



Principles of charged particle beam optics

Yannis PAPAPHILIPPOU

JUAS, Archamps, FRANCE 11-12 January 2007



Outline

- Particle motion in circular accelerators
 - Notions of charged particle dynamics and coordinate systems
 - □ Guidance and focusing
 - Equations of motion
 - Multipole field expansion
- Accelerator magnets
 - Magnetic materials
 - Magnetic potential and symmetries
 - Hill's equations
 - Equations of motions in linear magnetic fields



- Transport Matrices
 - □ Matrix formalism
 - Drift
 - □ Thin lens approximation
 - Quadrupoles
 - Dipoles
 - Sector magnets
 - Rectangular magnets
 - Doublet, FODO cell
- Off-momentum particles
 - Effect from dipoles and quadrupoles
 - Dispersion equation
 - □ 3x3 transfer matrices
 - Momentum compaction and transition energy





- O. Bruning, Accelerators, Summer student courses, 2005.
- J. Rossbach and P. Schmuser, Basic course on accelerator optics, CERN Accelerator School, 1992.
- H. Wiedemann, Particle Accelerator Physics I, Springer, 1999.
- K.Wille, The physics of Particle Accelerators, Oxford University Press, 2000.

JUAS Equation reminder

Lorentz equation with
p, v: relativistic momentum
and velocity vectors
F: electromagnetic force vector
E, B: electric and magnetic
field vectors
q: electric charge

$$E_{tot}$$
: total energy T : kinetic energy m_0 : rest mass c : speed of light

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$$

$$E_{tot}^2 = p^2 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4 = (T + m_0 c^2)^2$$

β , γ , $\beta\gamma$: reduced velocity, energy and momentum

 $d\mathbf{p}$

$$\beta = \frac{v}{c} \qquad \gamma = \frac{E_{tot}}{m_0 c^2} \qquad \beta \gamma = \frac{p}{m_0 c}$$

4



Principles of charged particle beam optics, JUAS, January 2007

JUAS Notions of particle beam dynamics



Starting from the definition of the relativistic momentum $\mathbf{p} = m_0 \gamma \mathbf{v}$ the particle acceleration is $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = (m_0 \gamma \mathbf{v}) = m_0 \gamma \dot{\mathbf{v}} + m_0 \mathbf{v} \dot{\gamma}$ with $\dot{\gamma} = \gamma^3 \dot{v} \beta / c$, giving $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = m_0 (\gamma \dot{\mathbf{v}} + \gamma^3 \beta \mathbf{v} \dot{v} / c) = \dot{\mathbf{p}}_{||} + \dot{\mathbf{p}}_{\perp}$ $\mathbf{\dot{p}}_{\perp} = m_0 \gamma \mathbf{\dot{v}}_{\perp}$ $\langle \dot{\mathbf{p}}_{||} = m_0 \gamma^3 \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{||} \rangle$

Force perpendicular to propagation Force parallel to propagation In conclusion, parallel acceleration is much more efficient

Example: Motion in a uniform, constant magnetic field

along the magnetic field with constant energy. Equate

from where the radius is $\rho = \frac{m_0 \gamma v}{qB} = \left|\frac{p}{qB}\right|$ and the frequency $\omega = \frac{\nu}{\rho} = \frac{qB}{m_0 \gamma} = \frac{qBc^2}{E}$

UAS Electric and magnetic field



Using Lorentz equation, the change in kinetic energy (work done by the Lorentz force over the path s) is

$$\Delta T = \int \mathbf{F} d\mathbf{s} = q \int \mathbf{E} d\mathbf{s} + q \int (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{v} dt$$

- i.e. electric field is used for accelerating particles
- Lorentz equation for x deviation of particle moving along z direction dp_x

$$\frac{dp_x}{dt} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} = q(E_x - v_z B_y) \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{x}}$$

For no acceleration $E_x - v_z B_y = 0 \Leftrightarrow E_x = v_z B_y$ For relativistic particles, $v_z \approx c \Rightarrow E_x >> B_y$

i.e. **magnetic field is used for guiding particles** (except special cases in very low energies)

UNIVERSITY School Coordinate system



- Cartesian coordinates not useful to describe motion in an accelerator
- Instead, a moving coordinate system following an ideal path along the accelerator is used (Frenet reference frame)



