Physics and Design of **High intensity circular accelerators**

Jie Wei, Alexei Fedotov (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004



Preface



• This is a collection of notes presented at the US Particle Accelerator School at Madison, Wisconsin, in the summer of 2004. The year 2004 class was titled "Spallation Neutron Source II: Ring and Transports" given by J. Wei and Y. Papaphilippou. A large portion of the preparation was based on the year 2001 class titled "Physics and Design of High Intensity Circular Accelerators" given by J. Wei, A. Fedotov, and Y. Papaphilippou.

Course description (2001)



- High-intensity synchrotrons and accumulator rings are essentia elements for new-generation accelerator facilities including spallation neutron sources, neutrino factories, and multi-functional applications. This course is to introduce design principle and procedure, beam physics and technology for the high-intensity frontier machines. We will start from the design philosophy and basic functions of the ring and the transport lines, and study machine lattice and optimization, injection and extraction options, and machine aperture determination. We then will emphasize on beam dynamics subjects including space charge, transverse phase space painting, longitudinal beam confinement with single and dual harmonic radio-frequency systems, magnetic nonlinearity and fringe field, and beam collimation. In computer simulation sessions we will study basic tracking and mapping techniques, tune spread and resonance analysis techniques, and statistical accuracy. Finally, we will discuss more advanced topics like transition crossing, intrabeam Coulomb scattering, beam-in-gap cleaning, chromatic and resonance correction, electron cloud effects and instabilities.
- Prerequisites: Accelerator fundamentals or Accelerator physics

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Course description (2004)



- The Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) is a new-generation, high-power accelerator complex that delivers a proton beam power above 1 MW for pulsed neutron applications. The complex consists of a H-ion source and front end, a superconducting RF linac, a full-energy accumulator ring, and a mercury target. The SNS accumulator ring and the transport lines are designed to handle a record intensity of 2 x 10¹4 protons at a repetition rate of 60 Hz. This course is to introduce design principle and procedure, beam physics and technology for this high-intensity frontier machine. We will start with the design philosophy and the basic layout and functions of the ring and transport lines. Among beam dynamics subjects are machine lattice design and aperture selection, beam loss mechanisms, single-particle topics including kinematic nonlinearity, sextupole effects, magnetic imperfection and nonlinearity, magnet fringe field, resonance analysis, and dynamic apertures, and multi-particle topics including space charge, coupling impedance, instabilities, and electron-cloud effects. Among accelerator system subjects are magnet, power-supply, vacuum, injection, extraction, collimation, RF, and diagnostics. Finally, we will review basic beam commissioning procedures.
- Prerequisites: Accelerator fundamentals or Accelerator physics

Acknowledgements



It would not have been possible to complete this work without the generative help from the author's colleagues and friends. In particular, the authors would like to thank G. Arduini, R. Baartman, A. Browman, R. Cappi, M. Chanel, W. Chou, A. Fedotov, M. Furman, C. Gardner, I. Gardner, R. Garoby, O. Groebner, I. Hofmann, Y. Irie, S. Ivanov, M. Kinsho, T. Linnecar, H. Ludewig, R. Macek, S. Machida, Y. Mori, M. Pivu, M. Plum, C. Prior, G. Rees, T. Roser, F. Ruggiero, H. Schonauer, E. Shaposhnikova, K. Takayama, P. Thieberger, P. Wanderer, L. Wang, C.M. Warsop, R. Webber, and the SNS team for generously providing information. The authors also would like to thank J. Galambos, H. Hahn, S. Koscielniak, T. Linnecar, R. Ma, Y. Papaphilippou, C. Prior, G. Rees, A. M. Sessler, A. Woodhead, and B. Zotter for carefully reading and correcting the manuscript, and thank A. Aleksandrov, J. Beebe-Wang, M. Blaskiewicz, J. Brodowski, A. Chao, P. Cameron, N. Catalan-Lasheras, E. D. Courant, S. Cousineau, G. Danby, V. Danilov, D. Davino, A. Fedotov, D. Finley, R. Gluckstern, M. Hemmer, S. Henderson, J. Holmes, H. Hseuh, J. Jackson, A. Jain, S. Kim, R. Lambiase, Y. Lee, D. Lowenstein, N. Malitsky, W. Meng, J. Mi, G. Parzen, S. Peggs, D. Raparia, J. Sandberg, K. Schindl, R. Siemann, N. Simos, R. Talman, S. Tepikian, D. Trbojevic, N. Tsoupas, J. Tuozzolo, W. T. Weng, S. Y. Zhang, and F. Zimmermann for many useful discussions. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. The Spallation Neutron Source Project is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-000R22725 for the U.S. Department of Energy. SNS is a partnership of six national laboratories: Argonne, Brookhaven, Jefferson, Lawrence Berkeley, Los Alamos, and Oak Ridge.

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transports

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004



C	Course Schedule					
	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	
1	Overview	Lattice Matching	Problem solving 1,2,4,5	Problem solving 8,9,3	Diagnostics	
2	Parameters	Tune spread Work point	Injection	RF & Longitudinal dynamics	Commissioning	
3	Layout & Function	Field errors Compensation Correction	Extraction	Vacuum & Electron cloud	Problem solving 6,10, (7) Summary	
4	Aperture Acceptance	Magnet	Computer lab	Space charge		
5	Beam loss Collimation	Power supply	Computer lab	Impedance & Instability		
	Problems 1,2	Problems 4,5	Problems 3,8,9	Problems 6,10, (7)		



- Two identical, vertically stacked rapid-cycling synchrotrons are housed in the same tunnel. The circumference is 300 m. The proton beams are injected at 400 MeV, and extracted at 2 GeV. The repetition rate is 30 Hz for each ring. The pulse in each ring contains 10¹⁴ particles. The RF system operates at harmonic h=2, and that the pulse contains two bunches.
 - What is the total output beam power? What is the total average current of the facility?
 - What is the tolerable fractional uncontrolled beam loss in each ring?
 - What is the range of RF frequency swing?
 - The beam gap reserved for extraction kicker rise is a minimum 200 ns. Assuming that the bunch density distribution is parabolic. What is the maximum bunching factor? What is the average and peak current in the ring?

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Problem 2



• Let *E* be the total energy, E_k be the kinetic energy, and *p* be the momentum. Assume that the deviation in kinetic energy is much smaller than the kinetic energy. Prove that

 $\frac{\Delta E}{E} \approx \beta^2 \frac{\Delta p}{p} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta p}{p} \approx \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} \frac{\Delta E_k}{E_k}$

where β and γ are the relativistic factors. For a proton beam of 1 GeV kinetic energy with a +/-1% spread in $\Delta p/p$, how accurate are these relations?



- A ring consists of 4 bending arcs, each a horizontal achromat consisting of 4 identical FODO cells of $\pi/2$ phase advance. The dispersion is suppressed. Evaluate the value and location of peak dispersion of the ring in terms of cell length, and compare it with the minimum achievable peak dispersion of a matched FODO cell.
- Repeat this exercise by flipping the polarity or the quadrupoles, i.e. DOFO instead of FODO.
- Replace the dispersion suppression method by the missingdipole (half-field) scheme. Evaluate the minimum achievable peak dispersion in terms of cell length.
- Compare the advantage and disadvantage of the above three schemes

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Problem 4



1) Assume that the betatron phase advance from location s_1 to s_2 is $\Delta \mu$. Prove that the transfer matrix for (x, x') can be written as:

$$M(s_2 | s_1) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}} (\cos \Delta \mu + \alpha_1 \sin \Delta \mu) & \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} \sin \Delta \mu \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2}} [(1 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2) \sin \Delta \mu + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \cos \Delta \mu] & \sqrt{\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}} (\cos \Delta \mu - \alpha_2 \sin \Delta \mu) \end{bmatrix}$$

2) Using the result of 1), prove that the condition for realizing a closed orbit bump from s_1 to s_3 using three dipole magnets is

$$\frac{\theta_1\sqrt{\beta_1}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{32})} = \frac{\theta_2\sqrt{\beta_2}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{13})} = \frac{\theta_3\sqrt{\beta_3}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{21})}$$

Here, θ_i is the dipole kick at location s_i , $\Delta \mu_{ij}$ is the phase advance between s_i and s_j .

- Prove that in terms of variable $\mu(s) = \int \frac{ds}{\beta(s')}$, the normalized displacement $x \equiv \frac{x}{\sqrt{\rho}}$ obeys simply harmonic motion
- With two-stage betatron betatron collimation consisting of a scraper and two collectors, prove that when the conditions

$$\mu_1 = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{A}{A+H} \right) \qquad \qquad \mu_2 = \pi - \mu_1$$

are satisfied, minimum number of secondary particles escape the collimation process. Here, the scraper radius A and the collector radius A+H are both defined in terms of the normalized variables

Express the above phase-advance conditions in terms of the physical apertures

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Problem 6

- Consider beam density distribution in the normalized phase space during multi-turn injection. Define $\rho^2 = x^2 + x^2$ and the quantity $\lambda(\rho)\rho d\rho$ is the number of particle populated within the phase-space circle of radius ρ and width $d\rho$.
 - What is the closed-orbit function with time to realize a uniform distribution in phase space with a constant density $\lambda(\rho)$ within a radius R during a total injection time of t_{max} ?
 - Prove that the closed-orbit function to realize a Gaussian distribution

$$\lambda(\rho) = \frac{2N_0}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

is approximately

$$X_{c}(t) = \sqrt{2}\sigma_{1} \sqrt{-\ln\left(1 - \frac{t}{t_{\text{max}}}\right)}$$

where the injection is performed during a time $0 < t < t_{max}$



- Upon multi-turn injection of a beam with emittance $\varepsilon_{i'}$ and Courant-Snyder parameters β_i and α_i , injecting with input beam center $(\overline{x}, \overline{x'})$ relative to instantaneous injection orbit bump. The ring beam emittance is ε , and ring Courant-Snyder parameters β and α at injection.
 - Prove that in the normalized phase space of the ring

$$X \equiv \frac{x}{\sqrt{\beta}} \qquad \qquad X' \equiv \frac{dX}{d\mu} = \frac{\alpha x + \beta x'}{\sqrt{\beta}}$$

the injecting beam ellipse becomes upright and the injection position is optimized when____

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = -\frac{x}{\frac{1}{x}}$$

the injecting beam ellipse can be described as

$$\frac{\beta_i}{\beta} X'^2 + \frac{\beta}{\beta_i} X^2 \le \varepsilon_i$$

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Problem 7 (continue)



 Let X_C be the injection closed orbit center relative to ring beam origin in the normalized phase space of the ring. The ring emittance circle corresponding to the injecting beam ellipse can be parameterized as

$$\varepsilon(\theta) = \left(X_c + \sqrt{\frac{\beta_i}{\beta}\varepsilon_i}\cos\theta\right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\beta_i}\varepsilon_i\sin^2\theta$$

Assume that $\varepsilon_i \ll \varepsilon$

show that when the condition

$$\frac{\beta_i}{\beta} \approx \left(\frac{\varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/3}$$

is satisfied, the injecting beam ellipses will all be contained by the emittance circle $\varepsilon(\theta = 0)$ (i.e. minimum phase-space dilution after injection), while the width of the injecting beam is minimum.



• Prove that for a quadrupole magnet, the magnetic errors that are allowed by the quadrupole symmetry are quadrupole, 12-pole, 20-pole, and so on.

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Problem 9



• A C-dipole magnet is designed to operate at a field of 1 T. The magnet has a width of 0.8 m, height 0.6 m. The iron material has a relative permeability of 2500. The gap height is 18 cm. The maximum current is 5000 Amp. How many turns of coil is needed for the top and bottom pole?



• A H⁻ beam of 1 GeV kinetic energy is transported through an achromat of 90 degree bend before the ring injection. Suppose that the magnetic stripping loss criteria is for the fractional beam loss to be below 10⁻⁷ per meter. Use the following mean decay path length (in meters in the laboratory frame)

$$\lambda_s = \frac{A_{s1}}{B} \exp{\frac{A_{s2}}{\beta \gamma B}}, \qquad \qquad A_{s1} = (2.47 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-6} \text{ Tm, and } A_{s2} = 15.0 \pm 0.03 \text{ T}$$

- Estimate the maximum magnetic field that can be used to transport the beam under the loss criteria
- The achromat consists of 4 FODO cells, each containing 2 dipoles and 2 quadrupoles. What is the minimum length of the dipole?
- The beam trajectory has a maximum transverse orbit deviation of 2 cm from the magnet center in the quadrupoles. What is the maximum gradient of the quadrupole that can be used under the loss criteria?
- Estimate the maximum dipole field when the loss criteria is $10^{\text{-6}}\,\mathrm{per}$ meter instead
- Estimate the minimum dipole length when the H⁻ beam is injected at 8 GeV kinetic energy, and the loss criteria is 10^{-5} per meter

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports

Overview



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004

Outline

- What is SNS?
- Comparison of high-power accelerator facilities
 SNS, J-PARC, ISIS, PSR
- · Accumulator vs. Rapid-cycling synchrotrons
- Beam loss, radio-activation, collimation and protection
- Design philosophy
- Rest part of the accelerator facility
 Ion source, linac, target, instruments
- Challenges and design debates



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

What is the SNS Project?



- A US Department of Energy user facility under construction
- A US\$1.4 billion, 7-year construction project due June 2006
- Collaborated by six national laboratories, built at Oak Ridge
 Argonne, Brookhaven, Jefferson, Berkeley, Los Alamos, Oak Ridge
- A model for the construction of future US large-scale projects?



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

3



- At 1.4 Mega Watt power, it will be ~ 8 times ISIS, RAL (UK) the world's leading pulsed spallation source
- The peak neutron flux will be ~20-100 times ILL reactor (France)
- SNS is a short drive from HFIR, a reactor source with a flux comparable to the ILL

Why a 1 GeV machine costs \$1.4B?

- High beam power (> 1 MW, > 1 mA average linac current)
- Pulsed beam structure of high peak intensity (> 10¹⁴ ppp, ~ 100 A)
- A 1 GeV full-energy, super-conducting linac for H⁻ beam
 One-klystron-per-cavity RF control
- Stringent limit on beam loss: < 10^{-4} fractional uncontrolled beam loss
- A mercury target of nuclear facility safety standard
 Previous nuclear reactor proposal at ORNL ~ \$10B
- A green-field start-up with 6 collaborating laboratories

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>5</u>

Estimate-at-Complete cost breakdown

WBS	Description	November 2003 Review Baseline (\$M)	Net Forecast Changes (\$M)	Management EAC (\$M)		
1.2	Project Support	75.6	0.3	75.9		
1.3 Front End Systems		20.8	-	20.8		
1.4	Linac Systems	313.2	1.4	314.6		
1.5	Ring and Transfer Systems	141.2	0.9	142.1		
1.6	Target Systems	106.5	1.6	108.1		
1.7	Instrument Systems	63.3	0.0	63.3		
1.8	Conventional Facilities	367.5	9.4	376.9		
1.9	Integrated Controls	59.6	(0.0)	59.6		
BAC		1,147.9	13.5	1,161.4		
Total Cont	ingency	44.8		31.3 21.8%*		
	TEC	1,192.7		1,192.7		
	OPC	219.0		219.0		
	TPC	1.411.7		1.411.7		

* based on estimated costs and awards through October 2003



Mega Watt accelerator applications

- Spallation Neutron Sources (SNS; J-PARC; ...)
- Accelerator Production of Tritium (APT; TRISPAL; ...)
- Nuclear Transmutation (ADTW; ATW; ...)
- Energy Amplifier (CERN EA; ...)
- Neutrino factory proton driver (J-PARC, BNL, FNAL, CERN/RAL ...)
- Muon-collider proton driver (BNL; FNAL; CERN; ...)

Mega-Watt project examples



	Energy [GeV]	Current [mA]	Reprate [Hz]	Ave. power [MW]	Туре
SNS	1	1.5	60	1.4	AR
J-PARC	3	0.33	25	1	RCS
CERN PD	2	2	100	4	AR
RAL PD	5	0.4	25	2	RCS
FNAL PD	16	0.25	15	2	RCS
EA	1	10 20	CW	10 20	cyclotron
APT	1.03	100	CW	103	linac
TRISPAL	0.6	40	CW	24	linac
ADTW	0.6 – 1.2	20 50	CW	> 20	linac
µ-collider driver	30	0.25	15	7.0	RCS

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Spallation Neutron Sources



9

Machine	Energy [GeV]	Intensity [ppp]	Rep-rate [Hz]	Power ave.[MW]	Туре
Existing:					
LANSCE (LANL)	0.8	2.3x10 ¹³	20	0.07	AR
ISIS (UK)	0.8	2.5x10 ¹³	50	0.2	RCS
Proposed:					
JPARC (Japan)	3.0	8.0x10 ¹³	25	1.0	RCS
SNS (US)	1.0	2.1x10 ¹⁴	60	2.0	AR
ESS (Europe)	1.334	2.3x10 ¹⁴	50	2.5	AR

Features of high-power facilities

• Non-pulsed (CW) applications:

- Use cyclotron or linear accelerator
- Use proton source
- Often use superconducting technology
- Final beam power 10 100 MW
- Pulsed applications:
 - Use linear accelerator and ring (synchrotron or accumulator)
 - Use H- source
 - Final beam power 1 5 MW

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<section-header>J-PARC schematic layout Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex 9. Similar cost, similar schedule (due 2006 ~ 2007) 9. Courtesy J-PARC

<u>11</u>

SNS schematic layout



- Built on top of the ridge, only expandable with a 2nd target
- Extra long linac tunnel is reserved for future energy/power upgrade; ring capacity reserved



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Pulsed Accelerator Options:

- Full energy linac & accumulator ring
 - Simpler ring design, no magnet ramping, better field quality
 - Shorter ring storage time, less instability, lower beam loss
 - Not compatible to energy/power upgrade
 - Longer, more expensive linac
- Low energy linac & rapid cycling synchrotron
 - Easy on energy/power upgrade with additional RCSs
 - Less overall cost for facilities of lower (<1 MW) beam power
 - More RF, higher magnet strength for ring
 - Difficult to control beam loss

SNS versus J-PARC

- SNS:
 - Single-purpose: neutron spallation
 - A long linac for full-energy (1 GeV) acceleration
 - Ring "conservatively" designed and built for success
 - Linac uses superconducting technology
 - Future upgrades: increasing power to 2-4 MW (extending the linac & run the ring at 1.3 GeV) & adding the 2nd target
- J-PARC:
 - Multipurpose: neutron spallation, waste transmutation, highenergy experiments, and neutrino factory
 - A short room-temperature linac (400 MeV)
 - A challenging ring design (rapid-cycling-synchrotron) with many R&D items (high-gradient RF cavity, braided coil ...)
 - Flexible energy range (linac 186 MeV, 400 MeV, 600 MeV; Main ring 30 – 50 GeV)

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

15



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



<u>17</u>

History (what made it possible?)

- 1945 (E.M. McMillan, V.Veksler): Synchrotron
- 1950 1952 (E.D. Courant, M.S. Livingston, H.S. Snyder, N.C. Christofilos): Alternating-Gradient focusing
- Development of intense H⁻ and H⁺ source
- 1970 (I.M. Kapchinskii, V.A. Teplyakov): Radio Frequency Quadrupole
- Linac development:
 - Permanent magnet quad for DTL, super-conducting RF, etc.





