 40 |
| BPMSW.1R8 | 20 | 20 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 45 | 45 |

■ Not important impact in any element apart MBXWH
$\square$ Available aperture of 6 mm (with respect to 12 mm ), corresponding to $15 \sigma$ of aperture loss
$\square$ Remaining aperture corresponds $24 \%$ of the available

## Aperture loss in IR2 by element

| Equipment | $n_{1}$ <br> nominal <br> $[\sigma]$ | $n_{1}$ full <br> $[\sigma]$ | $n_{1}$ <br> nominal <br> $[\mathrm{m}]$ | $n_{1}$ full <br> $[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ <br> nominal <br> $[\%]$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ full <br> $[\%]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPMSW.1L2 | 20 | 20 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 47 | 47 |
| MBXWT.1L2 | 17 | 17 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 42 | 42 |
| MBWMD.1L2 | 33 | 20 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 47 | 30 |
| IP2 | 53 | 53 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 52 | 52 |
| MBAW.1R2 | 221 | 217 | 0.093 | 0.088 | 62 | 58 |
| MBXWT.1R2 | 17 | 17 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 42 | 42 |
| BPMSW.1R2 | 20 | 20 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 47 | 47 |

■ Not important impact in any element apart MBWMD
$\square$ Available aperture of 9 mm (with respect to 14 mm ), corresponding to $13 \sigma$ of aperture loss
$\square$ Remaining aperture is $30 \%$ of the available

## ■ In conclusion:

$\square$ Possible during 450 GeV collision run but not while injecting
$\square$ For 450 GeV injection run the nominal scheme is kept (magnets should be ramped)

## External crossing angles

■ Even if external crossing angles are not needed for stage I (43 bunch operation), it would be useful to pre-commission them for facilitating the task in a later stage (already done during 450 GeV collisions' run for IR2 and IR8)

- Certainly not a priority for IR1 and IR5

■ Use one beam at a time, without separation bumps and internal crossing angles
■ Step by step increase of the crossing angle and position measurements, until reaching nominal
■ Switch on the experimental magnet and check effects on orbit, coupling and tune-shift
■ Repeat procedure for opposite sign angle

- Add beam separation, measure optics and aperture and repeat with internal crossing angle, for both compensator polarities
■ Add all three and measure aperture and optics
■ Inject both beams, collapse the separation bump and measure luminosity


## ATLAS and CMS experimental solenoids



$\square 5.3 \mathrm{~m}$-long ATLAS solenoid, providing a 2T maximum field
■ 13.2m-long CMS solenoid, providing a 4T maximum field

■ Produce orbit, coupling and focusing
■ Especially CMS solenoid produces a $5 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ vertical deflection ( $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{rms}$ orbit distortion) in the presence of ${ }_{33}$ crossing angle

## Other possible measurements with bumps

- Identification of IR triplet errors with 3-bumps around the triplets
■ Measurement of long range beam beam effectsVarying the amplitude of the separation bump for different bunch currents
$\square$ Same approach with the crossing angle for different filling patterns
■ Impedance measurements
$\square$ Sliding bumps of variable amplitude and measurement of the orbit kick induced on the beam for several beam currents
■ Not a priority for this commissioning stage






## Time needed and measurement teams

■ Very difficult to foresee and estimate how long each measurement may take as it will depend on

■ Status of the machine
■ Availability and calibration of different equipments
■ Availability of application software for automatic bump setting and position acquisition for a series of machine locations
$■$ Other unexpected problems (aperture bottlenecks, machine protection issues,...)
■ Measurements teams
$\square$ Aperture measurements

- Colleagues from ABP and OP (collimation project)? Others?

■IR Bumps and crossing schemes
$\square$ W. Herr, Y. Papaphilippou F. Zimmermann(ABP), OP, IR optics' responsibles
$\square$ Colleagues from TeVatron and RHIC (LARP)

## Summary

■ Overview of IR optics in view of 450 GeV commissioning
$\square$ IR aperture
$\square$ Separation bumps
$\square$ Internal crossing angles in IR2 and IR8
$\square$ External crossing angles
■ Aperture loss was evaluated in IR2 and IR8 when spectrometers are switched to their maximum value (LTC action) for the 450 GeV collision run
$\square$ Follow-up may be needed for specific items
$\square$ Establishing a commissioning procedure for bumps and crossing schemes
$\square$ Application software development for IR bump commissioning