JUAS Beam guidance

- CERN
- Consider only a uniform magnetic field **B** in the direction perpendicular to the particle motion. From the ideal trajectory and after considering that the transverse velocities $v_x << v_s$, $v_y << v_s$, we have that the radius of curvature is

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = |k| = |\frac{q}{p}B| = |\frac{q}{\beta E_{tot}}B|$$

- The cyclotron or Larmor frequency
- We define the magnetic rigidity

$$\omega_L = \left| \frac{qc^2}{E_{tot}} B \right|$$
$$|B\rho| = \frac{p}{q}$$

In more practical units

$$\beta E_{tot}[GeV] = 0.2998|B\rho|[Tm]$$

For ions with charge multiplicity Z and atomic number A, the energy per nucleon is Z

$$\beta \bar{E}_{tot}[GeV/u] = 0.2998 \frac{Z}{A} |B\rho|[Tm]$$

JUAS Dipoles

- Consider an accelerator ring for particles with energy E with N dipoles of length L
- **Bending angle** $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{N}$
 - Bending radius $\rho = \frac{L}{\rho}$
 - **Integrated dipole strength**

$$BL = \frac{2\pi}{N} \frac{\beta E}{q}$$

Comments:

JUAS, January 2007

Principles of charged particle beam optics,

- By choosing a dipole field, the dipole length is imposed and vice versa
- The higher the field, shorter or smaller number of dipoles can be used
- Ring circumference (cost) is influenced by the field choice







UAS Beam focusing



10

Consider a particle in the design orbit. design orbit x In the horizontal plane it performs an harmonic oscillation The horizontal acceleration is described by $\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{v_s}{\rho} \\ \frac{d^2x}{ds^2} &= \frac{1}{v_s^2} \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -\frac{1}{\rho^2}x \end{aligned}$ There is a week focusing effect in the horizontal plane. In the vertical plane, only force is gravitation. The particle will be displaced vertically following the usual law $\Delta y = \frac{1}{2}g\Delta t^2$ Setting $g = 10 \text{ m/s}^2$, the ideal orbit particle will be displaced by 18mm (LHC dipole aperture) in 60ms (a few particle trajectory hundreds of turns in LHC) Х Need of strong focusing B

JUAS Focusing elements



focal point

- Magnetic element that deflects the beam by an angle proportional to the distance from its centre (equivalent to ray optics) provides focusing.
- The deflection angle is defined as $\alpha = -\frac{y}{f}$, for a lens of focal length f and small displacements y.
- A magnetic element with length l and with a gradient g has a field $B_x = gy$ so that the deflection angle is

$$\alpha = -\frac{l}{\rho} = -\frac{q}{\beta E}B_x l = -\frac{q}{\beta E}gl'y$$

• The normalised focusing strength is defined as qq

$$k = \frac{qg}{\beta E} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{$$

In more practical units, for Z=1

$$k[m^{-2}] = 0.2998 \frac{g[T/m]}{\beta E[GeV]}$$

• The focal length becomes $f^{-1} = k l$ and the deflection angle is $\alpha = -k y l$

JUAS Quadrupoles

- Quadrupoles are focusing in one plane and defocusing in the other
- The field is $(B_x, B_y) = g(y, x)$
- The resulting force $(F_x, F_y) = k(y, -x)$
- Need to alternate focusing and defocusing in order to control the beam, i.e. alternating gradient focusing
- From optics we know that a combination of two lenses with focal lengths f_I and f_2 separated by a distance d $\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} - \frac{d}{f_1 f_2}$
 - If $f_1 = -f_2$, there is a **net focusing effect**, i.e. $\frac{1}{f} = \left|\frac{d}{f_1 f_2}\right|$





JUAS Rotating coordinate system





- Consider a particle with charge *q* moving in the presence of transverse magnetic fields
- Choose cylindrical coordinate system (r, φ, y) , with $r = x + \rho$ and $\varphi = s/\rho$
- The radius vector is $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R_0} + r\mathbf{u_r} + y\mathbf{u_y}$
- For a small displacement $d\varphi$

$$d\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{r}} = d\phi \mathbf{u}_{\phi} , \ d\mathbf{u}_{\phi} = -d\phi \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{r}} , \ d\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{y}} = 0$$