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



<u>21</u>



- High radio-activation at injection, extraction, collection
 AGS: up to 10 mSv/hour at localized area
- High beam loss
 - FNAL Booster (15 40%): ramp tracking, debunching-recapturing, transition, aperture!
 - AGS/Booster (20 30%): pushing record intensity
 - ISIS (~15%): injection capture, initial ramp
 - PSR (0.3% Full energy accumulation): injection loss
- Injection, initial ramping, transition, instability
- <u>1 2 mSv / hour</u> average activation (30 cm, 4h cool)
- <u>1 2 Watt / meter</u> average beam power loss: ~10⁻⁴ needed

Significance of exposure to radiation

SNS

<u>23</u>

- 1 Sv = 100 Rem
- US occupational limit 5 Rem per year
- DOE laboratory guideline 1.25 Rem per year
- Hands-on maintenance:
 - 100 mrem/hour
 - 50 hours of work per year

Exposure	Significance
3.5 Sv	50% chance of survival
> Sv	Serious to lethal
> 50 mSv	Requiring medical checks
50 mSv.y^{-1}	Occupational dose limit
$15 - 50 \text{ mSv.y}^{-1}$	Strict dose control necessary
$5 - 15 \text{ mSv.y}^{-1}$	Professional exposure
$< 5 \text{ mSv.y}^{-1}$	Minimum control necessary
1 mSv.y^{-1}	Natural background
$10 \ \mu Sv.y^{-1}$	Insignificant

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

SNS expected beam-loss distribution

- Hands-on maintenance: no more than 1 mSv/h (100 mrem/hour) residual activation (4 h cool down, 30 cm from surface)
- 1 Watt/m uncontrolled beam loss
- Less than 10⁻⁶ fractional beam loss per tunnel meter at 1 MW operation
- Less than 10⁻⁴ uncontrolled beam loss in the ring



Source of uncontrolled beam loss

- Linac structure & lattice change: mismatches
- Space charge resonances: envelope, parametric halo, nonequipartitioning, tune shift & tune spread
- Physical aperture & momentum aperture limitation: dispersion, injection/extraction channel, chicane perturbation
- Ring injection loss: premature H⁻ and H⁰ stripping, foil hits
- Ring magnet errors: dipole-quad tracking; eddy-current & saturation, fringe field
- Instabilities: envelope, head-tail, microwave, coupled bunch, electron cloud
- Accidental loss: ion source and linac malfunction, extraction kicker failure

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>25</u>



• Localize beam loss to shielded area

- 2-stage collimation, 3-step beam-gap chopping/cleaning

- A low-loss design
 - Proper lattice design with adequate aperture & acceptance A/ ϵ >2
 - Injection painting; Injection & space-charge optimization ΔQ <0.2
 - Resonance minimization; Magnet field compensation & correction
 - Impedance & instability control
- Flexibility
 - Adjustable energy, tunes; Flexible injection; Adjustable collimation
 - Foil & spare interchange
- Engineering reliability: heat & radiation resistant
- Accidental prevention: Immune to front end, linac & kicker fault

Beam-loss localization

- "Sacrifice" collimation region for the rest
- Two-stage system, efficiency above 90%
- Needs a large vacuum-pipe aperture and a long straight section







Secondary collector design



- Length enough to stop primary protons (~ 1 m for 1 GeV beam)
- Layered structure (stainless steel particle bed in borated water, stainless steel blocks) to shield the secondary (neutron, γ)
- Fixed, enclosing elliptical-shaped wall for operational reliability
- Double-wall Inconel filled with He gas for leak detection



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



SNS ion source, LEBT, RFQ, MEBT

- Source/RFQ commissioned at LBL and ORNL
- Beam accelerated to ~ 40 MeV energy in April 2004
- RFQ mysteriously detuned by ~ 400 kHz; re-tuned in 2 weeks with re-machined tuning rods

$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{I}_{i}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q}_{i}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{I}_{i}\mathbf{Q}_{i}$				
Parameter	Baseline Design	Achieved		
Peak Current	38 mA	40 mA		
Pulse Length	1.0 msec	1.0 msec		
H ⁻ ions per macropulse	1.6x10 ¹⁴ 1.1x10 ¹³ (CD-4)	1.3x10 ¹⁴		
Emittance	0.3 π μm	~ 0.3 π μm		
Duty Factor	6%	4%		





<u>31</u>











Linac physical challenges



• Transverse emittance and jitter

 Ring foil miss 1-2%; total emittance growth in linac < (2 x); compared with, e.g., 5 –8 times growth at LANSCE), identified transverse jitter as main issue

- Momentum spread and jitter
 - Facilitate longitudinal painting with a narrow "brush" +/- 0.3%
 - Further correct phase-error at corrector with feed-forward
- Beam-loss, cleaning, diagnostics, machine protection
 - Lower than 1 W/m; adjustable scrapers in med.-energy transport
 - Fast loss monitor as part of machine protection
- RF power & overhead for RF control

Active Lorentz-force compensation with piezo tuners

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Linac-design debates



<u>37</u>

- Warm vs. superconducting RF linac?
 - SRF provides higher gradient (11~16 MV/m); tolerable to cavity/klystron failure; better vacuum & reliability
- Linac RF control: how many cavities per klystron?
 - SRF requires careful RF control on injection energy offset, Lorentz detuning, microphonics, beam loading/transient effects
 - One-klystron-per-cavity individual RF control for SNS linac
- How many types of cold cavity?
 - two cavity beta type: flexible for gradient upgrade, but large phase-slip requires detailed error-sensitivity analysis
 - Constant gradient & continuous focusing: maximizing field strength but compromising equipartition law
- How big should be the warm linac bore size (*)?
 - CCL bore diameter reduced from 4 to 3 cm, now aperture bottleneck due to CCL-to-SCL lattice (FODO to doublet) matching

Ring physical challenges

- Guaranteed beam-density on target
 - Immune to kicker misfiring, protected against malfunctions
- Electron cloud & other instabilities
 - Electron collection & control: electron-cloud generated at injection, collimators, and due to multipacting
 - Impedance from kicker ferrite module in the beam pipe
- Magnet field variation, correction, alignment
 - Field uniformity $\sim 10^{-4}$ for main magnets; shimming needed for solid-core magnets
 - Non-trivial design on C-type, septum to reach 10⁻³
- Loss control
 - Control of injection field to reduce H- and H0 loss
 - Facilitate two-stage collimation and beam-in-gap cleaning
- Diagnostics: e.g., ionization or luminescence profile monitor?

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Ring-design debates

- Accumulator or rapid-cycling synchrotron?
 - Loss-power comparison: PSR loss 0.3%; usual RCS loss ~10%
 - RF, power supply, beam-pipe shielding, magnetic & track errors
- FODO-doublet lattice or all-FODO lattice?
 - Long, matched straight section: injection independent of tuning; collimation efficiency from ~ 80% to 95%
- Do we need sextupoles? Energy corrector & spreaders?
 - Four-family chromatic sextupole for tune-spread control & match
 - Energy correctors & spreaders for longitudinal painting
- Can we use permanent magnets? Certainly not for a cold linac!
- Should the aperture be reduced? No, aperture is everything!
- Solid-core or laminated-core magnets (*)?
 - Large field variation in a solid-core magnet (although lower cost)



40

<u>39</u>

Lessons learned



- Permanent-magnet quadrupole in Drift-Tube-Linac
 - Possible lack of tuning capability
 - Complications on the manufacture of drift tubes
- Reduction of Coupled-Cavity-Linac aperture
 - Cost savings: CCL bore diameter reduced from 4 to 3 cm
 - Become an aperture bottle-neck when linac becomes superconducting (from FODO to doublet lattice)
- Ring solid-steel magnets
 - Instead of laminated steel, solid steel was chosen for cost savings
 - Individual magnet satisfactory
 - Magnetic field varies from magnet to magnet lack of shuffling
 - A big effort in measuring and shimming these magnets

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

41

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports

Parameters



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004

Outline



- Primary parameters
 - Ion species; Kinetic energy
 - Repetition rate
 - Pulse intensity; Bunch length
 - Emittances
- Beam evolution parameters
- Beam loss budget
 - Controlled loss, uncontrolled loss
- Ring system parameters

Major SNS parameters		SNS
Proton beam power on target	1.4 MW	KEUTRON SOURCE
Proton beam kinetic energy on target	1.0 GeV	
Average beam current on target	1.4 mA	
Pulse repetition rate	60 Hz	
Protons per pulse on target	1.5x10 ¹⁴ protons	
Charge per pulse on target	24 μC	
Energy per pulse on target	24 kJ	
Proton pulse length on target	695 ns	
Ion type (Front end, Linac, HEBT)	H minus	
Average linac macropulse H- current	26 mA	
Linac beam macropulse duty factor	6 %	
Front end length	7.5 m	
Linac length	331 m	
HEBT length	170 m	
Ring circumference	248 m	
RTBT length	150 m	
Ion type (Ring, RTBT, Target)	proton	
Ring filling time	1.0 ms	
Ring revolution frequency	1.058 MHz	
Number of injected turns	1060	
Ring filling fraction	68 %	
Ring extraction beam gap	250 ns	
Maximum uncontrolled beam loss	1 W/m	
Target material	Hg	
Number of ambient / cold moderators	1/3	
Number of neutron beam shutters	18	
Initial number of instruments	5	



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

3



Beam power • Characterizing the "power" of a high-intensity accelerator $\langle P \rangle = E_k \langle I \rangle$ • Average current of "facility" $\langle I \rangle = f_N N_p e$ • Repetition rate • Number of particles per pulse

• Raise energy, increase repetition rate, increase pulse intensity



Ion species



- Allows multi-turn accumulation to enhance pulse intensity
- Controls beam profile
- Demands a powerful H⁻ ion source
- Complication with electron stripping under gas scattering and under magnetic field
 - » Gas scattering: requiring relatively high vacuum
 - » Magnetic stripping: limits maximum magnetic field
- Proton beam is usually used for high-intensity dc applications in the absence of rings

Kinetic energy



- E.g. 0.8 5 GeV for neutron spallation
- Within a given range, a higher output energy implies
 - a higher output beam power, relatively "cheap" to achieve for a RCS (linearly proportional)
 - alleviated heating on target due to longer stopping length
 - higher magnet field, higher ramping power, more difficult field quality control
- A higher injection energy implies
 - reduced space-charge effects due to electro-magnetic force cancellation
 - more probably magnetic stripping demanding lower field, longer magnet, more injection space
 - higher cost of the injector accelerator

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Repetition rate

- Proportional to output beam power as high as possible
- Rapid-Cycling Synchrotrons: crucial & demanding
 - Demands a strong power supply
 - Demands a high radio-frequency (RF) voltage
 - Demands RF shielding to avoid heating on vacuum chamber while allowing image charge to circulate (impedance control)
 - Demands lamination to avoid heating in magnets
- Accumulators: less demanding
 - More demanding on the pre-injector (ion source output, linac klystron power ...)
 - Injection and extraction kicker power supply (shorter charging period)
 - RF power load and beam loading





9
Pulse intensity

- Proportional to output beam power as high as possible
- Usually limited by space charge constraints, instability threshold, instability growth
- Ring average current $\bar{I} = Nef_s$
- Ring peak current \hat{I} parabolic: $\hat{I} = \frac{3\pi}{2\phi} \bar{I}$ Gaussian: $\hat{I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\phi}} \bar{I}$ • Bunching factor $B = \frac{\bar{I}/\hat{I}}{\hat{I}} \leq 1$ parabolic: $B = \frac{C - L_{gap}}{C} \frac{2}{3}$ Gaussian: $B \approx \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{6} \approx 0.42$ Empirically: ~0.5 (accumulator); ~0.35 (RCS)

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>11</u>

Bunch length

- Range largely determined by applications & experiments
 E.g. ~ 1 ns for neutrino factory proton drivers
- Beam gap needed for beam extraction; maintained by the RF system
 - For low harmonic number: control bunch area/bucket area ratio
 - For high harmonic number: missing bunches
- Choice of RF harmonic number
 - Hardware availability at a particular RF frequency
 - Consideration of possible coupled-bunch instability
 - Needs for beam-gap cleaning

Emittances

Transverse emittance

constant of acceleration:

$$\oint x dp_x \qquad p_x \sim \beta \gamma x'$$

- Preservation of normalized emittance often needed for downstream applications; damping usually not practical
- Controlled emittance enlargement is sometimes used to alleviate space-charge effects; constraints from magnet aperture and power supply
- Longitudinal emittance

constant of acceleration: $\oint \phi dW$ $W = \frac{\Delta E}{h\omega_s}; \quad \frac{\Delta E}{E} = \beta^2 \frac{\Delta p}{p}$

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>13</u>

SNS beam evolution parameters

		Front En	d		Li	nac			Ring		
	IS/LEBT	RFQ	MEBT	DTL	CCL	SCL (1)	SCL (2)	HEBT	Ring	RTBT	Unit
Output Energy	0.065	2.5	2.5	86.8	185.6	391.4	1000	1000	1000	1000	MeV
Relativistic factor	0.0118	0.0728	0.0728	0.4026	0.5503	0.7084	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	
Relativistic factor y	1.00007	1.0027	1.0027	1.0924	1.1977	1.4167	2.066	2.066	2.066	2.066	
Peak current	47	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	9x10 ⁴	9x10 ⁴	mA
Minimum horizontal acceptance			250	38	19	57	50	26	480	480	πmm mr
Output H emittance (unnorm., rms)	17	2.9	3.7	0.75	0.59	0.41	0.23	0.26	24	24	πmm mr
Minimum vertical acceptance			51	42	18	55	39	26	480	400	πmm mr
Output V emittance (unnorm., rms)	17	2.9	3.7	0.75	0.59	0.41	0.23	0.26	24	24	πmm mr
Minimum longitudinal acceptance			4.7E-05	2.4E-05	7.4E-05	7.2E-05	1.8E-04		19/π		πeVs
Output longitudinal rms emittance		7.6E-07	1.0E-06	1.2E-06	1.4E-06	1.7E-06	2.3E-06		2/π		πeVs
Controlled beam loss; expected	0.05 ^a	N/A	0.2 ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5°	62 ^d	58 ^e	kW
uncontrolled beam loss; expected	70	100 ^f	2	1	1	0.2	0.2	<1	1	<1	W/m
Output H emittance (norm., rms)	0.2	0.21	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.46	44	44	πmm mr
Output V emittance (norm., rms)	0.2	0.21	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.46	44	44	πmm mr
Note		nonding	to 27% c	honned h	aam						

a) corresponding to 27% chopped beam
 b) corresponding to 5% chopped beam
 c) beam loss on the transverse and momentum collimators
 d) including total 4% of beam escaping foil and 0.2% beam loss on collimators
 e) including 4% beam scattered on the target window
 f) corresponding to 20% beam loss averaged over RFQ length

Ring primary parameters



Ring circumference	248.0 m
HEBT RTBT length	169 151 m
Proton beam energy	1 GeV
Average beam power	1.5 MW
Repetition rate	60 Hz
Number of protons per pulse	1.6×10^{14}
Peak RF voltage $(h = 1, 2)$	(40, 20) kV
No. of RF station (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	4.2.0
Unnorm. emittance ($\epsilon_n + \epsilon_n$, 99%)	$240 \pi \mu m$
Betatron acceptance	480 πµm
RF momentum acceptance	$\pm 1\%$
Transverse tunes (ν_x, ν_y)	6.23, 6.20
Transition energy, γ_T	5.23
No. of lattice super-periods	4
No. of dipole (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	39, 9, 1
Ring dipole field	0.7406 T
Ring dipole gap height	170 mm
No. of quad (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	53, 40, 32
Ring quad inner diameter	210-300 mm
No. of sextupole (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	20, 0, 0
Sextupole inner diameter	210-260 mm
No. of corrector (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	61, 18, 17
No. of kicker (injection, extraction)	8,14
No. of scraper (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	4, 5, 0
No. of absorber (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	3, 3, 2
No. of vacuum pumps (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	50, 18, 12
No. of power supply (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	156,48,47
No. of BPM (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	44, 37, 17
No. of loss monitor (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	82, 62, 43
No. of current monitor (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	2, 5, 5
No. of profile monitor (ring, HEBT, RTBT)	4, 13, 8
Vacuum pressure (ring, HEBT, RTBT × 10 ⁻⁸)	5, 1, 10 Torr

Major systems

- MagnetPower supply
- I ower supp
- Injection
- Radio-frequency system
- Collimation
- Extraction
- Vacuum
- Diagnostics
- Controls
- Infrastructure

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



Controlled beam loss

Mechanism	Location	Fraction	Power
HEBT:			
H ⁰ from linac	linac dump	10^{-5}	$20 \mathrm{W}$
linac transverse tail	HEBT H/V-collimator	10^{-3}	2 kW
energy jitter/spread from linac	HEBT L-collimator	10^{-3}	2 kW
Ring:			
beam-in-gap	BIG kicker/collimator	10^{-4}	200 W
excited \mathbf{H}^0 at foil	collimator	$1.3 imes 10^{-5}$	$26 \mathrm{W}$
partial ionization at foil	injection dump	10^{-2}	20 kW
foil miss	injection dump	10^{-2}	20 kW
ring beam halo	collimator	$1.9 imes 10^{-3}$	3.8 kW
energy straggling at foil	collimator	$3 imes 10^{-6}$	6 W
RTBT:			
kicker misfiring	RTBT collimator	10^{-5}	20 W







Injection, extraction dump **RING INJECTION DUMP** Beam stop material Cu Shielding material Fe alloy forced light water Cooling mechanism Maximum power 200 kW Operational hours per year 5000 h Maximum beam radius 100 mm Pulse peak density 5.0x10¹⁵ ppp/m² RING EXTRACTION DUMP Beam stop material steel Shielding material Fe alloy Cooling mechanism passive <u><</u> 7.5 kW Maximum power 500 h Operational hours per year Maximum beam radius 100 mm 3.8x10¹³ ppp/m² 2.3x10¹⁵ ppp/m² Pulse peak density at 60 Hz Pulse peak density at 1 Hz





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>21</u>



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Mechanism	Location	Fraction	Length	Power
			[m]	[W/m]
HEBT:				
H ⁻ magnetic stripping	all HEBT	1.7×10^{-6}	169	0.02
collimator out-scattering	HEBT achromat	$7.5 imes 10^{-6}$	15	0.1
Ring:				
H ⁻ magnetic stripping	injection dipole	$1.3 imes 10^{-7}$	1	0.3
nuclear scattering at foil	foil	$3.7 imes 10^{-5}$	30	2.5
collimation inefficiency	all ring	10^{-4}	218	0.9

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports

Layout



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

Outline



- HEBT layout & function
 Creation of dispersion region
- Ring layout & function
 - Lattice super-periodicity
 - Dispersive versus non-dispersive injection
- RTBT layout & function



Geometrical layout guideline

• HEBT _



- - » SNS: 90 degree bend; ESS: 180 degree bend; J-PARC, long debated whether momentum cleaning must be done
- Need adequate space to prepare beam for ring injection
- Ring
 - Clean geometry; minimize beamline crossing
 - » Design iteration from α to Ω
 - Choice of lattice periodicity
 - » 3 versus 4; separate collimation from injection?
 - » Whether injecting at dispersive region
- RTBT
 - Adequate space to protect the accelerator from target back-shine
 - Geometrically link the accelerator to target(s)







USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

J-PARC layout



Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex

- Similar cost, similar schedule (due 2006 ~ 2007)
- Ring clusters with expandable energy range; multipurpose





FIG. 6 Schematic layout of the proposed European Spallation Source ring. The ESS accelerator complex consists of two H^- ion sources, a 1.334 GeV linac, and two accumulator rings along with their transport lines. The rings are vertically stacked to achieve a combined 5 MW beam power (Section I, courtesy ESS Council).









Ring magnet assemblies SNS Ring arc ha First of the for ring First ring quarter cell assembly





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



<u>19</u>



Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports

Acceptance



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004

Outline

- Transverse acceptance
 - Emittance and admittance
 - Momentum closed orbit
 - Design closed orbit
 - Closed-orbit deviation
 - Beta beating
 - Dynamic acceptance
- Longitudinal acceptance
 - Bunch area and RF bucket area
 - Momentum acceptance

Transverse acceptance

Transverse motion

$$x(s) = x_{\beta}(s) + x_{p}(s) + x_{c,0}(s) + x_{c}(s)$$

• Betatron amplitude, off-momentum closed orbit, design closed orbit, closed-orbit deviation



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



Emittance definition

• Density distribution example -- Gaussian

$$n(x) = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma^2/\beta} = -2\ln(1-F)$$

- Typically convention
 - rms emittance
 - 4-sigma emittance
 - 6-sigma (95%) emittance
 - 99% emittance

$\epsilon \left[\sigma^2/\beta\right]$	F [%]
1	15
4	87
6	95
9	99

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Off-momentum closed orbit

• Dispersion D is in general a function of location and momentum

$$x_p(\Delta p / p, s) = D(\Delta p / p, s) \frac{\Delta p}{p}$$

- Widen vacuum chamber at high dispersion region (e.g. bending section)
- Eliminate vertical dispersion
- Watch for residual dispersion produced from injection chicane and painting bumps

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



5

<u>6</u>

Design closed orbit



- Single-turn injection: orbit bump
- Multi-turn painting close-orbit programming
- Extraction
 - Optional orbit bump before kicking
 - Extraction orbit given by kickers
- Diagnostics bump
 - Closed two-bump
 - Closed three-bump
 - Closed four-bump

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Dipole error-induced COD

• Single kick effects COD proportional to sqrt (β) at both source and BPM; maximum:

$$\Delta x(s)\Big|_{\max} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta(s)\beta(s_i)}}{2\sin(\pi\nu)}\theta_i \quad \Delta \phi = \pm \pi (\nu - m), \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots < \nu$$

COD modulation of harmonic close to *v*; integer resonance A symmetric cusp at location of a single steering error

$$\Delta x(s_i) = \frac{\theta_i}{2} \beta(s_i) \cot(\pi \nu); \qquad \Delta x'(s_i^+) = \frac{\theta_i}{2} [1 - \alpha(s_i) \cot(\pi \nu)]$$

Linear superposition of kicks

$$\Delta x(s)\Big|_{rms} = \sqrt{\beta(s)} \frac{\sqrt{N\langle \beta(s_i)\rangle}}{2\sqrt{2}\sin(\pi\nu)} \langle \theta_i \rangle_{rms}$$

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

7









• Two-magnet bump

٠

half-wavelength bump (n=1)

$$\mu_2 - \mu_1 = n\pi \qquad \theta_2 \sqrt{\beta_2} = (-)^{n+1} \theta_1 \sqrt{\beta_1}$$

Three-magnet bump flexible phase closed bump for diagnostics (aperture scan, gradient error measurement, magnet centering, ...) & correction

$$\frac{\theta_1\sqrt{\beta_1}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{32})} = \frac{\theta_2\sqrt{\beta_2}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{13})} = \frac{\theta_3\sqrt{\beta_3}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{21})}$$

• Four-magnet bump

control both amplitude and slope at a location

(two upstream, two downstream; e.g. for injection, extraction, ...)