Than the velocity is $\dot{\mathbf{R}} = \dot{r}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{r}} + r\dot{\phi}\mathbf{u}_{\phi} + \dot{y}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{y}}$

And the acceleration $\ddot{\mathbf{R}} = (\ddot{r} - r\dot{\phi}^2)\mathbf{u_r} + (2\dot{r}\dot{\phi} + r\ddot{\phi})\mathbf{u_{\phi}} + \ddot{y}\mathbf{u_y}$

• Recall that the momentum time derivative is $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{d}{dt}(\gamma m_0 \dot{\mathbf{R}}) = \gamma m_0 \ddot{\mathbf{R}}$

JUAS Equations of motion



Setting the electric field to zero and the magnetic field to be transverse

$$\mathbf{B} = (B_r, B_\phi, B_y) = (B_x, 0, B_y)$$

the Lorentz equations become

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = q\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = r\dot{\phi}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{r}} + (\dot{y}B_x - \dot{r}B_y)\mathbf{u}_{\phi} - r\dot{\phi}B_x\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{y}}$$

Replacing the momentum with the adequate expression and splitting the equations for the \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{y} direction

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma m_0(\ddot{r} - r\dot{\phi}^2) &=& -qr\dot{\phi}B_y\\ \gamma m_0\ddot{y} &=& qr\dot{\phi}B_x\\ \end{array}$$
Replace $r\dot{\phi} = v_{\phi}$, $r = x + \rho$ and as $v_{\phi} >> v_r$, $v_y \to P/v_{\phi} \approx \gamma m_0$

The equations of motion in the new coordinates are

$$\frac{P}{v_{\phi}}(\ddot{x} - \frac{v_{\phi}^2}{\rho + x}) = -qv_{\phi}B_y$$
$$\frac{P}{v_{\phi}}\ddot{y} = qv_{\phi}B_x$$

UNIVERSITY School General equations of motion



 $\frac{1}{\rho+x} = \frac{1}{\rho}(1-\frac{x}{\rho})$ Note that for *x*<<*ρ* It is convenient to consider the arc length s as the independent variable $ds = \rho d\phi = \rho \dot{\phi} dt = v_{\phi} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho + x} dt \approx v_{\phi} (1 - \frac{x}{\rho}) dt$ $\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{ds}{dt}\frac{d}{ds} = v_{\phi}(1-\frac{x}{\rho})\frac{d}{ds} , \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \approx v_{\phi}^2\frac{d^2}{ds^2}$ and Denote $\frac{dx}{ds} = x'$, $\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} = x''$ The general equations of motion are $x'' = \frac{1}{\rho}(1 - \frac{x}{\rho}) - \frac{qB_y}{P}$ $y'' = \frac{qB_x}{P}$

Remarks:

- □ Without the approximations, the equations are nonlinear and coupled!
- □ The fields have to be defined

S Magnetic multipole expansion



From Gauss law of magnetostatics, a vector potential exist

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \rightarrow \exists \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

Assuming a 2D field in x and y, the vector potential has only one component A_s . The Ampere's law in vacuum (inside the beam pipe)

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \rightarrow \exists V : \mathbf{B} = -\nabla V$$

Using the previous equations, the relations between field components and potentials are

$$B_{x} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial A_{s}}{\partial y}, \quad B_{y} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial A_{s}}{\partial x}$$

i.e. Riemann conditions of an analytic function
There exist a complex potential of $z = x + iy$ with a
power series expansion convergent in a circle with
radius $|z| = r_{c}$ (distance from iron yoke)
 $\mathcal{A}(x + iy) = A_{s}(x, y) + iV(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{n} z^{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_{n} + i\mu_{n})(x + iy)^{n}$

Magnetic multipole expansion II



From the complex potential we can derive the fields

$$B_{y} + iB_{x} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(A_{s}(x,y) + iV(x,y)) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(\lambda_{n} + i\mu_{n})(x + iy)^{n-1}$$
Setting $b_{n} = -n\lambda_{n}$, $a_{n} = n\mu_{n}$ we have

$$B_{y} + iB_{x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_{n} - ia_{n})(x + iy)^{n-1}$$
Define normalized units $b'_{n} = \frac{b_{n}}{10^{-4}B_{0}}r_{0}^{n-1}$, $a_{n} = \frac{a_{n}}{10^{-4}B_{0}}r_{0}^{n-1}$

on a reference radius, 10⁻⁴ of the main field to get

$$B_y + iB_x = 10^{-4}B_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b'_n - ia'_n) (\frac{x + iy}{r_0})^{n-1}$$

■ Note: *n'=n-1* is the US convention

IAS

JUAS Magnet definitions



■ *2n*-pole:



- Normal: gap appears at the horizontal plane
- Skew: rotate around beam axis by $\pi/2n$ angle
- Symmetry: rotating around beam axis by π/n angle, the field is reversed (polarity flipped)

n:





• Field needed to bend a particle and force it into a trajectory

$$\vec{F} = q(\vec{v} \times \vec{B}) = m\vec{a} \longrightarrow \frac{q \vee B = mv^2/R}{BR = mv/q}$$

BR = Br = p/0.2998 for an electron or a proton when p in GeV

- Particle species and energy define the accelerator
- The first decision in the design of a circular accelerator is the trade-off between tunnel circumference and magnetic field.
 - \Box For iron magnets $B \leq 2T$
 - \Box For B > 2T superconducting technology needed
 - Size of the beam and optics define the quadrupole fields. Strength usually not as stringent, apart in special areas where extremely strong focusing is needed (e.g. interaction regions of colliders)
 - Field should be linear to the 10⁻⁴ level. **Transfer function, i.e** integrated magnet strength divided by the current needs to be constant.

UAS Magnetic materials



- <u>Reminder</u>: $\boldsymbol{M} = \chi \boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{B} = m\boldsymbol{H} = m_0(\boldsymbol{H} + \boldsymbol{M}) = m_0(1 + \chi) \boldsymbol{H}$
- where, M Magnetization, H excitation field, B Magnetic induction, m permeability, χ susceptibility
- Most materials present **diamagnetism** $(1+\chi>1)$, i.e. field strengthens) or **paramagnetism** $(1+\chi<1)$, i.e. field weakens). In both cases, the magnetization disappears when the external excitation field is zero
- Special materials show **ferromagnetism**, i.e. minuscule magnetic domains exist in the material and get aligned in the presence of a magnetic field.
- Saturation (material cannot absorb stronger magnetic field) is reached asymptotically at 0 °K with no thermal disorder when all the domains are aligned. Above the Curie temperature material looses its ferromagnetic behavior

	$\mu_0 M_{sat}$	Curie Temp
	[Tesla @ 0 K]	[C]
Iron	2.18	770
Nickel	0.64	358
Cobalt	1.81	1120



The Maxwell laws for magnetostatics:

$$\nabla . \mathbf{B} = 0; \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{j}.$$

In the absence of currents j=0 and B can be expressed as the gradient of a scalar potential

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla V \quad \text{and} \ \nabla^2 V = \mathbf{0}$$

The **Laplace equation** has a solution in cylindrical coordinates of the form:

$$V = \sum_{n} \left(a_n r^n \cos(n\theta) + b_n r^n \sin(n\theta) \right)$$

where a_n and b_n correspond to pure skew and normal components

JUAS Ideal pole shape



- In iron dominated magnets, the **field** is determined by the **shape** of the steel poles (lines of constant scalar potential).
- Conductor coils are wounded around the poles to create the magnetic field.
- Due to boundary conditions, pole surfaces are **equipotential lines**

Dipole (n = 1)



$$V(r,\theta) = a_1 r \cos \theta + b_1 r \sin \theta$$

$$V(x, y) = a_1 x + b_1 y$$

$$B_x = a_1$$

$$B_y = b_1$$

Pole: $y = \pm d/2$
with d the gap size

Ideal pole shape





Sextupole (n = 3)



Pole:
$$3x^2y - y = \pm R^3$$

with *R* the gap radius

JUAS Joint Universities Accelerator School Magnets with normal symmetries



Dipole:

 $V(\theta) = -V(\pi + \theta)$ $V(\theta) = V(2\pi + \theta)$

only \mathbf{b}_{n} with n=1,3,5, etc.

Quadrupole: $\begin{cases} V(\theta) = -V(\pi/2 + \theta) \\ V(\theta) = -V(3\pi/2 + \theta) \end{cases}$

$$\begin{cases} V(\theta) = V(\pi + \theta) \\ V(\theta) = V(2\pi + \theta) \end{cases}$$

only \mathbf{b}_{n} with n=2,6,10, etc.

only \mathbf{b}_n with n=3,9,15, etc.