Beta beat

- The increase in peak $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is proportional to the reduction in admittance
- Quadrupole gradient error perturbs the amplitude function, generates $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ wave

$$rac{\Deltaeta(s)}{eta(s)} = rac{1}{2\sin 2\pi
u} \sum_{i=1}^N eta(s) \Delta K_i L_i \cos[2|\psi(s) - \psi(s_i)| - 2\pi
u]$$

Off-momentum amplitude deviation

$$u = rac{1}{2\pi} \oint rac{ds}{eta} pprox rac{R}{\langle eta
angle} \qquad \qquad \langle rac{eta(p,s) - eta(p_0,s)}{eta(p_0,s)}
angle pprox - rac{\Delta
u}{
u} = -rac{\xi}{
u} rac{\Delta p}{p} pprox rac{\Delta p}{p}$$

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Sindle and

- Reduction in acceptance caused by higher-order errors
 - Magnet and powering geometry, systematic
 - Magnet fringe field, systematic

Dynamic acceptance

- Magnet manufacturing imperfection, random
- Field interference from other devices
- Evaluated in terms of tune shift & resonance crossing
- · Evaluated by means of computer tracking

<u>13</u>





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>15</u>



<u>17</u>





Momentum acceptance

- To accommodate large momentum spread, chromaticity often needs to be corrected using sextupole families
 - Natural chromaticity

$$\xi = \frac{dv}{d\left(\frac{\Delta p}{p}\right)} \approx -v$$

- Non-linear dependence of optics on momentum may cause reduction in acceptance
- Transition needs special attention: linear and chromatic effects

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports

Collimation



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004

Outline



- Transverse collimation
 - H⁻ beam collimation
 - Proton two-stage collimation
- Longitudinal collimation
 - H⁻ beam collimation
 - Proton momentum tail collection
 - Beam in gap cleaning
 - Proton momentum collimation

H⁻ transverse collimation



- Use movable striping foil as scraper, deflect the stripped particle with quadrupole for collection
- For single-pass cleaning, require multi scrapers to enclose different angle of the phase space



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



Proton scattering off collimator edge • Collection efficiency crucially depends on the impact parameter Density To increase overall efficienc Incident beam use two-stage system - Stage 1: movable scraper. Collimator Beam side side Thin material, length optimized between energy loss and scattering angle - Stage 2: collector/collimate x Scattered beam Thick, usually fixed, selfshielded & cooled, length chosen to be longer than th stopping distance of the particles

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou





5



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



- Dispersion-free region for betatron collimation
- Allow flexible arrangement at optimum phase advance
- Usually prefer doublet/triplet lattice with long drift space



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



Collimate at maximum dispersion region

$$D \left| \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right|_{scraping} >> \sqrt{\beta_x \varepsilon_{x,99\%}}$$

- Use a bending achromat to create high dispersion within a localized region
- The dispersion needed determines the bending angle
- Use movable stripping foils to scrape both positive and negative momentum tails
- Guide the scraped beam to the collectors

Momentum tail collection



- Initial momentum tail (negative energy)
 - Output from linac
 - Developed at injection stripping foil
- Inject at high-dispersion region, collect at π horizontal phase-advance downstream



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>11</u>





<u>13</u>



- Position scraper at high-dispersion region
- Position collimators also at high-dispersion region
- Positive momentum particle may return to core
- Negative momentum particle needs to be collected
- Compact lattice design is challenging; detailed modeling needed





Secondary collector design



- Length enough to stop primary protons (~ 1 m for 1 GeV beam)
- Layered structure (stainless steel particle bed in borated water, stainless steel blocks) to shield the secondary (neutron, γ)
- Fixed, enclosing elliptical-shaped wall for operational reliability
- Double-wall Inconel filled with He gas for leak detection



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Remote handling

- Overhead, around-the-ring crane
- Quick handling fixtures incorporated into shielding/absorber design
- Remote vacuum clamps; remote water fittings
- Passive dump window & change mechanism







(Courtesy G. Murdoch et al)

<u>17</u>
Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

SNS Ring Lattice Design

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI) J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Outline

- · Basic formalism
 - Betatron Motion
 - Transfer matrices
 - Twiss function parameter evolution
- Arc
 - FODO structure
 - Figure of merit
- Straight

 Matching
- The SNS design, some history
 - The α -configuration
 - The RCS design
 - The 1.3 GeV hybrid lattice (Ω-
 - Configuration)



- SNS ring design principles
 - Matching
 - Tunability
- Dispersion suppressor
 - Achromat
 - Half-field/missing dipole
- Examples

Betatron motion

The linear betatron motion of a particle is described by

$$x(s) = \sqrt{(2J_x)\beta_x(s)} \cos \phi_x(s) + D_x(s)\delta$$
with $D_x(s)$ the dispersion function
 $\delta = \frac{\delta p}{p}$ the momentum spread
 α, β, γ the twiss functions $\alpha(s) = -\frac{\beta(s)'}{2}, \ \gamma = \frac{1 + \alpha(s)^2}{\beta(s)}$
 ϕ the betatron phase $\phi(s) = \int \frac{1}{\beta(s)}^2$
• By differentiation, we have that the angle is
 $x'(s) = \sqrt{\frac{2J_x}{\beta_x(s)}} (\sin \phi_x(s) + \alpha_x(s) \cos \phi_x(s)) + D'_x(s)\delta$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



SNS



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



$$M_{a\to b} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_b}{\beta_a}} (\cos \Delta \phi + \alpha_a \sin \Delta \phi) & \sqrt{\beta_a \beta_b} \sin \Delta \phi \\ \frac{(\alpha_a - \alpha_b) \cos \Delta \phi - (1 + \alpha_a \alpha_b) \sin \Delta \phi}{\sqrt{\beta_a \beta_b}} & \sqrt{\frac{\beta_a}{\beta_b}} (\cos \Delta \phi - \alpha_b \sin \Delta \phi) \end{pmatrix}$$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

One-turn transfer matrix • One-turn matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}_{a+c} = M(a) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}_{a}$ $M_{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi Q + \alpha_{a} \sin 2\pi Q & \beta_{a} \sin 2\pi Q \\ -\gamma_{a} \sin 2\pi Q & \cos 2\pi Q - \alpha_{a} \sin 2\pi Q \end{pmatrix}$ or $M = I \cos 2\pi Q_{x} + J \sin 2\pi Q_{x}$ with $J = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\gamma & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Courant-Snyder parameter evolution • Evolution of courant-Snyder parameters $J_{2} = M(1,2)J_{1}M(2,1)$ • or $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}_{S_{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}m_{22} + m_{12}m_{21} & -m_{11}m_{21} & -m_{12}m_{22} \\ -2m_{11}m_{12} & m_{11}^{2} & m_{12}^{2} \\ -2m_{21}m_{22} & m_{21}^{2} & m_{22}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}_{S_{1}}$ • Example: Evolution of β over a drift of distance $\beta(s) = \beta_{0} - 2\alpha_{0}s + \gamma_{0}s^{2}$ • The phase advance of a drift from -L to L with a focus in the center $\phi = 2 \arctan \frac{L}{\beta_{0}} \quad \beta_{0} \rightarrow 0 \quad 180^{\circ} = \frac{1}{2}2\pi$



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Straight section



- Long, uninterrupted drift space, realized by either quadrupole doublets or triplets
- Matching between arc and straight, without perturbing optics in the arc (not to excite β wave)
- Example: 7 constraint matching
 Symmetric optics about the middle point of the long drift, I.e.

 $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 0$

- Match to the arc value

$$\alpha_x, \alpha_y, \beta_x, \beta_y$$

- Adjustable horizontal phase advance
- Watch for β perturbation in the middle of drift

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

11



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

• From a location 0 with D=D'=0

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ \Delta p / p \end{pmatrix}_{s} = \begin{pmatrix} C & S & D \\ C' & S' & D' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ \Delta p / p \end{pmatrix}_{0}$$
• Solution at location s $D(s) = S(s) \int_{0}^{s} \frac{C(s')}{\rho(s')} ds - C(s) \int_{0}^{s} \frac{S(s')}{\rho(s')} ds$
• Satisfying the dispersion equation

$$D''(s) + K(s) D(s) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$D''(s) + K(s)D(s) = \frac{1}{\rho(s)}$$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



Dispersion suppressor: half field



- With bending dipoles at half field, excites dispersion oscillation around $D^+/2$ and terminate when D reaches 0
- Advantage
 - Better dispersion matching
- Disadvantage
 - Horizontal phase advance not flexible
- Condition $M \mu_c = \pi$
- Example:
 - M=2 for $\pi/2$ FODO cells

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

15



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou







USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

The RCS design



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

SNS ring lattice design principles



- Separate-function magnets for Doublet: robustness
- Each straight section has a separate purpose
 - Collimation section is expected to be radioactively hot
 - Injection section needs frequent access (foil change)
- FODO arc:
 - Modest quad strength
 - Easy for correction (alternating β functions)
 - 2π phase advance for zero dispersion in the straights

- Long uninterrupted straights (enough space for collimation optimisation)
- Less joints, bellows, vacuum chambers
- Injection independent of lattice tuning (main disadvantage of $\alpha\mbox{-structure})$
- Doublet:
 - Long uninterrupted straights (enough space for collimation optimisation)
- Large Acceptance
 - Long uninterrupted straights (enough
- · Wide tuneability of "working point"
 - –e.g. split tune to suppress coupling from space charge & systematic skew quad
 - -Avoid dangerous structure resonances

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

25





USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





Focusing/Defocusing quad stronger with increasing Qy (almost linear dependence). Defocusing above the limit of 5 Tesla/m for Qy > 7. Lighter dependence with Qx (expected).



Focusing quad stronger with increasing Qx (almost linear dependence), no systematic behaviour for the defocusing one. Always moderate values.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

31



- Allow split tune for transverse coupling caused by systematic skew quadrupole errors
- Avoid structure resonances
- Avoid known instabilities-sensitive tune values
- Preferably adjustable during injection and ramping, e.g. to accommodate increasing space-charge tune shift during accumulation



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



- Excellent tunability
- · Present lattice is a good choice for an accumulator

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

Working point choice

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI) J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



During design, impose periodic structure stronger than 1

Resonance condition $n_x Q_x + n_y Q_y = p = mN$, with *m* the super-periodicity

If $p = mN \longrightarrow structural$ or systematic resonances

If $p \neq mN \longrightarrow non-structural$ or random

Major design points for high-intensity rings:

- Choose the working point far from structural resonances
- Prevent the break of the lattice supersymmetry

SNS Working point selection



- Allow flexible tune adjustment (more than one unit)
- Quantified all potential effects and evaluate tune-spread
- Allow split tune for transverse coupling caused by systematic skew quadrupole errors
- Avoid structure resonances
- Avoid known instabilities-sensitive tune values
- Preferably adjustable during injection and ramping, e.g. to accommodate increasing space-charge tune shift during accumulation

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Expected Tune-shifts



Mechanism	Tune-shifts	
Space Charge (2MW beam)	0.15-0.20	
Chromaticity ($\delta p/p=1\%$)	±0.08	
Quadrupole fringe-field	0.025	
Uncompensated magnet errors	±0.02	
Compensated magnet errors	±0.002	480π mm mrac
Chromatic Sextupoles	±0.002	
Fixed injection chicane	0.004	
Injection painting bump	0.001	

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

5





Vertical Tune



Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

Linear and non-linear imperfections and correction

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI) J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Linear Imperfections and correction



- Steering error and closed orbit distortion
- Gradient error and beta beating correction
- Linear coupling and correction
- Chromaticity

Steering error



3

- Closed orbit control is a major concern for highintensity rings (steering error may radio-activate the machine or even destroy components)
- Effect of orbit errors: Consider the vector potential describing a multi-pole magnet

$$A_{nz}(x,y) = -B_0 r_0 \Re e \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n + ia_n}{n} (\frac{x+iy}{r_0})^{n+1}$$

• Set $x = x + \delta_x$, $y = y + \delta_y$, take the normal part

and get multi-pole feed-down

$$A_{nz}(x,y) = -\frac{B_0}{r_0^n} \frac{b_n}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n/2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-2k} \sum_{m=0}^{2k} (-1)^l \binom{n}{2l} \binom{n-2k}{l} \binom{m}{2k} x^{n-2k-l} y^{2k-m} \delta_x^{\ l} \delta_y^{\ m}$$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Closed orbit distortion

Horizontal-vertical orbit distortion (Courant and Snyder 1957)

$$\delta_{x,y}(s) = -\frac{\sqrt{\beta_{x,y}}}{2\sin(\pi Q_{x,y})} \int_s^{s+C} \frac{\Delta B(\tau)}{B\rho} \sqrt{\beta_{x,y}} \cos(|\pi Q_{x,y} + \psi_{x,y}(s) - \psi_{x,y}(\tau)|) d\tau$$

with $\Delta B(\tau)$ the equivalent magnetic field error at $s = \tau$. Approximate errors as delta functions in *n* locations:

$$\delta_{x,y;i} = -\frac{\sqrt{\beta_{x,y;i}}}{2\sin(\pi Q_{x,y})} \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+n} \phi_{x,y;j} \sqrt{\beta_{x,y;j}} \cos(|\pi Q_{x,y} + \psi_{x,y;i} - \psi_{x,y;j}|)$$

with $\phi_{x,y;j}$ kick produced by *j*th element:

- $\phi_j = \frac{\Delta B_j L_j}{B \rho} \rightarrow \text{dipole field error}$
- $\phi_j = \frac{B_j L_j \sin \theta_j}{B \rho} \rightarrow \text{dipole roll}$
- $\phi_j = \frac{G_j L_j \Delta x, y_j}{B \rho} \rightarrow$ quadrupole displacement

Closed orbit correction for the SNS ring

- 36 Horizontal/vertical dipole correctors in horizontal and vertical high β 's (close to quadrupoles) in the arc. 8 combined horizontal and vertical correctors in the straights. Strings independently powered giving a total of 52, with ability of 1.2mrad kick.
- Simulations by introducing random distribution of errors and other potential orbit distortions (bump, chicane)
- Compute orbit displacement in Beam Position Monitors (placed downstream of the correctors)
- Minimize orbit distortion
 - Globally (harmonic, most efficient steerer)
 - Locally (bumps or SVD)
- Check if there is enough strength for adequate correction

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

5



Gradient error and optics distortion

 Key issue for the performance -> super-periodicity preservation -> only structural resonances excited



7

- Broken super-periodicity -> excitations of all resonances
- Causes
 - Errors in quadrupole strengths (random and systematic)
 - Injection elements
 - Higher-order multi-pole magnets and errors
- Observables

 $\begin{array}{ll} - \text{ Tune-shift} & \delta Q_{x,y} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \beta_{x,y}(s) \delta K_{x,y}(s) ds \\ - \begin{array}{l} - \text{Beta-beating} \\ \frac{\delta \beta_{x,y}(s)}{\beta_{x,y}(s)} = -\frac{1}{2\sin(2\pi Q_{x,y})} \int_{s}^{s+C} \beta_{x,y}(\tau) \delta K_{x,y}(\tau) \cos[-2(\pi Q_{x,y} + \psi x, y(s) - \psi x, y(\tau))] d\tau \\ - \begin{array}{l} - \text{Excitation of integer } Q_{x,y} = N \end{array} \text{ and half integer resonances } 2Q_{x,y} = N \end{array}$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Gradient error correction in the SNS ring

- TRIM windings on the core of the quadrupoles with ability to provide 1% of their maximum gradient and powered in 16 families
- · Simulation by introducing random distribution of quadrupole errors
- · Compute the tune-shift and the optics function beta distortion
- Move working point close to integer and half integer resonance
- Minimize beta wave or quadrupole resonance width with TRIM windings
- To correct certain resonance harmonics N, strings should be powered accordingly
- Individual powering of TRIM windings can provide flexibility and beam based alignment of BPM (initial plan)



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Linear coupling

- Betatron motion is coupled in the presence of skew quadrupoles or solenoids (|k|xy term in the vector potential).
- Motion still integrable with two new eigen-mode tunes, which are always split. In the case of a thin quad: $\delta Q \propto |k| \sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y}$
- On the other hand coupling resonances are excited, with driving terms: $|C_{\pm}| = \left|\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint dsk(s) \sqrt{\beta_x(s)\beta_y(s)} e^{i(\phi_x \pm \phi_y (Q_x \pm Q_y q_{\pm})2\pi s/C)}\right|$
- As motion is coupled we can have vertical dispersion and optics function distortion
- Causes:
 - Random rolls in quadrupoles
 - Skew quadrupole errors
 - Off-sets in sextupoles



- 28 skew quadrupole windings in every low aperture arc dipole corrector placed, powered individual
- Simulation by introducing random distribution of quadrupole errors
- Correct globally/locally coupling coefficient (or resonance driving term) and optics distortion (especially vertical dispersion)
- Move working point close to coupling resonances and repeat
- Evaluate beam losses and correction with multi-particle simulations
 - In particular for the working point (6.3,5.8), extensive losses where observed
 - Less important for (6.23,6.20), and round beams

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Chromaticity

- Linear equations of motion depend on the energy (term proportional to dispersion)
- · This leads to dependence of tunes and optics function on energy
- Chromaticity is defined as: $\xi_{x,y} = \frac{\delta Q_{x,y}}{\delta p/p}$
- For a linear lattice the natural chromaticity is:

$$\xi_{x,y} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \beta_{x,y} K(s) ds$$

- Large momentum spread (up to 2% for the SNS), leads to resonance crossing and excessive beam loss
- By introducing tune-shift with sextupoles, instabilities can be damped down (Landau damping)

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

13

Chromaticity correction for the SNS ring

 Off-momentum orbit on a sextupole gives a quadrupole effect and we have a sextupole induced chromaticity:

$$\xi_{x,y} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \beta_{x,y}(s) b_2(s) D_x(s) ds$$

- Introduce sextupoles in high-dispersion areas and tune them to achieve desired chromaticity
- Two families are able to control only first order chromaticity but not optics functions' distortion and second order chromaticity
- Solutions:
 - Place them accordingly to eliminate second order effects (difficult)
 - Use more families (4 in the case of of the SNS ring)



Absolute correction of optics beating with two families

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

15

Two vs. four families chromaticity correction



- Chromaticities set to zero (left) and other values (right) are plotted versus momentum spread with two and four sextupole families.
- · The second order chromaticity is efficiently corrected with 4 families

Non-linear effects and correction

- Kinematic effect
- Magnet fringe-fields
- Magnet imperfections
- Correction
 - Sextupole correction
 - Skew sextupole
 - Octupole correction
- · Singe-particle diffusion
 - Dynamics aperture
 - Frequency maps

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Kinematic effect

Kinematic non-linearity \rightarrow high-order momentum terms in the expansion of the relativistic Hamiltonian

- · Negligible in high energy colliders
- · Noticeable in low-energy high-intensity rings