Sextupole:

$$\begin{cases}
V(\theta) = -V(\pi/3 + \theta) \\
V(\theta) = -V(\pi + \theta) \\
V(\theta) = -V(5\pi/3 + \theta) \\
V(\theta) = V(2\pi/3 + \theta) \\
V(\theta) = V(4\pi + \theta) \\
V(\theta) = V(2\pi + \theta)
\end{cases}$$

- In practice poles have a finite width
- Impose the same symmetries to the pole constrains the harmonics
- Longitudinally, the magnet end will generate non linear fringe fields → shims
- Sharp edges will cause saturation and the field becomes non linear → chamfered ends
- Numerical methods applied to define the shape and machined with high precision.

JUAS Dipole current



- **B** is constant around the loop 1 and in the gap
- According to Ampere's law



- The number of conductors and current density can be optimized
- Limited by the iron saturation $B \le 2T$

μ >> 1

JUAS Quadrupole current

- **B** is perpendicular to ds along path 3 and within the iron (path 2) $\mu >> 1$
- Only non-zero component along path 1 and using again Ampere's law

$$\oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{s} = \int_{1}^{1} \mathbf{H}_{1} \cdot ds = NI$$

The gradient G in a quadrupole is $B_x = Gy; \quad B_y = Gx$

$$H_{1} = \frac{G}{\mu_{0}} \sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2}} = \frac{G}{\mu_{0}} r$$

and after integrating

$$G = \frac{2\mu_0 NI}{r_0^2}$$

JUAS Practical problems

- The finite pole width creates errors.
- To compensate, small steps are added at the outer ends of each of the pole called **shims**.
- Saturation at the corners at the longitudinal end also creates high order multipoles
- **Chamfering** is performed, the size of which is estimated using numerical calculations
- Eddy currents oppose to the change of magnetic field and produce losses
- Eddy currents are minimized by **transposing** the conductors in the coil.
- Eddy currents in the yoke are avoided using **laminations**

JUAS June June School Example: MQWA quadrupole

- Twin aperture quadrupole for LHC built in collaboration with TRIUMF by Alstom, CANADA.
- Pole geometry is identical in the nose
- Disposition of coils around the poles is non symmetric.
- Field calculations require numerical methods done with Opera.

JAS Equations of motion – Linear fields

- Equations of motion (see slide 15)
- $\begin{cases} x'' = \frac{1}{\rho}(1-\frac{x}{\rho}) \frac{qB_y}{P} \\ y'' = \frac{qB_x}{P} \end{cases}$ Consider s-dependent fields from $B_y = B_0(s) - G(s)x$, $B_x = -G(s)y$ dipoles and normal quadrupoles The total momentum can be written $P = P_0(1 + \frac{\Delta P}{P})$ The magnetic rigidity $B_0\rho = \frac{P_0}{\sigma}$ and the normalized gradient $k = \frac{G}{B_0\rho}$ $x'' - \left(k(s) - \frac{1}{\rho(s)^2}\right)x = \frac{1}{\rho(s)}\frac{\Delta F}{P}$ $y'' + k(s) \ y = 0$ The equations become
 - Inhomogeneous equations with *s*-dependent coefficients
 - Note that the term $1/\rho^2$ corresponds to the week focusing
 - The term $\Delta P/(P\rho)$ is non-zero for off-momentum particles

JUAS Hill's equations

- Solutions are a combination of the ones from the homogeneous and inhomogeneous equations
- Consider first particles with the design momentum.
 The equations of motion become

$$x'' + K_x(s) x = 0$$

$$y'' + K_y(s) y = 0$$

George Hill

with
$$K_x(s) = -\left(k(s) - \frac{1}{\rho(s)^2}\right)$$
, $K_y(s) = k(s)$

Hill's equations of linear transverse particle motion

- Linear equations with *s*-dependent coefficients (harmonic oscillator with "time" dependent frequency)
- In a ring or in transport line with symmetries, coefficients are periodic $K_x(s) = K_x(s+C)$, $K_y(s) = K_y(s+C)$
- Not practical to get analytical solutions for all accelerator

Principles of charged particle beam optics, JUAS, January 2007

JUAS Harmonic oscillator – spring

• Note that the solution can be written in **matrix** form

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(s) \\ u'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C(s) & S(s) \\ C'(s) & S'(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(0) \\ u'(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

JUAS Matrix formalism

General **transfer matrix** from s_0 to s

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s} = \mathcal{M}(s|s_{0}) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s_{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} C(s|s_{0}) & S(s|s_{0}) \\ C'(s|s_{0}) & S'(s|s_{0}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s_{0}}$$

Note that $\det(\mathcal{M}(s|s_0)) = C(s|s_0)S'(s|s_0) - S(s|s_0)C'(s|s_0) = 1$

which is always true for conservative systems

Note also that $\mathcal{M}(s_0|s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{I}$

The accelerator can be build by a series of matrix multiplications

JUAS Symmetric lines

System with mirror symmetry

Combine the matrices for each plane

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(s) \\ x'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_x(s) & S_x(s) \\ C'_x(s) & S'_x(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} y(s) \\ y'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_y(s) & S_y(s) \\ C'_y(s) & S'_y(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

to get a total 4x4 matrix

JUAS Transfer matrix of a drift

Consider a drift (no magnetic elements) of length $L=s-s_0$

Position changes if particle has a slope which remains unchanged.

JUAS Focusing - defocusing thin lens

Consider a lens with focal length f

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(s) \\ u'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mp \frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Before

Before

 $\mathcal{M}_{\text{lens}}(s|s_0) =$ *u*'

*u*²

After

After

Slope **diminishes** (focusing) or **increases** (defocusing) for positive position, which remains unchanged.

U

U

JUAS Quadrupole

Consider a quadrupole magnet of length *L*. The field is

$$B_y = -G(s)x , \quad B_x = -G(s)y$$

with normalized quadrupole gradient (in m^{-2})

$$k = \frac{G}{B_0 \rho}$$

The transport through a quadrupole is

JUAS Quadrupole II

• For a focusing quad (k>0)

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm QF} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\sqrt{k}L) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sin(\sqrt{k}L) \\ -\sqrt{k}\sin(\sqrt{k}L) & \cos(\sqrt{k}L) \end{pmatrix}$$

For a defocusing quad (*k*<0)

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm QD} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\sqrt{|k|}L) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{|k|}}\sinh(\sqrt{|k|}L) \\ \sqrt{|k|}\sinh(\sqrt{|k|}L) & \cosh(\sqrt{|k|}L) \end{pmatrix}$$

By setting $\sqrt{k}L \to 0$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm QF,QD} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -kL & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_{\rm lens}$$

Note that the sign of *k* or *f* is now absorbed inside the symbol In the other plane, focusing becomes defocusing and vice versa

JUAS Sector Dipole

Consider a dipole of length L. By setting in the focusing quadrupole matrix

$$k = \frac{1}{\rho^2} > 0$$

the transfer matrix for a sector dipole becomes

This is a **hard-edge** model. In fact, **edge focusing** appears in the vertical plane Matrix can be generalized by adding strong focusing for **synchrotron** magnets

JUAS Rectangular Dipole

Consider a rectangular dipole with bending angle θ . At each edge of length ΔL , the deflecting angle is changed by

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta L}{\rho} = \frac{\theta \tan \delta}{\rho}$$

i.e., it acts as a thin defocusing lens with focal length $\frac{1}{f} = \frac{\tan \delta}{\rho}$
The transfer matrix is $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rect}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{edge}} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\text{sector}} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\text{edge}}$ with $\mathcal{M}_{\text{edge}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ -\frac{\tan(\delta)}{\rho} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
For $\theta <<1$, $\delta = \theta/2$

In deflecting plane (like **drift**) in non-deflecting plane (like **sector**)

$$\mathcal{A}_{x;\text{rect}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_{y;\text{rect}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \rho \sin \theta \\ -\frac{1}{\rho} \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Quadrupole doublet

JUAS FODO Cell

The total transfer matrix is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{FODO}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{L^2}{2f^2} & 2L(1 + \frac{L}{2f}) \\ \frac{L}{2f^2}(1 - \frac{L}{2f}) & 1 - \frac{L^2}{2f^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