First-order tune-shift:

$$\delta Q_{x,y} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2k-3)!!}{2^k (2k)!!} \times \sum_{\lambda=0}^k \lambda \binom{2\lambda}{\lambda} \binom{k}{\lambda} \binom{2(k-\lambda)}{k-\lambda} J_{x,y}^{\lambda-1} J_{y,x}^{k-\lambda} G_{x,y}$$

where $G_{x,y} = \oint_{\text{ring}} \gamma_{x,y}^{\lambda} \gamma_{y,x}^{k-\lambda} ds$

Leading order \longrightarrow octupole-type tune-shift

For the SNS ring, kinematic tune-shift is of the order of 0.001 @ 480 n.mm.mrad





General 3D field expansion



where

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial z^2} = 0 \ .$$

Appropriate expansion:

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{m,n}(z) \frac{x^n y^m}{n! m!} ,$$

By Laplace equation: $C_{m+2,n} = -C_{m,n+2} - C_{m,n}^{[2]}$

3D multipole coefficients

The field components:

$$B_{x}(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{m,n+1}(z) \frac{x^{n} y^{m}}{n! m!}$$
$$B_{y}(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{m+1,n}(z) \frac{x^{n} y^{m}}{n! m!}$$
$$B_{z}(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{m,n}^{[1]}(z) \frac{x^{n} y^{m}}{n! m!}$$

The usual normal and skew multipole coefficients are:

$$\begin{split} b_n(z) = & \mathcal{C}_{1,n}(z) = \left(\frac{\partial^n B_y}{\partial x^n}\right)(0,0,z) \\ a_n(z) = & \mathcal{C}_{0,n+1}(z) = \left(\frac{\partial^n B_x}{\partial x^n}\right)(0,0,z) \\ \end{split}$$
 Note that $\mathcal{C}_{m,n} = \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^k {k \choose l} \mathcal{C}_{m-2k,n+2k-2l}^{[2l]}$

21

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

3D field components

Consider two cases, for m = 2k (even) or m = 2k + 1 (odd)

$$\mathcal{C}_{2k,n} = \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^k {\binom{k}{l}} a_{n+2k-2l-1}^{[2l]} , \text{ for } n+2k-2l-1 \ge 0$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{2k+1,n} = \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^k {\binom{k}{l}} b_{n+2k-2l}^{[2l]}$$

and finally the field components are

$$\begin{split} B_x(x, y, z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} (-1)^m \binom{m}{l} \frac{x^n y^{2m}}{n! (2m)!} \left(b_{n+2m+1-2l}^{[2l]} \frac{y}{2m+1} + a_{n+2m-2l}^{[2l]} \right) \\ B_y(x, y, z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{x^n y^{2m}}{n! (2m)!} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{m} \binom{m}{l} b_{n+2m-2l}^{[2l]} - \sum_{l=0}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{l} a_{n+2m+1-2l}^{[2l]} \frac{y}{2m+1} \right] \\ &- \sum_{n=0}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{l} a_{n+2m+1-2l}^{[2l]} \frac{y}{2m+1} + a_{n+2m-1-2l}^{[2l+1]} \\ B_z(x, y, z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} (-1)^m \binom{m}{l} \frac{x^n y^{2m}}{n! (2m)!} \left(b_{n+2m-2l}^{[2l+1]} \frac{y}{2m+1} + a_{n+2m-1-2l}^{[2l+1]} \right) \end{split}$$

Dipole fringe field



Using the general z-dependent field expansion, for a straight dipole:

$$B_x = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^m x^{2n+1} y^{2m+1}}{(2n+1)! (2m+1)!} {m \choose l} b_{2n+2m+2-2l}^{[2l]}$$

$$B_y = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^m x^{2n} y^{2m}}{(2n)! (2m)!} {m \choose l} b_{2n+2m-2l}^{[2l]}$$

$$B_z = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^m x^{2n} y^{2m+1}}{(2n)! (2m+1)!} {m \choose l} b_{2n+2m-2l}^{[2l+1]}$$

and to leading order:

$$B_x = b_2 xy + O(4)$$

$$B_y = b_0 - \frac{1}{2} b_0^{[2]} y^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_2 (x^2 - y^2) + O(4)$$

$$B_z = y b_0^{[1]} + O(3)$$

Dipole fringe to leading order gives a sextupole-like effect (vertical chromaticity)

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

23

Quadrupole fringe field

General field expansion for a quadrupole magnet:

$$B_x = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^m x^{2n} y^{2m+1}}{(2n)!(2m+1)!} {m \choose l} b_{2n+2m+1-2l}^{[2l]}$$

$$B_y = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^m x^{2n+1} y^{2m}}{(2n+1)!(2m)!} {m \choose l} b_{2n+2m+1-2l}^{[2l]}$$

$$B_z = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^m x^{2n+1} y^{2m+1}}{(2n+1)!(2m+1)!} {m \choose l} b_{2n+2m+1-2l}^{[2l+1]}$$

and to leading order

$$B_x = y \left[b_1 - \frac{1}{12} (3x^2 + y^2) b_1^{[2]} \right] + O(5)$$

$$B_y = x \left[b_1 - \frac{1}{12} (3y^2 + x^2) b_1^{[2]} \right] + O(5)$$

$$B_z = xy b_1^{[1]} + O(4)$$

The quadrupole fringe to leading order has an octupole-like effect



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





- Be sure that they are important for your machine (scaling law)
- · Get an accurate magnet model or measurement
- Study dynamics
 - Integrating equations of motion
 - Build a non-linear map
 - » Hard-edge approximation
 - » Integrate magnetic field
 - » Fit magnetic field with appropriate function (Enge function)
- Use your favorite non-linear dynamics tool to analyze the effect

Quadrupole fringe field in the SNS ring

The hard-edge Hamiltonian (Forest and Milutinovic 1988)

$$H_f = \frac{\pm Q}{12B\rho(1+\frac{\delta p}{p})} (y^3 p_y - x^3 p_x + 3x^2 y p_y - 3y^2 x p_x),$$

First order tune spread for an octupole:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta\nu_x\\ \delta\nu_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{hh} & a_{hv}\\ a_{hv} & a_{vv} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2J_x\\ 2J_y \end{pmatrix},$$

where the normalized anharmonicities are

$$a_{hh} = \frac{-1}{16\pi B\rho} \sum_{i} \pm Q_{i}\beta_{xi}\alpha_{xi},$$

$$a_{hv} = \frac{1}{16\pi B\rho} \sum_{i} \pm Q_{i}(\beta_{xi}\alpha_{yi} - \beta_{yi}\alpha_{xi})$$

$$a_{vv} = \frac{1}{16\pi B\rho} \sum_{i} \pm Q_{i}\beta_{yi}\alpha_{yi}.$$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

27

Multipole errors



A perfect 2(n + 1)-pole magnet $\rightarrow \Phi(r, \theta, z) = \Phi(r, \frac{\pi}{n+1} - \theta, z)$ which gives n = (2j + 1)(n + 1) - 1

• Normal dipole $(n = 0) \longrightarrow b_{2j}$ • Normal quadrupole $(n = 1) \longrightarrow b_{4j+1}$ • Normal sextupole $(n = 2) \longrightarrow b_{6j+2}$ • $a_{0.025}$ • $a_{$

0.12

- All multi-pole components give suplementary non-linear effects that have to be quantified and corrected
- Most important the dodecapole component in a 21 cm quadrupole, with unshaped ends. It is equal to 120.10⁻⁴ of the main quadrupole gradient.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





- Ability to correct resonant lines for all possible working points

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Octupole correction for the SNS ring

- Causes
 - Quadrupole fringe-fields
 - Kinematic effect (small)
 - Octupole errors in magnets (10-4 level)
 - Sextupole, skew sextupole error give octupole-like tune-spread
- Effects
 - Tune-spread linear in action
 - Excitation of normal octupole resonances $4Q_{x,y} = N$ and $2Q_x \pm 2Q_y = N$
- Correction
 - 8 octupole correctors at the end of the arcs, independently powered
 - Tune their strength to minimize resonance driving terms or tune-spread


USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Error compensation in magnet design SNS Example: dodecapole in quadrupoles Tune-spread: $\begin{pmatrix} \delta\nu_x\\ \delta\nu_y \end{pmatrix} = \sum_i \frac{b_{5i}Q_i}{8\pi B\rho} \mathcal{D}_i \begin{pmatrix} J_x^2\\ J_x J_y\\ J_x^2 \end{pmatrix},$ where \mathcal{D}_i denotes the 3×2 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_{xi}^3 & -6\beta_{xi}^2\beta_{yi} & 3\beta_{xi}\beta_{yi}^2 \\ -3\beta_{xi}^2\beta_{yi} & 6\beta_{xi}\beta_{yi}^2 & -\beta_{yi}^3 \end{pmatrix}.$ i.e. quadratic in the actions. Qx Method of correction \longrightarrow Shape ends of the quadrupoles (local correction)

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



Sorting quadrupoles to minimize beam loss

Sort magnets to minimize effects of dangerous resonances for working point (6.4,6.3)
 Belance out multi-nele errors based on a) total field b) phase educates



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Single particle diffusion process



Three major types of diffusion :

a) Resonance overlapping: particles diffuse across resonance lines.

- ➔ FAST ~ 10² turns
- b) Resonance streaming: particles diffuse along resonance lines.
 - → SLOW ~ ≥10⁴ turns

c) <u>Arnold diffusion</u>: possibility of diffusion of particles in between the invariant tori of any slightly perturbed dynamical system (n>2).

→ EXTREMELY SLOW ~ ≥10⁷ turns

• With the presence of magnetic errors **only** the machine performance cannot be compromised. BUT: Space-charge + chromaticity + errors + broken super-periodicity enhance particle diffusion

• Important complication:

! The increase of the space-charge force due to beam accumulation shifts the particles in the frequency diagram

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

37

Diffusion due to magnet non-linearities for the SNS



•Tracking ~ 1500 particles with amplitudes near the loss boundary

- 85% of particles are lost within the first 100 turns
- Less than 1% of lost particles survive for more than 1000 turns
- Fast diffusion due to resonance overlapping

Dynamic Aperture



Dynamic aperture tracking for on momentum particles (left) and for $\delta p/p = -0.02$ (right), without (blue) and with (red) chromatic sextupoles



• Drop of the DA without chromatic sextupoles in both cases

• Unacceptable drop below physical aperture for $\delta p/p = -0.02$ (right)

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

39

Frequency and Diffusion Maps for the SNS Ring



Model includes

- Magnet fringe-fields (5th order maps)

- Magnet systematic and random errors (10⁻⁴ level)
- -4 working points, with and without chromaticity correction
- No RF, no space-charge
- Single particle tracking using FTPOT module of UAL
 - 1500 particles uniformly distributed on the phase space up to 480 π mm mrad, with zero initial momentum, and 9 different momentum spreads (-2% to 2%)







USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Resonance identification for (6.3,5.8)

Work. Point	δp/p (%)	Resonances	Possible Cause	Correction
	-2.0	(2,-1)	a3 random error	Mag. Qual. + Skew Sext.
	-1.5	(3,3)	b6 error on quads	Mag. Qual.
	-1.0	(3,1) <mark>(1,3)</mark>	a4 random error	Mag. Qual.
	-0.5	(3,0) (1,2)	b3 error + dipole fringe fields	Mag.Qual. + Sextupole
	0.0			
	0.5			
(6.3,5.8)		(1,1) (2,2)	Quad. fringe fields	Skew Quad Octupole
	1.0	(4,0) (2,-2) (0,4)	Quad. fringe fields	Octupole
		(3,-1) (1,-3)	a4 random error	Mag. Qual.
		(1,1) (2,2)	Quad. fringe fields	Skew Quad Octupole
	1.5	(4,0) (2,-2) (0,4)	Quad. fringe fields	Octupole
		(1,-3)	a4 random error	Mag. Qual.
	2.0			

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Working Point Comparison |D|Tune Diffusion quality factor $D_{QF} = \langle \frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{(I_{x0}^2 + I_{y0}^2)^{1/2}} \rangle_R$ Working point comparison (no sextupoles) 0.014 △(6.23,5.24) 0.012 ◊(6.4,6.3) Tune diffusion coef. 0.01 0.008 0.006 (6.3,5.8) 0.004 (6.23,6.20) 0.002 0 -2.5 -2 -1.5 -0.5 0 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 1 -1 Momentum spread [%]

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Correction packages



Baseline	Quantity	Powering	Justification
Dipole	52 (+2)	Individual	Injection dump dipoles
TRIM Quadrupoles	52	28 families	Beta beating correction due to lattice symmetry breaking
Skew Quadrupoles	16	Individual	Coupling correction
High-Field Sextupoles	20	4 families	Correction of large chromatic effect
Normal Sextupoles	8	Individual	Sextupole resonance correction due to sextupole errors and octupole feed-down
Skew Sextupoles	16	8 families	Skew sextupole resonance correction (AGS booster)
Octupoles	8	Individual	Octupole resonance correction due to quadrupole fringe-fields

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

Magnets

Theory, models and measurements

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI) J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

SNS magnets

- SNS magnet zoology
- Theory
 - Multi-pole expansion
- Modelisation
 - HEBT magnets
 - Ring magnets
 - RTBT magnets
- Measurements
 - Ring Dipole magnets ITF problem
 - Quadrupoles
 - Injection chicane



The SNS Magnets



3

REGION	NAME	ELEMENT	MODEL	Deviation of Beam center from magnet center-line	COMMENTS
HEBT	12Q45	QUAD	YES-2D	N/A	
	8D533	DIPOLE	YES-2D	6.55 cm	
	8D406	DIPOLE	YES-2D	3.96 cm	same csect as 8D533
	21Q40	QUAD	YES-3D	N/A	RING & RTBT
INJECTION	7DS297	Septum DIPOLE	YES-2D	2.1 cm	
	24D64 1st Chicane	Septum DIPOLE	YES-3D	0.23 cm	RING
	24D75 2nd Chicane	C-DIPOLE	YES-3D	0.30 cm	RING
	23D64 3rd Chicane	C-DIPOLE	YES-3D	0.30 cm	RING
	24D68 4th Chicane	H-DIPOLE	YES-2D	0.28 cm	RING
	30Q44, 58	QUAD	YES-2D	N/A	RING & RTBT
	20DP64	WF-DIPOLE	YES-3D	N/A	RING
	20DP21	WF-DIPOLE	YES-3D	N/A	RING
RING	17D120	H-DIPOLE	YES-3D	1.5 [cm]	
	21Q40	QUAD	YES-3D	N/A	HEBT & RTBT
	26Q40	QUAD	YES-3D	N/A	
	30Q44, 58	QUAD	YES-2D	N/A	Injection. & RTBT
	21S26	SEXT	YES-3D	N/A	

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Mag	net pa	rameters					SNS
	Type	Location		No.	Field	Aperture	Length
Dipoles	8D533	Achromat		8	0.21 T	8 cm gap	5.43m
-	8D406	ARMS		1	$0.21 {\rm T}$	8 cm gap	4.13m
	16CDH 20	LAMS,ARMS,LDU	MP	7	$0.03 {\rm T}$	$12~{\rm cm}$ \times $12~{\rm cm}$	$0.2 \mathrm{m}$
	16CDV 20			7			
	27CDH20	Achromat		2	$0.03 {\rm T}$	$20~{\rm cm}$ \times $20~{\rm cm}$	0.3m
	27 CDV 20			2			
Quadrupoles	12Q45	LAMS, ARMS		26	4.5 T/m	$12 \text{ cm } \phi$	$0.5 \mathrm{m}$
	21Q40	Achromat		8	2.5 T/m	$20~{\rm cm}~\phi$	$0.505 \mathrm{m}$
	12Q45	LDUMP		6	$3.0 \mathrm{T/m}$	$12~{\rm cm}~\phi$	$0.505 \mathrm{m}$
	Type		Nu	mber	Field	Aperture	Length
Dipoles	17D244		1		$0.67 {\rm T}$	$17~{\rm cm} \times 45~{\rm cm}$	$2.64 \mathrm{~m}$
	27CD30		15		$0.02 \mathrm{T}$	$20~{\rm cm}$ \times $20~{\rm cm}$	$0.3 \mathrm{m}$
	36CDR30		4		$0.02 \mathrm{T}$	$36~{\rm cm}$ \times $36~{\rm cm}$	0.3
Quadrupoles	21Q45, 36	Q85, 30Q44, 30Q58	23		4.7 T/m	$20~{\rm cm}~\phi$	$0.5 \mathrm{m}$
			4		2.5 T/m	36 cm ϕ	$1.0 \mathrm{~m}$
			3		4.5	$30 \text{ cm } \phi$	$0.55 \mathrm{~m}$
			2		4.2	$30 \text{ cm } \phi$	0.70

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou







USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



11

Magnetic multipole expression • 2-D multipole expansion (European convention m->n+1) $B_y + iB_x = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n + ia_n)(x + iy)^n$ • Normalised units (reference radius, main field) $B_y + iB_x = 10^{-4}B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b'_n + ia'_n) \left(\frac{x + iy}{R_{ref}}\right)^n$ • "Allowed multipoles": multipoles allowed by symmetry $2m(2k+1), \qquad k = 0,1,2...$

Magnet, fringe field, compensation



- <u>Dominant error</u>: eddy current (ramp matching), saturation; limit peak field (e.g. 1 T) and ramp rate (e.g. 30 T/s) (e.g. 5%)
- Eased by programmable ramp (IGBT switch etc)
- <u>Dominant field components:</u> allowed multipoles (e.g. 2%); correctable by magnet pole shaping
- Fringe field:
- important for large acceptance, moderate ring circumference (e.g. 0.2%)
- Order of magnitude: (emittance) / (magnet length)
- (or $(\epsilon/L) \beta'$ when $\beta' >> 1$, e.g. collider IR)
- Correctible with octupole correctors

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

13 13



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilipp័őů





Chamfer optimization for the local correction of the dodecapole harmonic on the 21Q40 quadrupole prototype (final integrated b6 = 1.6 units with chamfer #3)

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

SNS ring injection layout



The injection septum (7DS297)



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





 Δx_{max} (max displ from c-line)=±0.0 [cm]



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



Injection Kickers parameters



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou







USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



Extraction Septum Magnet (17ELS244)



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



The Corrector Multipole 27CDM30 Dipole and Quad windings | Windings for Sextupole $B_r = b_0 + a_1(r)\cos(3\theta) + a_2(r)\cos(3\theta)$ dip. sq_quad sq_sext ∫b₀dz 8.2 [kGcm] at r=10 [cm] $a_1(r)dz 0.27 [kG]$ at r=10 [cm] $\int a_2(r) dz = 0.066 [kGcm^{-1}]$ at r=10 [cm] Windings to minimize The sextupole due to dipole minimized: Sextupole due to dipole $\int b_n(r) dz / \int b_0 dz < \pm 2x 10^{-3} r = 10 cm$







USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



- Normal aperture quadrupole measured and first article purchased
- 1.3 GeV compatible dipole achieved required field non-uniformity level with optimized bumps

Production Dipole measuring equipment

• Rotating coil to measure *integral* field harmonics.

(2.49 m long; 163.8 mm diameter; 5 windings)

- Magnet is placed on a level stand.
- Measuring coil is nominally centered axially in the magnet.

• Measuring coil can be moved laterally to scan the entire magnet aperture.

• Measuring coil has survey fiducials to locate its position relative to the magnet.

- Magnet is placed on a level stand.
- Measuring coil is nominally centered axially in the magnet.
- Measuring coil can be moved laterally to scan the entire magnet aperture.

• Measuring coil has survey fiducials to locate its position relative to the magnet.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

41

Field Scans at a Fixed Current



•The entire aperture region is scanned in 5 separate measurements at different lateral positions of the measuring coil (Center, $\pm 2"$ and $\pm 4"$)

• 5 readings are taken at each position to ensure data quality. Typical noise in integral T.F. is ~0.002%

• Entire scan is done for two currents: I = 4395 A (1.11 T.m, 1.0 GeV), and I = 5409 A (1.33 T.m, 1.3 GeV)

• Measurements are done on the down ramp after one cycle to I_{max} ($I_{\text{max}} =$ 4834A for 1.0 GeV and 5450A for 1.3 GeV).