JAS Effect of dipole on off-momentum particles

 $P_0 + \Delta P$

ρ+δρ

- Up to now all particles had the same momentum P_0
- What happens for off-momentum particles, i.e. particles with momentum $P_0 + \Delta P$?
- Consider a dipole with field B and bending radius ρ
- Recall that the magnetic rigidity is $B\rho = \frac{P_0}{q}$ and for off-momentum particles
 - - Off-momentum particles get different deflection (different orbit)

$$\Delta \theta = -\theta \frac{\Delta P}{P_0}$$

UAS Off-momentum particles and quadrupoles

- Consider a quadrupole with gradient G
- Recall that the normalized gradient is

 $K = \frac{q \ G}{P_0}$

and for off-momentum particles

$$\Delta K = \frac{dK}{dP} \Delta P = -\frac{qG}{P_0} \frac{\Delta P}{P_0}$$

Off-momentum particle gets different focusing

P_o

$$\Delta K = -K \frac{\Delta P}{P_0}$$

This is equivalent to the effect of optical lenses on light of different wavelengths **IAS** Dispersion equation

Consider the equations of motion for off-momentum particles $x'' + K_x(s)x = \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\Delta P}{P}$

- The solution is a sum of the **homogeneous** equation (onmomentum) and the **inhomogeneous** (off-momentum) $x(s) = x_H(s) + x_I(s)$
- In that way, the equations of motion are split in two parts $x''_{H} + K_{x}(s)x_{H} = 0$ $x''_{I} + K_{x}(s)x_{I} = \frac{1}{\rho(s)}\frac{\Delta P}{P}$
- The **dispersion function** can be defined as $D(s) = \frac{x_I(s)}{\Delta P/P}$ The dispersion equation is

$$D''(s) + K_x(s) \ D(s) = \frac{1}{\rho(s)}$$

- Simple solution by considering motion through a sector dipole with constant bending radius ρ
- The dispersion equation becomes $D''(s) + \frac{1}{\rho^2}D(s) = \frac{1}{\rho}$
- The solution of the homogeneous is harmonic with frequency $1/\rho$
- A particular solution for the inhomogeneous is $D_p = \text{constant}$ and we get by replacing $D_p = \rho$
- Setting $D(0) = D_0$ and $D'(0) = D'_0$, the solutions for dispersion are

$$D(s) = D_0 \cos(\frac{s}{\rho}) + D'_0 \rho \sin(\frac{s}{\rho}) + \rho(1 - \cos(\frac{s}{\rho}))$$
$$D'(s) = -\frac{D_0}{\rho} \sin(\frac{s}{\rho}) + D'_0 \cos(\frac{s}{\rho}) + \sin(\frac{s}{\rho})$$

General dispersion solution

- General solution possible with perturbation theory and use of Green functions
- For a general matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} C(s) & S(s) \\ C'(s) & S(s)' \end{pmatrix}$$

the solution is

$$D(s) = S(s) \int_{s_0}^s \frac{C(\bar{s})}{\rho(\bar{s})} d\bar{s} + C(s) \int_{s_0}^s \frac{S(\bar{s})}{\rho(\bar{s})} d\bar{s}$$

- One can verify that this solution indeed satisfies the differential equation of the dispersion (and the sector bend)
 - The general betatron solutions can be obtained by 3X3 transfer matrices including dispersion

$$\mathcal{M}_{3\times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} C(s) & S(s) & D(s) \\ C'(s) & S'(s) & D'(s) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Recalling that
$$x(s) = x_B(s) + D(s) \frac{\Delta P}{P}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(s) \\ x'(s) \\ \Delta p/p \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_{3\times 3} \begin{pmatrix} x(s_0) \\ x'(s_0) \\ \Delta p/p \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} D(s) \\ D'(s) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_{3\times 3} \begin{pmatrix} D_0 \\ D'_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

UAS 3x3 transfer matrices - Drift, quad and sector bend

For **drifts** and **quadrupoles** which do not create dispersion the 3x3 transfer matrices are just

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm drift,quad} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{2\times 2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For the deflecting plane of a **sector bend** we have seen that the matrix is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{sector}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \rho\sin\theta & \rho(1-\cos\theta) \\ -\frac{1}{\rho}\sin\theta & \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and in the non-deflecting plane is just a drift.