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

SD1701 Integral dipole field quality @ horizontal center



Integral Transfer Function: 0.25279 T.m/kA Integrated Dipole Field at 4380 A: 1.1072 T.m (1 GeV) Integral Normalized Harmonics in "units" of 10⁻⁴ at 80 mm radius

n	b_n	a_n	n	b_n	a_n
1	-105.21	1.10	8	-0.02	-0.01
2	0.30	-0.53	9	-0.17	-0.01
3	2.10	-0.05	10	-0.32	0.00
4	0.98	0.07	11	0.14	0.01
5	0.08	0.00	12	0.32	0.00
6	-0.27	0.02	13	-0.10	-0.01
7	0.19	0.02	14	-0.32	0.00

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

43





Field Quality as a Function of Current

- The field quality is also measured as a function of current in the central region of the magnet.
- Measurements are done on a down ramp after cycle to (4834A for 1.0 GeV and 5450A for 1.3 GeV).
- Measurements are done at 4395A, 4300A, 4200A, 4100A, 4000A, 3900A and 3770A for 1 GeV cycle.
- Measurements are done at 5409A, 5350A, and 5300A to 4500A in 100A steps, in addition to the I_{max} currents listed above, for the 1.3 GeV cycle.

Integral Transfer Function Problems

Measurement Type	1.0 GeV	1.3 GeV
Positive Polarity, 1st Measurement	0.25178	0.24554
Negative Polarity	0.25177	0.24554
Positive Polarity, 2nd Measurement	0.25178	0.24553
Positive to Negative agreement	0.003%	0.000%
Positive-1 to Positive-2 agreement	0.001%	0.002%
SD1704 relative to current mean	-0.225%	-0.159%

• After the first few magnets were measured, the integral transfer function began to show large magnet to magnet variation (up to $\sim 0.25\%$ from mean).

• Possible sources of measurement errors were ruled out by incorporating redundant current readouts, carrying out NMR measurements and measuring a previously measured magnet again.

• Possible role of remnant magnetization of iron was ruled out by carrying out measurements for negative polarity of current, and then for the positive polarity again. The results were insensitive to the polarity.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

47

Shimming of the Dipoles



•After carefully considering several correction options, it was decided to use iron shims to correct the integral transfer function in all dipoles.

• The transfer function could be either reduced (by adding shims to the return legs) or increased (by adding shims under the pole), without requiring expensive and risky machining operations.

• POISSON (2-D) and TOSCA (3-D) calculations were carried out to verify that no unwanted harmonics were generated by shimming, even for the extreme cases.

• Two dipoles (one low and one high ITF) were shimmed on an experimental basis to verify the effectiveness of the shimming procedure. The results were as expected.

SD1701 +2 mil shims OK to use 0.25238 -0.008% -0.5 Not Needed SD1703 +5 mil shims To be reshimmed 0.25246 0.024% +1.6 Return Leg SD1704 To be shimmed 0.25178 -0.245% -16.4 Pole SD1705 +10 mil shims 0.K to use 0.25241 0.004% +0.3 Not Needed SD1708 To be shimmed 0.25272 0.127% +8.5 Return Leg SD1709 To be ershimmed 0.25231 -0.035% -2.4 Pole SD1709 To be ershimmed 0.25206 -0.136% -9.1 Pole SD1710 To be shimmed 0.25206 -0.043% -0.0 Not Needed	if not shimmed further
SD1703 -5 mil shims be reshimmed 0.25246 0.024% +1.6 Return Leg SD1704 To be shimmed 0.25178 -0.245% -16.4 Pole SD1705 +10 mil shims OK to use 0.25241 0.004% +0.3 Not Needed SD1705 To be shimmed 0.25272 0.127% +8.5 Return Leg SD1709 To be shimmed 0.25272 0.035% -2.4 Pole SD1701 To be shimmed 0.25231 -0.035% -2.4 Pole SD1710 To be shimmed 0.25206 -0.136% -9.1 Pole SD1701 To be shimmed 0.25274 0.037% -0.0 Not Needed	0.622 mm
SD1704 To be shimmed 0.25178 -0.245% -16.4 Pole SD1705 +10 mil shims OK to use 0.25241 0.004% +0.3 Not Needed SD1708 To be shimmed 0.25272 0.127% +8.5 Return Leg SD1709 -15 mil shims To be eshimmed 0.25231 -0.035% -2.4 Pole SD1710 To be shimmed 0.25206 -0.136% -9.1 Pole SD1710 To be shimmed 0.25206 -0.035% -0.0 Not Needed	-1.811 mm
SD1705 +10 mil shims OK to use 0.25241 0.004% +0.3 Not Needed SD1708 To be shimmed 0.25272 0.127% +8.5 Return Leg SD1709 To be shimmed 0.25272 0.035% -2.4 Pole SD1710 To be enshimmed 0.25236 -0.035% -9.1 Pole SD1710 To be shimmed 0.25266 -0.013% -9.1 Pole	18.688 mm
SD1708 To be shimmed 0.25272 0.127% +8.5 Return Leg SD1709 -15 mil shims 0.25231 -0.035% -2.4 Pole SD1710 To be reshimmed 0.25206 -0.136% -9.1 Pole SD1710 To be shimmed 0.25206 -0.0136% -9.1 Pole	-0.320 mm
SD1700 -15 mil shims To be reshimmed 0.25231 -0.035% -2.4 Pole SD1710 To be shimmed 0.25206 -0.136% -9.1 Pole SD1714 +8 mil shims +8 mil shims 0.25206 -0.136% -9.1 Pole	-9.660 mm
SD1710 To be shimmed 0.25206 -0.136% -9.1 Pole SD1711 +8 mil shims 0.25242 0.01284 -0.01284<	2.687 mm
CD1711 +8 mil shims 0.25242 0.0129/ 0.0.9 Not Not Not ded	10.363 mm
OK to use 0.25243 0.012% +0.8 Not Needed	-0.890 mm
SD1714 +7 mil shims To be reshimmed 0.25247 0.029% +2.0 Return Leg	-2.228 mm
SD1715 To be shimmed 0.25231 -0.034% -2.3 Pole	2.626 mm
SD1717 OK to use 0.25240 0.000% -0.0 Not Needed	0.006 mm
SD1718 To be shimmed 0.25262 0.087% +5.9 Return Leg	-6.659 mm
SD1719 OK to use 0.25240 0.001% +0.1 Not Needed	-0.109 mm
SD1721 OK to use 0.25238 -0.010% -0.6 Not Needed	0.731 mm
SD1722 OK to use 0.25241 0.002% +0.1 Not Needed	-0.157 mm
SD1724 +9 mil shims OK to use 0.25242 0.007% +0.5 Not Needed	-0.552 mm





Shimming is optimized for 1.0 GeV operation (16X reduction in standard deviation).
Standard deviation at 1.3 GeV operation is also reduced, but only by a factor of ~2.7.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Multi-pole components before and after shimming

	Before	After	Change	1	Before	After	Change
I.T.F.	0.25280	0.25242	-0.152%				
b1	-104.879	-104.850	0.029	a1	-0.290	-0.302	-0.012
b2	-0.415	-0.462	-0.047	a2	-0.441	-0.473	-0.032
b3	2.137	2.146	0.010	a3	-0.064	-0.080	-0.015
b4	1.591	1.557	-0.034	a4	0.030	0.029	-0.001
b5	-0.111	-0.112	-0.002	a5	-0.061	-0.056	0.005
b6	-0.529	-0.531	-0.002	a6	0.022	0.018	-0.004
b7	0.270	0.268	-0.002	a7	0.106	0.104	-0.002
b8	0.017	0.010	-0.008	a8	-0.016	-0.014	0.002
b9	-0.081	-0.084	-0.003	a9	-0.131	-0.124	0.007
b10	-0.237	-0.231	0.006	a10	-0.009	-0.007	0.002
b11	-0.032	-0.028	0.004	a11	0.142	0.135	-0.006
b12	0.151	0.145	-0.005	a12	0.030	0.027	-0.004
b13	0.061	0.057	-0.004	a13	-0.124	-0.119	0.005
b14	-0.117	-0.112	0.005	a14	-0.037	-0.034	0.003

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

51

Effective length dependence on shimming

Magnet	I.T.F. (T.m/kA)	T.F. (T/kA)	Leff (m)
SD1701 (shimmed)	0.25237	0.17544	1.4385
SD1705 (shimmed)	0.25242	0.17547	1.4385
SD1709 (1st shims)	0.25231	0.17540	1.4385
SD1710 (unshimmed)	0.25206	0.17526	1.4382
SD1711 (unshimmed)	0.25269	0.17568	1.4384
SD1714 (1st shims)	0.25247	0.17550	1.4386
Mean=	0.25239	0.17546	1.4384
Std. Dev.=	0.082%	0.077%	0.011%

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Measured harmonics for the 21Q40

•

n	an	bn
1	-1.4 †	-58.1 †
2	0	10000
3	-0.1	1.6
4	-0.2	1.4
5	0.0	0.1
6	0.1	1.5
7	0.0	-0.1
8	-0.1	-0.2
9	0.0	0.1
10	0.0	-0.5
11	0.0	0.0
12	0.0	-0.1
13	0.0	0.0
14	0.0	-0.1

- The boxed values are the integrated harmonics allowed by quadrupole symmetry
- All harmonics are on the required within the required level of 10⁻⁴ of the quadrupole field
- Remark: the large values on the dipole terms are due to errors of the measuring coil location (0.5mm centering error)

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

26Q40 quadrupole multi-poles Harmonics at 26Q40: 8 Magnets reference radius (480 π mm mrad) 26Q40 ITF (uuu 0.04% 5 fean (%) 0.03% a =0.0156 105 0.02% 0 (10 4 Ν RMS 0.01% 0.009 (10-4) Skew Sextupole 4 -5 -0.01% 1.35 b₂ 0.02% -10 -0.03% Before Repai -0.04% 3.27 -15 a2 6 400 450 500 550 600 650 700 750 800 850 Current (A) 2.53 **a**₃ 26Q40: 8 Magnets b₆ 0.95 Before R Ĩ (10 4, 105 26Q40: 8 Magnet 0.36 8 b₁₀ 10 4 sextupole After Re pole 2 ð #3 400 450 500 550 600 650 70 Current (A) 700 750 800 850 4 600 650 700 750 800 850 Current (A) 400 450 500 550

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Injection chicane field angle measurements

- Single Hall probe mounted on a precise aluminum cube
- Measurement of B_z/B_y
- Angle of electron-guiding field in agreement with 3D modeling



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou
Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

SNS ring power supplies

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI) J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

PS Function / Technology	Current [A]	Voltage [V]	Power [kW]	HEBT & linac dump	Ring & inj. dump	RTBT & ext. dump	Total		SPALLATION KEURON SO
Low field	20	35	0.7	18	98	15	131	_	
corrector /	20	75	1.5		8		8	•	Conventional for
Switchmode -	40	35	1.4		8		8		an accumulator /
linear	40	75	3.0		8		8		all accarriatator ;
	120	35	4.2			4	4		synchrotron
			Total	18	122	19	159		
Medium range PS /	185	27	5.0			4	4	•	All DC except
	390	24	9.4	15			15		injection and
12 pulse phase	700	18	12.6	9		5	14		injection and
controlled	900	51	45.9	4		8	12		extraction.
	1300	95	123.5	1		8	9		
	1405	390	548.0	1		6	7		Adjustable for
	2400	50	120.0		1	1	2	-	Aujustable Ioi
	4000	18	72.0		6		6		tuning and rated
			Total	30	11	28	69		up to 1 3GeV
Main dipole PS /	6000	440	2640		1		1		
12 pulse phase controlled			Total	0	1	0	1		extraction kickers)
Injection bump PS	1400	800	pulsed		8		8		/
Switchmode			Total	0	8	0	8		
Extraction kicker PS	2500	35000	pulsed		14		14		
Blumlein PFN			Total	0	14	0	14		
		Gran	d total	48	156	47	251		

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Power supplies issues for RCSs



3 types

- Bridge rectifiers connected to the power grid
- Bridge rectifiers with local energy storage
- Resonant system with local energy storage in capacitors and chokes
- Large amount of power (MW) and need for local energy storage -> resonant system (disadvantages: not a static injection, Eddy currents, high voltage by the RF)
- Control of flat bottom injection and and flat top extraction with high-frequency ac rectifiers as the Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBT) providing maximum flexibility

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

3



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Medium-field power supplies



5

- Power all main elements in ring and transfer lines apart dipoles
- 69 units grouped at 12 groups to minimize cost (from 185 to 4000A)
 5 kW To 550 kV
- Ripple corrected with passive filters
- Stability requirement of 2x10⁻⁴ imposes specification of 10⁻⁴ on current stability (ability to achieve requirement even for half the max current)

HEBT Dipole	3
HEBT Quad	22
L Dump Quad	4
Injection Septum	2
Injection DC	4
I Dump Quad	1
Ring Quad	6
Ring Sextupole	4
RTBT Dipole	1
RTBT Quad	19
E Dump Quad	2
Extraction Septum	1

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

<section-header><image><image><image>

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Medium-field power supply schedule

Milestone	Estimate/Actual	SPALLATION NEU
Release RFP to Procurement	Jun 29, 2001	
Contract Award	Oct 31, 2001	
Design Review	Feb 15, 2002	
Factory Testing Starts	Dec 3, 2002	
5040 A, 18V Ships	Mar 1, 2003	
First 185A, 27V & 390A, 24V tested	Oct 9, 2003	
First 4000A, 18V & 700A, 18V tested	Nov 21,2003	
Delivery Complete All 185A, 27V	March 2004	
Delivery Complete All 390A, 24V	April 2004	
Delivery Complete All 700A, 25V	April 2004	
Delivery Complete All 700A, 18V	May 2004	
Delivery Complete All 4000A, 18V	July 2004	
Last Production Article Ship	Feb 15, 2005	

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

7



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Extraction kickers power supply



kicker magnet inductance	0.76 -0.8 uH
magnet current	2 - 2.5 kA
blumlein PFN Voltage	35 kV
pulse current rise time	200nS
current pulse width	750 nS
pulse repetition	60 Hz

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



<u>k</u>sns

Extraction kickers prototype power supplies



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

10

Injection power supplies



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports

Injection



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004



- Single-turn injection
- Conventional multi-turn injection
- Charge-exchange multi-turn injection
 - Transverse painting
 - Longitudinal painting
 - Horizontal-longitudinal coupled painting

Injection objective

- Inject the beam into the ring with a minimum uncontrolled beam loss
- Either preserve the emittance, or dilute the emittance in a controlled way
- System requirements
 - Field quality within tolerance
 - » Fringe field of the septum and other magnets
 - » Field profile of the injection kicker
 - » Power supply repeatability
 - Rise and fall time of the kicker within tolerance
 - RF system capable of beam loading transient effects

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



- Usually at "flat-bottom"; upright ellipse
- Adjust RF frequency for synchronization, and RF voltage for aspect ratio matching

<u>3</u>

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

5

Charge-exchange multi-turn injection

- · Painting for desired emittance, desired beam profile
- Stripping of H⁻ and H⁰ requires magnet field selection (< +/-5%)
- Minimize foil hits (e.g. average 6 hits in 1000 turns): "post-stamp" foil
- Long straight for chicane module: decoupled from lattice tuning
- Quick disconnect, easy replacement: multi-foil chain
- Stripped electron collection
 - Water-cooled collector (ISIS);
 - Specially tapered magnets (SNS)
 - Mirror-field coil & magnetized surface (LANL proposal)
- Future proposal: laser stripping -- require high laser power & efficiency

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Stripping of H⁻ and H⁰

- General loss criteria for stripping in HEBT and injection:
 < 10⁻⁷ per meter beam loss
- Gas stripping sets a limit on vacuum pressure
 5x10⁻⁸ ~ 10⁻⁷ Torr
- Electro-magnetic Lorentz stripping on H⁻ beam
 - Mean decay length in laboratory frame

$$\lambda_s = \frac{A_{s1}}{B} \exp \frac{A_{s2}}{\beta \gamma B},$$

$$A_{s1} = (2.47 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-6}$$
 Tm, and $A_{s2} = 15.0 \pm 0.03$ T

- Less that 3 kG field for 1 GeV beam
- H⁰ stripping
 - Require specific field (<+/-5% error) maintain decay lifetime of certain quantum state (n=5) H⁰ from pre-mature stripping
 - Foil residing in a trailing field at 2.4 kG





7





Red: fixed chicane dipoles

SNS injection (zero dispersion)

- Independent H, V, L control
- Circular & square profile both attainable
- Energy corrector & spreader in HEBT
- Tolerable to momentum errors
- Small residual $\Delta\beta/\beta$ & dispersion
- Extra space left for future upgrade to 1.3 GeV (lower field, longer length for chicane 2 & 3

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



<u>11</u>



• Anti-parallel horizontal and vertical orbit bumps



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



• Steer in one direction, painting in the other



Painting scheme comparison



Scheme	Advantage	Disadvantage
Correlated	Paint over halo (square beam profile)	Singular density Coupling emittance growth
Anti-correlated	Ideal uniform distribution Immune to coupling (circular beam profile)	Halo growth due to space charge Extra 50% aperture
Coupled (correlated)	Paint over halo (diamond beam profile)	Extra acceptance needed
Paint (H)/steer (V)	Similar to anti-corr. Paint Less fast kickers	Foil support difficult suscep. to operational error
Paint (V) / steer (H)	Similar to anti-corr. Paint Less fast kickers	Vertical injection suscep. to operational error
Oscillating bump	Uniform distribution Paint over halo	Fast power supply switch Extra 50% aperture (H&V)

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>15</u>



• Disadvantage of not painting over halo (vertical direction)





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



Test of OKNL/UT diamond toil at BNL

- Newly developed diamond foil tested on AGS linac with 750 keV H- beam
- Foil duration test
 - Four-side supported foil withstands an equivalent power beyond 2 MW
 - No observable damage during each 5-day testing periods
- Foil support test
 - Two-edge foil tested
 - Three-edge free-hang foils under development





<u>21</u>



Measured

- Calculated

Y-Position (cm) USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

0.210

0.208

0.206

Injection dump combined-function magnets



 Δx_{max} (max displ from c-line)=±0.0 [cm]

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>23</u>

 H^0

Location

5.226

1.0515

 $\leq 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Injection vacuum chamber coating

(Hseuh, He, Todd ...) Injection kicker ceramic chamber double coating ٠

- Cu (~ 0.7 μm) for image current
- TiN (0.1 μm) for electron cloud
- Meets requirement: conductive coatings w/ end-to-end resistance of ~0.04Ω • ± 50% (Henderson, Davino)
- Thickness uniformity < ± 30% •



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

25



- Satisfactory time response (< 0.2 ms)
- Interference from vacuum chamber/coating not noticeable - 700 nm Cu and 100 nm TiN
- Need to verify magnet-to-magnet matching

Injection power supplies





Figure 7: Longitudinal bunch evolution during injection with a dual (h = 2 and h = 4) RF system. The full injection momentum spread at the end of HEBT line is $\Delta p/p = \pm 0.4\%$, the peak current is 26 Λ , and the bunching factor is 0.46.







USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Inject at high

•

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports

Extraction



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004



- Fast, single-turn extraction
- Extraction layout
- Power supply
- Coating & impedance

Extraction (single-turn)



- Typical high-activation area
- Measures to control beam loss
 - Clean beam gap before extraction
 - Wide extraction channel, tolerable to 1 kicker failure
 - Beam position on target immune to kicker failure
- Impedance control
 - Kickers often reside inside vacuum chamber needs to minimize coupling impedance and to perform special coating
- Maintainability
 - Remove device (pulse forming network, etc.) outside of tunnel

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

3











USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Ring vacuum chamber coating



- · Injection kicker ceramic chamber double coating
 - Cu (~ 0.7 μ m) for image current
 - TiN (0.1 μm) for electron cloud
 - Meets requirement: conductive coatings w/ end-to-end resistance of ~0.04 Ω \pm 50% (Henderson, Davino)
 - Thickness uniformity < \pm 30%
- Extraction kicker ferrite patterned TiN coating
 - 0.1 μ m TiN on ≥ 90% inner surface, with good adhesion





Extraction kickers power supply

SALLATION KEULEON SOURCE

- 14 pulsed units
- Repetition rate of 60Hz
- Flat top of 750 ns
- Gap of 250 ns



kicker magnet inductance	0.76 -0.8 uH		
magnet current	2 - 2.5 kA		
blumlein PFN Voltage	35 kV		
pulse current rise time	200nS		
current pulse width	750 nS		
pulse repetition	60 Hz		

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Extraction parameters 5.6575 T-M Beam Rigidity Extraction Energy 1.0 GeV Extraction type Single-turn Magnet window Full aperture Beam revolution period 945.4 ns (at 1.0 GeV) 911.1 ns (at 1.3 GeV) Beam gap during 250 ns extraction Bunch length (full) 695 ns Maximum extraction rate 60 Hz Pulse flat-top length > 700 ns Pulse Flat-top tolerance +/- 3% Pulse rise time 200 ns (1% - 95%) Pulse fall time < 16.6 ms Kicker strength 1.276 to 1.775 mrad per section Total deflection strength 20.344 mrad Kicker horizontal aperture 120 mm to 211.3 mm 166 mm to 243 mm Kicker vertical aperture Kicker length 390 mm to 505 mm per section 695 nH to 789 nH per section Kicker magnet inductance Operating voltage ~ 35 kV per section ~ 2.5 kA per section Operating current Beam Impedance Termination ~ 25 Ω

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>11</u>

<u>12</u>

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports





Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004



- Ramping and acceleration
- SNS Radio Frequency system
 - Cavity
 - Power amplifier and tuning supply
 - Wall current
- New developments
 - J-PARC RF cavity with magnetic alloy

Ramping

- Typical injection "flat-bottom" and extraction "flat-top"
- Possibly slower up-ramp and faster down-ramp
- Smooth variation of longitudinal parameters



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Condition of adiabatic motion

Adiabatic condition

$$\frac{1}{\Omega_s^2} \frac{d\Omega}{dt} \ll 1 \qquad \qquad \Omega_s = \omega_s \sqrt{\frac{eVh\eta_0 \cos\phi_s}{2\pi E_s \beta^2}}$$

- Continuous function of both synchronous phase ϕ_s , its derivative ϕ_s and voltage *V*
- Transition crossing is intrinsically non-adiabatic
- In principle, adiabatic capture is possible but in reality, beam loss is often excessive when ramping cycle is fast beam prechopping is usually performed at low energy

<u>3</u>





Figure 8: Programming of RF voltage and magnetic field, and the evolution of momentum spread, bunch area and bunch width in comparison with the (h=2) RF bucket.



Ferrite-loaded cavities



- Frequently used when variable resonance frequency is needed to follow the change of beam speed
- Cavity acts as a resonance transformer with the beam as the secondary winding
- Ferrite serves two purposes
 - Enhances the magnetic field for given current, allowing a small cavity size
 - Allow dynamic tuning of the cavity



Ring RF power supply <u>Ksns</u> Ring RF p



<u>11</u>

RF Labview control system

Purpose

Allows stand-alone control and monitor

Features

- LabView System
- Analog Circuitry
- PID loops
- Dynamic tuning control
- PLC monitor and control



Wall current monitor

Features

- Ferrite-loaded cavity
- Balanced gap resistors
- High current handling

Measurements

- Beam revolution frequency
- Longitudinal profiles
- Injection phase error



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>13</u>







USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou


Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports

Vacuum & Electron cloud



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004

Outline



- Vacuum chamber
 - Chamber layout
 - RCS chamber and shielding
 - SNS chamber
 - Chamber coating
- Electron cloud
 - Impact to the beam and accelerator
 - Sources of electron cloud
 - Mitigation measures



Eddy current effects

• Eddy current induced sextupole field

$$rac{\partial^2 B_y}{\partial x^2} = rac{\mu_0}{
ho_r} rac{d_w}{g} \dot{B}$$

- Inversely proportional to resistivity, gap height
- Proportional to ramp rate, chamber width

Leading sources of field imperfections are ramping Eddy-current and saturation. Variation in the level of saturation contributes to the tracking errors between different types of magnet. Eddy-currents induced in the vacuum chamber under the changing magnetic field distort and delay the field and generate multipole errors. For a wide chamber of thickness d_w inside a dipole magnet of gap g, the sextupole field is given by (Edwards , 1993; Rice , 1998)

Consider a pipe of thickness d_w and volume resistivity ρ_r . As an example, for a resistive loop of width w_r and height h_r penetrated by a magnetic field B, the instantaneous power per unit length is given by

$$\frac{dP_r}{ds} = \frac{\dot{B}^2 w_r^2 h_r d_w}{2\rho_r}.$$
(15)

For a circular pipe of radius b, the average power is proportional to the repetition rate, the field-variation rate, the magnetic-field amplitude squared, and the pipe's radius, b, cubed. It also is inversely proportional to the sheet-resistivity ρ_r/d_w .

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



wall is made of 99.8% high-purity alumina.

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou







Fig. 2 Schematics of typical SNS ring straight section doublet chambers for 30cm quadrupole doublets.

- Number of vacuum chamber type minimized
- Stainless steel pipe, inconel bellows for radiation resistance
- Inner surface coated with TiN to suppress electron cloud

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<section-header><image>

(electron detector)

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



- Pressure rise & interlock when the bunch spacing is halved
- Total intensity reaching only 60% before pressure rise occurs

(courtesy RHIC crew; S.Y. Zhang)

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>11</u>



Effects of electron clouds



<u>13</u>

- · Electron neutralization, tune shifts, and resonance crossing
- Transverse (horizontal or vertical) instability
- Associated emittance growth and beam loss
- Vacuum pressure rise
- Heating & damage of vacuum chamber
- Interferences with diagnostics system

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



- Gas ionization, ion desorption, electron desorption
- Beam-induced electron multipacting

Electron generation: H⁻ (H⁰) injection

- Stripping-foil generated electrons
 - Stripped electrons
 - » 100% (H⁰) 200% (H⁻); same γ and emittance as injecting beam
 - » Intense, localized high-energy electrons
 - Foil-secondary and knock-on electrons
 - » Low one-pass yield
 - » Proportional to number of foil traversal (SNS: average 6 hits)
 - Thermionic electrons
- Back-scattered electrons

Electron source	No. per injected H ⁰ Kinetic energy Ave. current		PSR H0 injection with	
Stripped electron	1.0	430 keV	75µA	average 300 foil traversal
Secondary electron	3.6	up to 20 eV	μA	liaverbai
Knock-on electron	1 .2	up to 2.4 MeV	μA	
Thermionic electron	< 0.002	$\sim 0.24 \text{ eV}$	μA	(courtesy M. Plum)
Residual-gas ionization	0.0037	up to 2.4 MeV	μA	
				<u>15</u>

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<section-header><section-header><section-header><image><image>





Ionization and desorption



$$\frac{d^2\lambda_e}{dtds} = \frac{\rho_m\beta I\sigma_{ion}P}{e}$$

- Molecular density ρ_m =3.3x10²² m⁻³ at 300 K
- Ionization cross section σ_{ion} =2 Mbarn = 2x10⁻²² m²

- Pressure P [Torr]

• Rate of ion or electron desorption is proportional to the number of ion or electron hitting surface – resulting in pressure run-away

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>19</u>

Beam-induced electron multipacting

- Multi-bunch multipacting
 - Sensitive to bunch spacing and parameter configuration

(e.g., O. Grobner, 1998)

- Short-bunch regime

$$\frac{b}{\beta_e} \ge \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_s}{\beta_p} \qquad \beta_e \approx \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2e\Delta V_p}{m_e c^2}} \qquad \Delta V_p \approx \frac{I_{peak}Z_0}{2\pi\beta_p}$$
$$R_l \equiv \frac{b\beta_p}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_s\beta_e} \qquad \text{RHIC:} \quad R_l \approx 1 \qquad \text{SNS:} \quad R_l \approx 0.015$$

• Single-bunch regime "trailing-edge" multipacting

.

- Insensitive to bunch spacing (e.g., R. Macek, 1999; V. Danilov, 1999)

- PSR and SNS

- Long-bunch regime
$$\frac{b}{\beta_e} \ll \frac{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_s}}{\beta_p}$$

- Maximum gain in e⁻ energy: $\Delta V_e \approx \left(\frac{I_{peak}Z_0b}{4\pi^2R_0B_f}\sqrt{\frac{m_ec^2}{2e}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ SNS: 50 [V]







- Actual neutralization level much lower (swept electron)





USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Electron concentration & neutralization

Trapped electrons

Bouncing frequency proportional to square-root of proton intensity



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou





<u>27</u>



Instability-threshold scaling



• Motion is stable if the coherent growth-rate or mode shift is less than the incoherent tune-spread providing Landau damping

$$|Z_{\perp}| \leq F_{\perp} rac{4B_f E_s}{eeta \langle eta_{\perp}
angle I_0} \left(rac{\Delta E}{E_s}
ight)_{_{FWHM}} |(n-
u_{\perp})\eta + \xi_{\perp}|$$

- Instability occurs only near electron bouncing frequency $\frac{\omega_e}{\omega_0} \gg \nu_{\perp}; \quad |\eta|\omega_e \gg \xi_{x,y}\omega_0$
- Threshold intensity proportional to momentum-spread squared

$$|Z_{\perp x,y}| \leq F_{\perp} rac{4|\eta| E_s}{\sqrt{\pi}e^2 \omega_0 a \langle eta_{\perp}
angle} \sqrt{rac{B_f R_0 r_s}{N_0}} \left(rac{\Delta p}{p}
ight)_{FWHM}$$

- What is the dominant coupling-impedance?
 - Space-charge (M. Blaskiewicz): gives questionable scaling on the bunch length
 - Electron-cloud impedance?

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>29</u>

Theoretical approaches on e-p instability

- Coasting-beam centroid model (Neuffer, 1992)
 - Provides estimates of instability modes and their intensity dependency for a given average neutralization
 - Provides plausible instability threshold (PSR)
 - Poor estimate on growth rates and behavior beyond threshold
- Bunched-beam centroid model (M. Blaskiewicz, T. Wang, …)
 - Use trailing-edge electron concentration
 - With momentum-spread & damping
 - Space-charge as part of simulation (scaling with bunch length?)
- Fully kinematics simulation based on self-consistent Maxwell-Vlasov solution (PPPL)
 - Confirmed Landau-damping due to momentum spread
 - Coasting-beam, smooth-focusing
 - Perturbation-method, disallow large change of distribution

Preventive measures



- Tapered magnets for electron collection near injection foil; back-scattering prevention
- TiN coated vacuum chamber to reduce multipacting
- Striped coating of extraction kicker ferrite (TiN)
- Beam-in-gap kicker to keep a clean beam gap (10⁻⁴)
- Good vacuum (5x10⁻⁹ Torr or better)
- ports screening, step tapering; BPMs as clearing electrodes
- Install electron detectors around the ring
- Two-stage collimation; winding solenoids in the straight section
- Enhance Landau damping
 - Large momentum acceptance with sextupole families; high RF voltage; momentum painting
 - Inductive inserts to compensate space charge
 - Reserve space for possible wide band damper system

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

Retarding Field Analyzer (R. Macek courtesy)

- Described in R. Rosenberg and K. Harkay, NIM A 453 (2000) p507-513.
- LANL augmentation is fast electronics (~80 MHz) on the collector output
- Minimal perturbation of beam/wall environment
- Use of repeller permits collecting a cumulative energy spectrum
- Measures electrons striking the wall, not electrons remaining in the pipe



RJM_collab3-24-02.ppt

3/27/2002

Electron-cloud mitigation



- Inner surface of vacuum chambers coated with TiN to reduce secondary electron emission
- Solenoids used in collimation region to confine scattered electrons
- Beam-position-monitors act as clearing electrodes
- Beam-in-gap kicker to clear residuals
- Extra vacuum ports for beam SEV= 2.4, as-received condition SEV= 1.1 after air and vacuum bak



Fig. 8. Secondary electron image of copper as-received (magnification=15 000).



Fig. 9. Secondary electron image of copper after 5 min air exposure at 350 and 350 °C bakeout under vacuum (magnification=15 000).



(Courtesy H. Hseuh, P. He, M. Blaskiewicz, L. Wang, SY Zhang et al)





Fig. 4 The discharge plasma during TiN coating of the SNS ring vacuum chambers. The brighter rings are the locations of the spacers between the permanent magnets.

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>35</u>





<u>37</u>

Solenoids

• Electrons confined in small radius comparing with beam pipe radius

$$r_e = \frac{m_e v_e}{e B_\phi} \qquad \qquad B_\phi = \frac{\mu_0 N_\phi I_\phi}{L_\phi}$$

- SNS: 200 turn/m; 20 A current; 50 G field; $\rm r_e{\sim}1.1~cm$
- Minimum impact on the proton beam
 - Alternating polarity to minimize impact
 - Global decoupling with skew quadrupoles

$$-\sum_{sq} \frac{\sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y}}{f_{sq}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi_x - \phi_y) \\ \sin(\phi_x - \phi_y) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{sol} g_{\phi} \theta_{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi_x - \phi_y + \omega_{\theta}) \\ \sin(\phi_x - \phi_y + \omega_{\theta}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$g_{\theta} = \sqrt{\gamma_x \beta_y + \gamma_y \beta_x + 2(1 - \alpha_x \alpha_y)} \qquad \tan(\omega_{\phi}) = \frac{\beta_x + \beta_y}{\alpha_x \beta_y - \alpha_y \beta_x} \qquad \theta_{\phi} = \frac{B_{\phi} L_{\phi}}{B_{\theta} \rho}$$

Solenoid effects (L. Wang, M. Blaskiewicz, et al)

- > 30G Solenoid field can reduce the e-cloud density with a factor 2000 !
- Zero density within beam
- Solenoid winding in the collimator straight section



USh 2007, ттег, г арарнирров



• More clearing electrodes at special locations

- Inside injection foil assembly





Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator ring & transports



Space charge, Impedances Collective effects



Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 - July 2, 2004



- Impedance budget
- Space charge
 - Tune spread
 - Induced halo
- Impedance minimization

SNS impedance budget (below 10 MHz) <u> I</u>SNS

		$Z_{\ell}/n [\Omega]$	Z_T [k Ω /m]	
	Space charge	-j196	$j(-5.8+0.45)^1 \times 10^3$	
	Extraction kicker ²	0.6n+j50	33+j125 ³	
	Injection kicker ⁴	≈0.5, at W o	17.5 (lowest tune	
Key impedances		. 0	200 kHz)	
were bench measured	RF cavity	Resonances:	18 (at resonance) ⁶	
were benefit medsured,		(7.48 MHz,Q≅136,		
as recommended.		(16.98, 71, 8, 33), (18.3, 120)		
		3.94);(20.60, 61, 3.2); (25.50,		
		38, 3.08); (33.35, 52, 9.5).5		
	Injection foil assembly	j0.05 ⁷	j4.5	
	Resistive wall	(j+1)0.71, at W ₀	(j+1)8.5, at W ₀	
	Broadband			
	BPM	j4.0	j18	
	BIG and TK	j1.1	j7	
	Bellows	j1.3	j11	
	Steps	j1.9	j16	
	Ports	j0.49	j4.4	
	Valves	j0.15	j1.4	
coherent and coherent part	Collimator	j0.22	j2.0	
5 Ω termination at PFN	Total BB	i9	i60	

¹ incoherent and

 2 25 Ω termination ³ measured inside vacuum vessel

⁶ measured inside vacuum vessei
 ⁴ ceramic pipe coated with 0.7 μm of copper and 0.1 μm of TiN
 ⁵ modes will be damped (peak values per cavity without damping)
 ⁶ without damping (at 17.8 MHz, contribution from 3 cavities), damping with glow bar
 ⁷ based on MAFIA simulations

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>3</u>

Impedance budget (at 50 MHz)

	$Z_{\ell}/n [\mathbf{\Omega}]$	$Z_T [k\Omega/m]$
Space charge	-j196	$j(-5.8+0.45)^8 \times 10^3$
Extraction	19.4 ¹³ +j12	12.5 + j65 ⁹
kicker, 25 Ω		
termination		
RF cavity	See before	$\simeq 0^{10}$
Injection foil	j0.05	j4.5 ¹¹
assembly		
BPM	2 + j3.5	9+j16
BIG and TK	0.7+j0 ¹²	5.0+j0 ¹²
Broadband		
Bellows	j1.3	j11
Steps	j1.9	j16
Ports	j0.49	j4.4
Valves	j0.15	j1.4
Collimator	j0.22	j2.0
Total BB	j4.1	j35

⁸ incoherent and coherent parts

 9 measured inside vacuum vessel without feed-through 10 damped resonance at 17,6 MHz 11 possible high impedance around 170MHz (can be damped with lossy material) 12 resonant frequency around 50MHz 13 peak value 35Ω at 35MHz ______

Space charge tune shift

• Transverse tune shift:

$$\nu_{\rm sc} \approx \frac{f_{\rm sc} N_0 r_0}{4\pi B_f \epsilon_{\rm rms} \beta^2 \gamma^3}$$

 $\epsilon_{\rm rms} = \sigma_{\perp}^2 / \beta_{\perp} \qquad \beta_{\perp} \approx R_0 / \nu_0.$

Δ

- Based on cancellation between electric and magnetic field for relativistic particles
- Strong dependence on energy
- General Laslett tune shift

$$\Delta\nu_{x,y} = -\frac{f_{\rm sc}N_0r_0R_0}{2\pi B_f\nu_{x,y,0}\beta^2\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_{x,y}(\sigma_x+\sigma_y)}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2}-\eta_e\right) + A^e_{im}\left(\gamma^{-2}-\eta_e\right) + A^m_{im}\right]$$

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>5</u>







FIG. 41 Vertical emittance growth due to space charge if anti-correlated painting is used during SNS beam accumulation. The transverse tunes are (6.23, 6.20). For the data shown in black, space-charge was neglected; for the data shown in red, the space-charge force for a 2 MW beam was included. Space charge produces a significant beam tail (Section IV.C.1, courtesy A. Fedotov).

Beam tail from space charge & field error 12 no errors, no space charge space charge only 10 magnetic errors and space charge Beam fraction exceeding ϵ_{T} [%] 8 6 4 2 0 ∟ 180 220 260 300 340 Total emittance, ε, [μm]

FIG. 42 Beam tail driven by space charge and magnet errors. The development of beam tail is noticeably enhanced by the combination of these two driving sources (Section IV.C.1). A non-standard working point, (6.40, 6.30), is chosen to illustrate the effect. The noimnal working point, (6.23, 6.20), is chosen to avoid resonances that lead to the development of such enhanced beam tail (Section IV.C.1, courtesy A. Fedotov).

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou

<u>7</u>



resonance correction and collective instabilities) – September'01.

9

Transverse space-charge impedance

- Space-charge impedance is by far the largest contribution to impedance budget (Z_{sc} = -j (5.8 0.45) M Ω /m)
- Transverse Impedance coherent part of the force: force generated by motion of beam center
- Space-charge impedance difference between coherent and incoherent parts, so that the largest self-field term does not contribute to coherent force (incoherent space-charge force does not directly influence coherent motion of the beam, in the absence of synchrotron motion); remaining part is still significant – pure imaginary and contributes only to the tune shift.
- Space charge influences stability condition but does not lead to instability in the absence of Re(Z). However, its combined effect with Re(Z) can make beam more unstable.

[•] First-turn "background" losses (foil scattering and excited states of H⁰) are not included in simulation. At LANL PSR they account for 0.3-0.5% loss. In the SNS their contribution is expected to be below 0.1%



- Cancellation between electric and magnetic forces results in strong energy dependence
- Transverse
 - Strong dependence on energy
 - Strong dependence on vacuum chamber size
 - ISIS: wire cage tapered according to beam envelope

<u>11</u>



USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippourtesy R. Macek, W. Chou

Resistive wall impedance

• Resistive wall impedance

$$Z^{\rm rw}_{\parallel}(n\omega_s) = n(1+j)\frac{\beta Z_0\delta_s}{2b}; \quad Z^{\rm rw}_{\perp}(n\omega_s) = (1+j)\frac{R_0Z_0\delta_s}{b^3}$$

• Skin depth

$$\delta_s = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho_r}{\mu\omega}}$$

- Larger radius vacuum chamber is preferred
- Image passage thought RF shielding with thickness larger than the skin depth
- Conductive coating with thickness thinner than skin depth is often used; complicated analysis

USPAS 2004, Wei, Papaphilippou





FIG. 43 Comparison of bench-measured coupling impedance for open and 25 Ω PFN termination, and high (1600) and medium (100) permeability ferrite of the SNS ring extraction-kicker assembly. The extraction kickers, residing inside the vacuum chamber of the SNS ring, are a major source of beam-coupling impedances (Section IV.C.2, courtesy D. Davino and H. Hahn).

<u>15</u>





Pencil beam – y distribution along the bunch after turns 1, 2 and 3.

<u>17</u>

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

SNS ring diagnostics

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI) J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Installation an Integration Overview



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Diagnostics Requirements Table

Device	Location	Intensity	Range	Accuracy	Resolution	Data structure	Comments
3PM position)	Ring, HEBT,RTBT	[ppp] 5e10 - 2e14	+/- pipe radius	+/-1%	0.5/1.0%	aver./turn-by-turn	dual plane/high frequency correction for non-linear region
3PM (phase)	HEBT	5e10 - 2e14	+/- 180 deg	+/-2 deg	0.1 deg		402.5MHz
PM	Ring	5e10 - 2e14	+/- 64mm	2.2mm	2.2 mm	few per turn	H,V; pressure bump early
3LM (0.1 HZ)	Linac-HEBT	2e8 - 2e14	1-2.5e5 rem/h	1%	0.5 r/h	10 s averaging	1% of 1 W/m
BLM (35 kHZ)	Linac-HEBT	2e10 - 2e14	1-2.5e5 rem/h	1%	50 rem/h	at 6Hz rate, sel. 10	
BLM	Linac-HEBT Ring		1-2.565 rem/h 1-1000 rem/h 1-1000 rem/h			inside mini pulse intra turn	fast; not calibrated
BCM	MEBT-to-HEBT Ring-RTBT	5e10 - 2e14	15mA - 52 mA 15mA - 100A	1% 1%	.5% .5%	inside mini pulse turn-by-turn	All are Fast Current Transf.
Tune	Ring			+/- 0.001	+/- 0.0005	req. averaging	tune kicker/pick-up - coherent
Vire	HEBT	5e10 - 2e11	+/- pipe radius	10%rms width	5%rms width	40KHz	SEM
	Ring	5e10 - 2e14	+/- pipe radius	10%rms width	5%rms width	40KHz	SEM+FBLM
	RTBT	2e12 - 2e14	+/- pipe radius	10%rms width	5%rms width	40KHz	SEM+FBLM
Beam-in-gap	Ring		0 - 0.1 A	20%			BIG kicker/mon., relative acc.
oil Video	Ring	5e10 - 2e14	Visible - near IR	+/- 1mm	+/- 1mm	standard video data	2 systems (primary, secondary)
- detectors	Ring		2e8 - 2e11 (e-)	5%	1e8 (e-)	turn-by-turn	5 locations: Inj.,Coll., Ext, IPM and in the arc; MCPs?
uminescence	Rina						vacuum chambers.É

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



SNS Ring Instrumentation

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

SNS Ring Instrumentation



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou







USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Neutron Detectors

- Slow Loss: 1 W/m limit
 - Corresponds to $\sim 10^{-4}$ loss distributed around Ring
 - Beam-off activation approximately 100 mrem/hr at 1 ft (~1 W/m)
 - "Rule of thumb": Multiply by 1000 to get beam-on dose rate
 - Need to resolve 2 decades below 1 W/m = 324 pA
- Low-end resolution limited by noise, upper end by detector and/or electronics saturation
 - Scaling noise observed in RHIC for the BW difference gives a noise equivalent to 550 pA
- What is maximum high-end loss?
 - 0.5% local loss gives total range of almost 6 decades or approximately 20-bits + sign
- Machine Protect System (MPS) signal derived from loss integrated over macropulse.
 - Same signal used for RTBT data logging.
 - Computer settable gain does not affect MPS input signal
- HEBT'SEM3 ea. 32 u dia. CRingBeam loss1 ea. 32 u dia. CSEM backup method2RTBTSEM3 ea. 32 u dia. C, or3 ea. 100 u dia. SiC

Installed units

2 (1 ea. H & V)

11

5

Location

HEBT

Ring

RTBT

Method

Location

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

<section-header>

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



Spares

Stroke

8 inch 1

TBD 1

Wires*

8 inch 2



9

Beam Current Monit	tors		SNS
 MEBT to HEBT 0.3 - 1000 us, » 15 to 52mA » Accuracy < 1% » Resolution 0.5% » Detail within mini pulse 	•Ring to RTBT 5e10 to 2e14 Profords »0.015A to 100A »Accuracy < 1%		
	»Turn-t	by-turn data <u>DIAM.</u>	NUMBER OF
	Front End Linac	5.5cm ID 13.5cm OD 2.5cm,3.0cm,8cm ID	DTL=6 CCL=2 SCL=1
	HEBT Ring	13cm ID 22cm ID	5
	RIBI	TOTAL	22

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou
Beam Position Monitors



PUE	Required units	Machined parts	Completed units	Delivered units
21 cm ring	28	100%	33	32
				(complete)
26 cm ring	8	100%	10	9
				(complete)
30 cm ring	15	67%	10	0
12 cm	31	100%	34	11
НЕВТ				
21 cm	19	100%	21	9
HEBT /RTBT				
36 cm	2	100%	3	0
RTBT				

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou





Tune Measurements / 0.015 •Coherent tune 0.0125 •accuracy .001 •Resolution .0005 0.01 Incoherent tune 0.0075 •accuracy .005 0.003 •Resolution .0025 •Hardware used: pulser, kicker, BPM & associated DAQ/processing electronics 0.0025 •Initial processing executed in BPM PCI card •Tune calculations performed in a LabVIEW program 6.25 6.25 6.2 6.2 •Measured one or more times during accumulation cycle for 1-10 turns vertical tune vertical tune 6.15 •AP required measurement accuracy = +/-0.001 6.1 6.1 •AP required measurement resolution = +/-0.0005 6.05 6.05 •Measurement requires averaging 6.1 6.15 6.2 horizontal tune 6.25 6.05 6.1 6.15 6.2 horizontal tune 6.25 6.05 16 USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Beam In Gap kicker



- Should be no beam in the gap from linac
 - Chopper should be 100% efficient
 - Linac team claims nothing can make it from one end of the Linac to the other, and at the same time find its way from the mini-bunch to the gap
- · However, nuclear scattering, foil losses, RF noise, collimation inefficiency, etc. exist
- Loss budget is 10⁻⁴. Don't use it up in the gap!

•Hardware used: pulser, kicker, FBLM (gated PMT or MCP) & Gap/Halo calculations performed in LabVIEW Beam in Gap Loss Distribution

- AP range = 0-0.1A
- AP required accuracy = 20%
- Operated during last 100 turns of accumulation
- Kick the gap beam onto collimators/scraper
- · Measure with fast loss monitors (PMT or MCP)
- Function as both gap monitor and gap cleaner
- · Scraper will be located at wire scanner location



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Electron detector









Range: 100pC/cm²/turn minimum collected current 10nC/cm²/turn is expected to interfere with beam

We will design for the range, $5x10^{-11}C/cm^2/turn-10^{-6}C/cm^2/turn$

<u>Data Structure:</u> Digitize at 400MS/s, using standard PCI scope card



Top View



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Foil video cameras



19

Goals:

Provide general diagnostic for SNS injection stripping foil (weak link).

Provide beam profiles at primary & secondary locations using phosphor screens.

Ring System Diagnostics AP Requirements (11/05/2002)										
Device	Location	Intensity	Range	Accuracy	Resolution	Data structure	Comments			
		[ppp]								
Foil Video	Ring	5e10 - 2e14	Visible - near IR	+/-1mm	+/-1mm	standard video data	2 systems (primary & secondary)			
							Each with phosphor screens			

Spectral response defined by Newvicon® video tube, 300-800nm.

Phosphor screens inserted: - Beam intensity reduced - Beam Loss Monitors masked Foil/phosphor motion control interface within PC & to MPS.







Video Image Processing

- Personal Computer based
 - Shared with stripping foil motion control
 - Rack mounted in Ring Service Building
- NI PCI 1409 Image Acquisition board
 - -8 bit digitizer
 - 16MB onboard memory (about 75 frames)
 - External trigger, RTSI (Real Time System Integration) bus
 - Digital I/O (ND Filter control, Lamp, etc..)
 - NI-IMAQ driver, & LabView Software







Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

SNS Commissioning

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI) J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Outline

- Orbit
 - Error source and effects
 - Measurements & diagnostics: closed bumps & difference orbit
 - Corrections: local & global
- Tunes and optics
 - Error source and effects
 - Measurements: kick method, swept freq. & Schottky method
 - Corrections: main quads & trim quads
- Chromaticity
 - Source, effects, measurements
 - Correction & adjustment
- SNS commissioning plan





Orbit error sources

• Sources:

dipole field error: quadrupole feed-down: $\theta_{i} = \frac{B_{i}L_{i}}{B_{0}}\rho$ $B_{i} = \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial x}\right)_{i}[x_{c,i} + D_{xi}(\Delta p / p)]$

$$\Delta x(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta(s)}}{2\sin(\pi\nu)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i \sqrt{\beta(s_i)} \cos\left[\left|\phi(s) - \phi(s_i)\right| - \pi\nu\right]$$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Orbit error effects

Single kick effects:
 COD proportional to sqrt (β) at both source and BPM; maximum:

$$\Delta x(s)\Big|_{\max} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta(s)\beta(s_i)}}{2\sin(\pi\nu)}\theta_i \quad \Delta \phi = \pm \pi (\nu - m), \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots < \nu$$

COD modulation of harmonic close to v; integer resonance A symmetric cusp at location of a single steering error

$$\Delta x(s_i) = \frac{\theta_i}{2} \beta(s_i) \cot(\pi \nu); \qquad \Delta x'(s_i^+) = \frac{\theta_i}{2} [1 - \alpha(s_i) \cot(\pi \nu)]$$

Linear superposition of kicks

$$\Delta x(s)\big|_{rms} = \sqrt{\beta(s)} \frac{\sqrt{N\langle \beta(s_i) \rangle}}{2\sqrt{2}\sin(\pi\nu)} \langle \theta_i \rangle_{rms}$$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

.





Local closed orbit bumps



Two-magnet bump

half-wavelength bump (n=1)

 $\mu_2 - \mu_1 = n\pi \qquad \theta_2 \sqrt{\beta_2} = (-)^{n+1} \theta_1 \sqrt{\beta_1}$

• Three-magnet bump flexible phase closed bump for diagnostics (aperture scan, gradient error measurement, magnet centering, ...) & correction

$$\frac{\theta_1\sqrt{\beta_1}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{32})} = \frac{\theta_2\sqrt{\beta_2}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{13})} = \frac{\theta_3\sqrt{\beta_3}}{\sin(\Delta\mu_{21})}$$

• Four-magnet bump

control both amplitude and slope at a location

(two upstream, two downstream; e.g. for injection, extraction, ...)

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

7

Orbit correction

- Difference orbit to identify source
- Local correction

local bumps for correction

<u>Global correction</u> (orbit response matrix & singular value decomposition)

matrix method: using N correctors optimizing M BPM readings $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\theta} = \mathbf{d}$

$$A_{mn} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_{m\beta}\beta_{nm}}}{2\sin\pi\nu} \cos\left(\left|\phi_m - \phi_n\right| - \pi\nu\right)$$

when M=N: unique solution

when M > N, minimizing quantity $|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\theta} - \mathbf{d}|^2$

start with largest eigenvalue vectors

Tune & gradient error source & effect King

• Sources:

quadrupole field error: sextupole feed-down:

error:

$$\Delta K_{i} = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial x}\right)_{i}}{B_{0}\rho}$$
wn:

$$B'_{i} = \left(\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial x^{2}}\right)_{i} [x_{c,i} + D_{xi}(\Delta p / p)]$$

$$\Delta v = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{i} \Delta K_{i} L_{i}$$

$$\Delta\beta(s) = \frac{\beta(s)}{2\sin(2\pi\nu)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta K_i L_i \beta(s_i) \cos\left[2|\phi(s) - \phi(s_i)| - 2\pi\nu\right]$$

• Effects:

Tune shift and tune spread, resonance crossing Ring β -function modulation of harmonic 2ν from each kick Non-linear superposition of kicks due to β -function modulation

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

9

Tune measurement & diagnostics

- Fractional tune by monitor sampling at a single azimuth
- Integral tune by global orbit analysis and lattice analysis
- Kick method:

transverse kicker $y\lambda$ (i longitudinal RF phase modulation subject to de-coherence

$$e^{\lambda}(t) = A \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in\omega_0 t} + B \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega_0 (n+\nu)t}$$

• RF knockout, RF dipole sweeping, swept-frequency: destructive or non-destructive

• Schottky signal measurement: coasting beam and bunched beam signal





USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



Schottky signal

- Incoherent signal from finite number of charges in beam
- Signal location, band width, band overlapping
- Coasting beam:

 $\Delta \Omega = n \Delta \omega = n \omega_0 |\eta| \Delta p / p \qquad n < (|\eta| \Delta p / p)^{-1}$ longitudinal:

transverse: $\Delta \Omega = (n \pm v) \Delta \omega \pm \omega_0 \Delta v$

linearly increasing width, constant power at each harmonic

• Bunched beam:

longitudinal & transverse: ``fine structure" of synchrotron bands

 $\Delta \Omega =$ $+m\Omega_{c}$...



15



Amplitude function measurement

Direct quadrupole pulsing

• Sensitive only to large
$$\beta$$
 quad location

 $\Delta v = -\frac{\beta}{4\pi}\Delta K L$

- Susceptible to magnet hysteresis and coupling
- · Betatron envelope measurement from kicking
 - Envelope proportional to $\sqrt{\beta}$
- Phase detection
 - Beam shaking at betatron freq. & phase detection at BPM's
 - Especially useful at small β locations

$$\phi(s) - \phi(s_0) = \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \frac{ds}{\beta(s)}$$

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

17

Tune & optics correction

- Adequate tuning flexibility at machine design
 - Typical range of 1 unit in both transverse directions
 - Prepare for the unexpected

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i \Delta K_i L_i$$

- Trim quad families for fast & flexible adjustment
 - Flexible, fast response (rapid cycling synchrotrons, superconducting magnet machines, hysteresis, ...)

 Δv

- Optimized power supply arrangement
- Independently powered quad correctors
 - Half-integer stop-band correction
 - β wave correction

Chromaticity: errors, effects, measurement



• Sources:

quadrupole chromatic abbreviation

magnetic error of sextupole symmetry (eddy current, dipole fringe...)

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint K\beta(s) ds \pm \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i D_i S_i L_i \qquad S_i = \frac{B''}{B\rho}$$

• Effects:

Chromatic tune spread and resonance crossing Off-momentum optical mismatch Head-tail instability (negative ξ above transition)

• Measurement:

Measuring tunes at various orbits of different RF frequency

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

19

Chromaticity correction & adjustment

- Minimum compensation
 - Two-family sextupole: SF & SD
 - Inadequate for non-local compensation
- Off-momentum optical function matching
 - Typical four-family for the two transverse planes
 - Located in arc region for global chromatic compensation
- Resonance excitation compensation
 - Proper location of chromatic sextupoles
 - Additional resonance correction sextupoles in zerodispersion region



21

Commissioning Goals

Primary Goal:

- \rightarrow Reach CD-4: 10¹³ protons/pulse on target
 - 4 beam to the extraction dump within the allotted
- Deliver CD-4 beam to the extraction dump within the allotted HEBT/Ring Commissioning period.
- Make use of the remaining time to accomplish additional goals:

Secondary Goals:

- 1. Measurements aimed at more detailed understanding of the machine: linear optics, HEBT/Ring/RTBT optics matching, chromaticity, resonance structure
- Explore performance of the machine with high-intensity bunches (> 10¹³ protons/pulse): beam stability, dynamic RF tuning, impedance, e-p, space-charge
 - \rightarrow advanced warning on collective effects

Commissioning Philosophy: Diagnostics

- Many diagnostic devices require beam to be "timed-in"
- Assumptions about functioning diagnostics on day one:
 - Beam Loss Monitors (BLMs) and their display program
 - Raw digitized BPM signals available in the control room
- The diagnostics are commissioned and software debugged (with beam) at the earliest possible moment.
- Diagnostics commissioned in this order:
 - Beam loss monitors
 - Beam current monitors: "timed-in", display programs
 - Beam position monitors: "timed-in", display and analysis
 - Profile measuring devices: data acquisition, display, analysis

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

23



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

HEBT Commissioning Sequence



- HEBT Optics Measurement and Correction
 - Measure dispersion/correct achromaticity
 - Commission wire scanners, measure beam profiles
 - Obtain emittance, twiss parameters at WS arrays
 - Match linac to achromat optics and achromat to ring optics
- Verify Injection Dump
 Performance
 - Confirm beam profile, dump and bulk shielding, cooling system
- Measure Linac Beam Parameters
 - Emittance, energy, energy spread and jitter

•Commission ECC and ESC

- -Establish phase and amplitude setpoints
- -Measure energy jitter in arc

Optimize HEBT collimation

-Optimize transverse foils to minimize losses

-Optimize momentum scrapers

•Transport 10¹³ protons/pulse to injection dump

-Increase pulse-length, minimize losses

-Verify dump performance at higher power

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Ring Commissioning Sequence



- Transport beam 1 msec
 - Minimize losses, optimize injection conditions and closed-orbit
- Commission RF System
 - Set cavity resonant frequencies
 - Establish phase and amplitude of h=1 and h=2 cavities
- Measure and Correct Linear Optics
 - Commission and calibrate tune adjustment controls
 - Correct tunes
 - Measure linear optics and dispersion
 - Correct optics
- Measure and Correct Chromaticity
 - Measure chromaticity, commission and calibrate chromaticity controls
- Resonance Correction
 - Explore tunes, measure and minimize stopbands

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

27

Ring Extraction and Dump Commissioning



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Ring Commissioning – Phase Space Painting and Collimation



- Injection Painting:
 - Characterize Injected Beam Conditions
 - Establish Injected Beam Controls (x, x', y, y')
- Achieve multi-turn injection of 10¹³ protons/pulse:
 - Increase pulse-length
 - Optimize losses/transmission by tuning injection conditions, tunes, chromaticity, momentum spread, extraction conditions, ...
 - Measure painted-beam parameters (beam profile at Ext. Dump)
- Ring Collimation and Beam in Gap:
 - Explore Ring Aperture
 - Establish Primary Collimator Settings
 - Investigate Orbit Bumps in Collimators
 - Setup BIG Kicker System
- At this point, we have transported 10¹³ protons/pulse to Extraction Dump

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

29

High-Intensity Studies

- If time permits, we will explore machine performance with high-intensity bunches during HEBT/Ring Commissioning period with beam taken to the extraction dump:
 - Establish high-intensity conditions increase pulse length, reoptimize injection/collimation/beam-in-gap/extraction
 - Test Dynamic RF Tuning and Feedforward Beam-loading Compensation
 - Ring loss study (losses vs. intensity/working point/chromaticity/energy spread/painting conditions)
 - Beam Stability (delay extraction and hunt for unstable modes, measure mode frequencies and growth rates)
 - E-p (commission e- detectors, measure electron production)
 - Space-charge: beam-profiles vs. intensity, working point
 - Prototype transverse feedback system tests





→CD-4 Accelerator Goal Reached!