3x3 transfer matrices - Synchrotron magnet

Synchrotron magnets have focusing and bending included in their body. From the solution of the sector bend, by replacing $1/\rho$ with $K=(1/\rho^2 - k)^{1/2}$.

For **K>0**
$$\mathcal{M}_{syF} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi & \frac{\sin\psi}{\sqrt{K}} & \frac{1-\cos\psi}{\rho K} \\ -\sqrt{K}\sin\psi & \cos\psi & \frac{\sin\psi}{\rho\sqrt{K}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For
$$K < 0$$
 $\mathcal{M}_{syD} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \psi & \frac{\sinh \psi}{\sqrt{|K|}} & -\frac{1-\cosh \psi}{\rho |K|} \\ \sqrt{|K|} \sinh \psi & \cosh \psi & \frac{\sinh \psi}{\rho \sqrt{|K|}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
with $\psi = \sqrt{|k + \frac{1}{\rho^2}|l}$

3x3 transfer matrices - Rectangular magnet

• The end field of a rectangular magnet is simply the one of a quadrupole. The transfer matrix for the edges is

$$\mathcal{M}_{edge} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{\rho} \tan(\theta/2) & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The transfer matrix for the body of the magnet is like the sector The total transfer matrix is $\mathcal{M}_{rect} = \mathcal{M}_{edge} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{sect} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{edge}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{rect}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \sin \theta & \rho (1 - \cos \theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \tan(\theta/2) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

JUAS Joint Universities Accelerator School Chromatic closed orbit

- **CERN**
- Off-momentum particles are not oscillating around design orbit, but around chromatic closed orbit
- Distance from the design orbit depends linearly with momentum spread and dispersion $D(x) \Delta P$

JUAS Momentum compaction

Ρ+ΛΡ

Ω

Δθ

- Off-momentum particles on the dispersion orbit travel in a different path length than on-momentum particles
- The change of the path length with respect to the momentum spread is called **momentum compaction**

D(s)∆P/P

$$\alpha_c = \frac{\Delta C}{C} / \frac{\Delta P}{P}$$

The change of circumference is

$$\Delta C = \oint D \frac{\Delta P}{P} d\theta = \oint D \frac{\Delta P}{P} \frac{ds}{\rho}$$

So the momentum compaction is

$$\alpha_c = \frac{1}{C} \oint \frac{D(s)}{\rho(s)} ds = \left\langle \frac{D(s)}{\rho(s)} \right\rangle$$

JUAS Transition energy

- 1) Consider an **electron** storage ring with **circumference** of **850m** and **energy** of **6GeV**. If the maximum **bending field** available is **0.854T**, what is the percentage of the circumference occupied by dipoles? With dipoles of **2.3m** long, find the integrated dipole strength, the bending angle and the number of dipoles.
- 2) In the SNS ring, the necessary bending of the proton beam in the arcs is provided by **32 dipoles** with a **17cm gap** and a **magnetic length** of **1.5m**. If the coil is built with **20 turns**, how much should the power supply current be in order to give the necessary field for **1GeV** and **1.3 GeV** operation. The **rest energy** of the proton is **938.273 MeV**.
- 3) Trace the poles of a decapole and dodecapole magnet. What is the angle between the centre of each pole in each case? Derive this angle for general *2n*-pole magnets?
- 4) Use the expansion of the scalar potential in polar coordinates in order to show that the potential is symmetric by a rotation of π . Prove that the first allowed multipole for a normal quadrupole magnet is a 12-pole (**b**₆), the second a 20-pole (**b**₁₀), etc. Is there a general rule for all multi-pole magnets?

- 5) Prove that the transfer matrices of two symmetric cells and of one cell with mirror symmetry have their determinant equal to 1. Derive the transfer matrix of a particle moving in the opposite direction in the two above cases.
- 6) Find the focal length of a thin focusing and defocusing quadrupole. To do so, consider an incoming parallel beam (in *x* or in *y* depending on the quad) and propagate it using the quad and a drift, and find the drift length in order to get **0** displacement. Do the same for both planes for a doublet formed by the two quads, with distance *l* between them.

7) Write the transfer matrix of a FODO cell for which the integrated focal length is f = 2f and the drift has distance *l*. For numerical evaluation you will need that $B\rho = 26.68$, the quad length 0.509m the quadrupole gradient 12T/m and the distance between quads 6.545m.