• Deliver beam as needed for Target/Instrument CD-4

Machine Protection, Fault Studies, Shielding



- An essential part of the Commissioning Plan involves verifying MPS functionality, conducting fault studies and verifying bulk shielding.
- Establish MPS inputs
 - Set BLM thresholds, check BLMs with local controlled losses
 - Check Harp calibration/outputs
 - Check MPS response time
- Check Fault Scenarios at Low Power (described in SNS-OPM)
 Extraction, injection kicker, Ring RF failures
- Verify bulk shielding performance with controlled losses
 - e.g. at 1st HEBT dipole, extraction septum, 1st ring dipole, collimators
 - Verify bulk shielding performance of dumps, dump lines and collimators
- Repeat MPS and shielding tests whenever beam intensity increased.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

Computer lab exercises

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

```
Title, "FODO CELL ARC ACHROMAT"
!-----Physical costants-----
    := 0.938272310 !proton mass [GeV]
 ΕO
 С
     := 2.99792458e8 !speed of light [m/sec]
 PI
    := 3.141592654
 DTR := PI/180.
 ΕK
    := 1.00
                 ![GeV] injection kinetic Energy
 PC
    := sqrt(EK*(EK+2*E0)) ; Value PC
 BRHO := 1.e9*PC/C
                        ; Value BRHO
 GAMMA := 1 + EK / E0
                       ; Value GAMMA
 BETA := sqrt(1-1/(GAMMA*GAMMA)) ; Value BETA
L
!-----
        _____
! Half Dipoles
ANG:= 2*PI/32
 EE := ANG/2
 LBEND := 1.5
 BL: Sbend, L=LBEND/2, Angle=EE, E1=0., E2=0.
 BL2: Sbend, L=LBEND/2, Angle=EE/2, E1=0., E2=0.
 RHOB:=LBEND/ANG ; Value RHOB
 BBND:=Brho/RHOB ; Value BBND
1_____
! Half-Quads
LF:=0.25
 LD:=0.25
 LDC:=0.275
 LFC:=0.35
 QF: Quad, L=LF, K1=KF/Brho
 QD: Quad, L=LD, K1=KD/Brho
QDC: Quad, L=LDC, K1=KDC/Brho
 QFC: Quad, L=LFC, K1=KFC/Brho
! Drift lengths
           _____
SDS: Drift, L=1
  SDS0: Drift, L=1.5
! FODO cells
1------
FODO: Line = (QF, SDS, BL, BL, SDS, QD, QD, SDS, BL, BL, SDS, QF)
DOFO: Line = (QD, SDS, BL, BL, SDS, QF, QF, SDS, BL, BL, SDS, QD)
DISP: Line = (QD, SDS, BL, BL, SDS, QF, QF, SDS, SDS0, SDS, QD)
DISPH: Line = (QD,SDS,BL2,BL2,SDS,QF,QF,SDS,BL2,BL2,SDS,QD)
```

```
!-----
! ARC cells
ARC: Line = (4*FODO)
ARC2: Line = (4*DOFO)
ARCDISP: Line = (-2*DISP, 2*DOFO, 2*DISP)
ARCDISPH: Line = (-2*DISPH, 2*DOFO, 2*DISPH)
1_____
!Phase advances
_____
 CMUX:=0.25
 CMUY:=0.22
!-----
! FODO CELL
!-----
 Use, FODO
 KF = 3E + 00
 KD = -4E + 00
 Cell
 Vary, KF, step=.00001, lower=0, upper=6.0
 Vary, KD, step=.00001, lower=-6.0, upper=0.0
 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=CMUX, MUY=CMUY
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
 PRINT, FULL
 SELECT, FLAG=FIRST, RANGE=#S/E
 TWISS, SAVE, DELTAP=0.00, TAPE=twiss
 setplot post=2 xsize=24 ysize=16 ascale=1.5 rscale=1.5
 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETX, BETY, DX, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100
 SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = \#S/\#E
 OPTICS, FILENAME = "optics.FODO", &
 COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY
! FODO ARC
1_____
 USE, ARC
 PRINT, FULL
 SELECT, FLAG=FIRST, RANGE=#S/E
 TWISS, SAVE, DELTAP=0.00, DX=0,DPX=0,TAPE=twiss
 setplot post=2 xsize=24 ysize=16 ascale=1.5 rscale=1.5
 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETX, BETY, DX, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100
 SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = #S/#E
 OPTICS, FILENAME = "optics.ARC", &
```

! DOFO CELL Use, DOFO KF = 3E + 00KD = -4E + 00Cell Vary, KF, step=.00001, lower=0, upper=6.0 Vary, KD, step=.00001, lower=-6.0, upper=0.0 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=CMUX, MUY=CMUY Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10 Endmatch PRINT, FULL SELECT, FLAG=FIRST, RANGE=#S/E TWISS, SAVE, DELTAP=0.00, TAPE=twiss setplot post=2 xsize=24 ysize=16 ascale=1.5 rscale=1.5 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETX,BETY,DX, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = #S/#E OPTICS, FILENAME = "optics.DOFO", & COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY ! DOFO ARC 1_____ USE, ARC2 PRINT, FULL SELECT, FLAG=FIRST, RANGE=#S/E TWISS, SAVE, DELTAP=0.00, DX=0,DPX=0,TAPE=twiss setplot post=2 xsize=24 ysize=16 ascale=1.5 rscale=1.5 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETX, BETY, DX, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = #S/#EOPTICS, FILENAME = "optics.ARCD", & COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY 1_____ ! Missing dipole dispersion suppression 1_____ USE, ARCDISP Cell Vary, KF, step=.00001, lower=0, upper=6.0 Vary, KD, step=.00001, lower=-6.0, upper=0.0 Constraint, Range=#E, DX=0,DPX=0 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10 Endmatch PRINT, FULL

COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY

```
SELECT, FLAG=FIRST, RANGE=#S/E
 TWISS, SAVE, DELTAP=0.00, DX=0, DPX=0, TAPE=twiss
 setplot post=2 xsize=24 ysize=16 ascale=1.5 rscale=1.5
 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETX, BETY, DX, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100
 SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = \#S/\#E
 OPTICS, FILENAME = "optics.misdip", &
 COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY
! Half dipole dispersion supression
1_____
 Use, DOFO
 KF = 3E + 00
 KD = -4E + 00
 Cell
 Vary, KF, step=.00001, lower=0, upper=6.0
 Vary, KD, step=.00001, lower=-6.0, upper=0.0
 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=CMUX, MUY=CMUY
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
USE, ARCDISPH
 Cell
 Vary, KF, step=.00001, lower=0, upper=6.0
 Vary, KD, step=.00001, lower=-6.0, upper=0.0
 Constraint, Range=#E, DX=0,DPX=0
 Constraint, Range=#S/E, BETY<13.5
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
 PRINT, FULL
 SELECT, FLAG=FIRST, RANGE=#S/E
 TWISS, SAVE, DX=0, DPX=0, TAPE=twiss
setplot post=2 xsize=24 ysize=16 ascale=1.5 rscale=1.5
PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETX, BETY, DX, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100
STOP
 SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = \#S/\#E
 OPTICS, FILENAME = "optics.halfdip", &
 COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY
STOP
!
!----- Chromaticity correction ------
1
CHRM3 = 0
CHRM4 = 0
```

CHRM5=0 CHRM6=0 HARMON HCHROMATICITY HVARY, NAME=CHRM3,STEP=0.001 HVARY, NAME=CHRM4,STEP=0.001 HVARY, NAME=CHRM5,STEP=0.001 HVARY, NAME=CHRM6, STEP=0.001 HWEIGHT, QX'=1.0,QY'=1.0,BX'I=1.0,BY'I=1.0 HCELL, QX'=0,QY'=0,BX'I=0.0,BY'I=0.0 ENDHARMON PRINT, RANGE=#E !PRINT, FULL TWISS, CHROM, TAPE="chrom0.twiss", TUNES, DELTAP=-0.01:0.01:0.005 ! SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = #S/#E OPTICS, FILENAME = "chrom0.optics", deltap=0, & COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY USE, RNG SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = #S/#E OPTICS,FILENAME = "all_elementsdp_p1.optics",deltap=0.01, &

COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY

Stop

```
Title, "SNS Linac Accumulator Ring "
1_____
!-----Physical costants-----
 ΕO
     := 0.938272310 !proton mass [GeV]
 С
     := 2.99792458e8 !speed of light [m/sec]
 ΡI
     i = 3.141592654
 DTR := PI/180.
                   ![GeV] injection kinetic Energy
 ΕK
     := 1.00
 PC
     := sqrt(EK*(EK+2*E0)) ; Value PC
 BRHO := 1.e9*PC/C
                           ; Value BRHO
 GAMMA := 1 + EK / E0
                          ; Value GAMMA
 BETA := sqrt(1-1/(GAMMA*GAMMA)) ; Value BETA
L
!-----Select Nominal Tunes-----
 QH:=6.230
 QV:=6.200
 MUH:=QH/4.0
 MUV:=QV/4.0
!-----
! Call file='chicane.lat'
 Call file='SNSring.v.1.-1'
! Call file='HKICKS'
! Call file='VKICKS'
1_____
 SET, SDL, 0.0
 SET, DSO, 0.0
 SET, DSOO, 0.0
 SET, DUU, 0.0
CMUX:=0.25
 CMUY:=0.272
 SCMUH:=MUH-4.0*CMUX
 SCMUV:=MUV-4.0*CMUY
 BHMAX:=27.0
 BXMCH:=2.374
 BYMCH:=13.182
1_____
 Use, AC
 KF = 3.933999E+00
 KD = -4.353047E+00
 Cell
 Vary, KF, step=.00001, lower=0.0, upper=6.0
 Vary, KD, step=.00001, lower=-6.0, upper=0.0
 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=CMUX, MUY=CMUY
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
1------
 Use, SC
 KDE = -1.6E + 00
 KFC = 3.5E + 00
 KDC = -3.8E + 00
 Match, Line=AC, ALFX=0.0, ALFY=0.0, DX=0.0, DY=0.0
 Vary, KDE, step=.00001, lower=-8.0, upper=0.0
 Vary, KFC, step=.00001, lower=0.0, upper=8.0
 Vary, KDC, step=.00001, lower=-8.0, upper=0.0
```

```
Constraint, Range=QFC, BETX < BHMAX
 Constraint, Range=#E, BETY=BYMCH, BETX=BXMCH
 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=SCMUH, MUY=SCMUV
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
! _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
            _____
 Use, SPP
 Cell
 Vary, KDE, step=.00001, lower=-8.0, upper=0.0
 Vary, KFC, step=.00001, lower=0.0, upper=8.0
 Vary, KDC, step=.00001, lower=-8.0, upper=0.0
 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=MUH, MUY=MUV
 Constraint, Range=QFC, BETX < BHMAX
 Constraint, Range=#E, BETY=BYMCH, BETX=BXMCH
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
_____
 SET, KDEE, 0.5*(KDE+KD)
 Use, SP
 Cell
 Vary, KDEE, step=.00001, lower=-8.0, upper=0.0
 Vary, KFC, step=.00001, lower=0.0, upper=8.0
 Vary, KDC, step=.00001, lower=-8.0, upper=0.0
 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=MUH, MUY=MUV
 Constraint, Range=QFC, BETX < BHMAX
 Constraint, Range=#E, BETY=BYMCH, BETX=BXMCH
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
            _____
!-----
 Use, SP
 Cell
 Vary, KFC, step=.00001, lower=0.0, upper=8.0
 Vary, KDC, step=.00001, lower=-8.0, upper=0.0
 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=MUH, MUY=MUV
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
!-----
             _____
 Use, RINGSX
! Use, RNG
! Call file='Dalnerr'
! Call file='Oalnerr'
 Cell
 Vary, KFC, step=.00001, lower=0.0, upper=8.0
 Vary, KDC, step=.00001, lower=-8.0, upper=0.0
 Constraint, Range=#E, MUX=QH, MUY=QV
 Simplex, calls=2000, Tolerance=1.0E-10
 Endmatch
! Eprint
!
 SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = #S/#E
 OPTICS, FILENAME = "optics", &
 COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY
 PRINT, FULL
 SELECT, FLAG=FIRST, RANGE=#S/E
 TWISS, SAVE, DELTAP=0.00, TAPE=twiss
```

setplot post=2 xsize=24 ysize=16 ascale=1.5 rscale=1.5 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETX, BETY, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETX, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=BETY, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=DX, DY, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=DX, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=DY, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=X,Y, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=X, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 PLOT, HAXIS=S, VAXIS1=Y, RANGE=#S/#E, STYLE=100 1 !----- Chromaticity correction ------I. CHRM3=0CHRM4=0CHRM5=0CHRM6=0HARMON HCHROMATICITY HVARY, NAME=CHRM3, STEP=0.001 HVARY, NAME=CHRM4,STEP=0.001 HVARY, NAME=CHRM5,STEP=0.001 HVARY, NAME=CHRM6, STEP=0.001 HWEIGHT, QX'=1.0,QY'=1.0,BX'I=1.0,BY'I=1.0 HCELL, QX'=0,QY'=0,BX'I=0.0,BY'I=0.0 ENDHARMON PRINT, RANGE=#E !PRINT, FULL TWISS, CHROM, TAPE="chrom0.twiss", TUNES, DELTAP=-0.01:0.01:0.005 SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = #S/#E OPTICS, FILENAME = "chrom0.optics", deltap=0, & COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY USE, RNG SELECT, OPTICS, RANGE = #S/#EOPTICS,FILENAME = "all_elementsdp_p1.optics",deltap=0.01, & COLUMNS = NAME, KEYWORD, S, L, K1L, BETX, ALFX, DX, BETY, ALFY, DY Stop
Spallation Neutron Source II Accumulator Ring & Transport Lines

MAD crash course

Jie Wei (BNL) Yannis Papaphilippou (ESRF) June 28 – July 2, 2004

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI) J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

Methodical Accelerator Libraries

(Hans Grote and Chris Iselin)



Basic design tool for circular machine and beam lines, including

- Linear lattice optics calculation
- Optics matching
- Transverse matrix matching
- Survey calculations
- Error definition
- Correction (closed orbit, coupling non-linear)
- Tracking
- Chromatic effects and resonances
- Intrabeam scattering
- Basic structure input for many other computer tools (UAL, MaryLie, Accelerator Toolbox, COSY, etc.)
- MAD versions:
 - 8: FORTRAN based code, actually frozen
 - 9: C++ based code, still to be debugged
 - X: FORTRAN and C based code, in development

Co	mmands and statements
Jame	Meaning

name	meaning	Section
HELP	Help on command names	2.2.1
SHOW	Help on defined names	2.2.2
TITLE	Define page header for output	2.5
STOP	End program run	2.6
OPTION	Set command options	2.7
:=	Define parameter dependencies	2.8.1
SET	Set parameter value	2.8.2
VALUE	Show parameter values	2.8.3
SYSTEM	Execute operating system command	2.10

keyword Identifies the action desired.

attribute Most commands require attributes for their operation. These are normally entered in the form

attribute-name=attribute-value

The attribute-name selects the attribute, and attribute-value gives it a value.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

3





4

label: keyword {,attribute}

It has three parts:

label Gives a name to the stored command. A label is required for a definition statement. keyword Identifies the action desired.

attribute Most commands require attributes for their operation. These are normally entered in the form

attribute-name=attribute-value

The attribute-name selects the attribute, and attribute-value gives it a value.

When a command is entered with a label, MAD keeps it in memory. This allows repeated execution of the same command by just entering its label. If the label of such a command appears together with new attributes, the attributes will be replaced first:

QF: QUADRUPOLE,L=1,K1=0.01 ! first definition of QF
QF,L=2 ! redefinition of QF, new length
TW1: TWISS,BETX=1,BETY=1 ! first execution of TW1
 ! with BETX=1, BETY=1
TW1,BETX=2,BETY=3 ! second execution of TW1
 ! with BETX=2, BETY=3

Parameter statement



A relation is established between variables by the statement

parameter-name:=expression

It creates a new parameter parameter-name and discards any old parameter with the same name. Its value depends on all quantities occurring in expression on the right-hand side. Whenever an operand in expression changes, a new value is calculated. The definition may be thought of as a mathematical equation; but MAD is not able to solve the equation for a quantity on the right-hand side. Example:

GEV:=100 BEAM,ENERGY=GEV

Circular definitions are not allowed (but see Section 2.8.2):

X:=X+1 ! X cannot be equal to X+1 A:=B B:=A ! A and B are equal, but of unknown value

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou



5

Assignment of parameters

SET,VARIABLE=parameter-name,VALUE=expression

This statement acts like a FORTRAN assignment. If the parameter parameter-name does not yet exist, it is created. Then the expression is evaluated, and the result is assigned to the parameter parameter-name. Finally the expression is discarded. Therefore a sequence like the following is permitted:

	ļ	some definitions
USE,line		
X := 0	ļ	create parameter X with value zero
	ļ	could also use "SET,X,0"
DO,TIMES=10	ļ	repeat ten times
TWISS	ł	uses X=0, 0.01,, 0.10
SET,X,X+.01	ļ	increment parameter X by 0.01
ENDDO		

Output of parameters



The VALUE statement

VALUE,VALUE=expression{,expression}

evaluates up to five expressions using the most recent values of any operands and prints the results on the ECHO file. Example:

P1:=5 P2:=7 VALUE,P1*P2-3

After echoing the command, this prints:

AAVALU. Value of expression "P1*P2-3" is 32.0000000

The main use of this commands is for printing a quantity which depends on matched attributes. It allows use of MAD as a programmable calculator. One may also tabulate functions.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

7

Comments



```
EMIT ! executed
COMMENT
SURVEY ! not executed (nesting level 1)
COMMENT
SURVEY ! not executed (nesting level 2)
ENDCOMMENT
TWISS ! still not executed (nesting level 1)
ENDCOMMENT
TWISS ! executed again
```

Physical elements and markers



Name	Meaning	Section	SPALLATION KEUTRON SOL
MARKER	Marker for beam observation	3.2	
DRIFT	Drift space	3.3	
SBEND	Sector bending magnet	3.4	
RBEND	Rectangular bending magnet	3.4	
QUADRUPOLE	Quadrupole	3.5	
SEXTUPOLE	Sextupole	3.6	
OCTUPOLE	Octupole	3.7	
MULTIPOLE	Thin multipole	3.8	
SOLENOID	Solenoid	3.9	
HKICKER	Horizontal orbit corrector	3.10	
VKICKER	Vertical orbit corrector	3.10	
KICKER	Corrector for both planes	3.10	
RFCAVITY	RF cavity	3.11	
ELSEPARATOR	electrostatic separator	3.12	
HMONITOR	Horizontal orbit position monitor	3.13	
VMONITOR	Vertical orbit position monitor	3.13	
MONITOR	Orbit position monitor (both planes)	3.13	
INSTRUMENT	Space for beam instrumentation	3.13	
ECOLLIMATOR	Elliptic collimator	3.14	
RCOLLIMATOR	Rectangular collimator	3.14	
YROT	Rotation about vertical axis	3.15	
SROT	Rotation about longitudinal axis	3.15	
BEAMBEAM	Beam-beam interaction	3.16	
MATRIX	Arbitrary matrix	3.17	
LUMP	Concatenation of elements	3.20	

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

9



All physical elements are defined by statements of the form

label: keyword [,TYPE=name] {,attribute}

Example:

QF: QUADRUPOLE, TYPE=MQ, L=1.8, K1=0.015832

where

label A name to be given to the element (in the example QF),

keyword An element type keyword (in the example QUADRUPOLE),

TYPE A label to be attached to the element. It denotes the "engineering type" as defined documentlabelin earlier versions of MAD, and may be used for selection of elements in various commands like error definitions. (in the example MQ).

attribute Normally has the form

attribute-name=attribute-value

Attribute-name selects the attribute, as defined for the element type keyword (in the example L and K1), and attribute-value gives it a value (in the example 1.8 and 0.015832).

Markers and drift spaces



label: MARKER, TYPE=name

The simplest element which can occur in a beam line is the MARKER. It has no effect on the beam, but it allows one to identify a position in the beam line, for example to apply a matching constraint. A marker has only the TYPE attribute: Example:

M27: MARKER, TYPE=MM}

label: DRIFT,TYPE=name,L=real

A DRIFT space has one real attribute:

L The drift length (default: 0 m)

Examples:

DR1: DRIFT,L=1.5 DR2: DRIFT,L=DR1[L],TYPE=DRF

The length of DR2 will always be equal to the length of DR1. The reference system for a drift space is shown in Figure 3.1.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

11



Bending magnet definition



- SBEND, TYPE=name,L=real,ANGLE=real,K1=real,E1=real,E2=real,& TILT=real,K2=real,H1=real,K2=real,HGAP=real,FINT=real,& K3=real
- RBEND, TYPE=name,L=real,ANGLE=real,K1=real,E1=real,E2=real,& TILT=real,K2=real,H1=real,K2=real,HGAP=real,FINT=real,& K3=real

For both types, the following first-order attributes are permitted:

- L The length of the magnet (default: 0 m). For a rectangular magnet the length is measured along a straight line, while for a sector magnet it is the arc length of the reference orbit.
- ANGLE The bend angle (default: 0 rad). A positive bend angle represents a bend to the right, i.e. towards negative x values.
- K1 The quadrupole coefficient $K_1 = (1/B\rho)(\partial B_y/\partial x)$. The default is 0 m⁻². A positive quadrupole strength implies horizontal focussing of positively charged particles.
- E1 The rotation angle for the entrance pole face (default: 0 rad).
- E2 The rotation angle for the exit pole face (default: 0 rad).

FINT The field integral, see [8] and below. The default value is 0.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

13

Bending magnet definition

- TILT The roll angle about the longitudinal axis (default: 0 rad, a positive bend angle then denotes a bend to the right). A vertical bend is defined by entering TILT with no value; this implies a roll of $\pi/2$ rad, i.e. a positive bend angle denotes a deflection down. A positive angle represents a clockwise rotation. The following second-order attributes are permitted:
- K2 The sextupole coefficient $K_2 = (1/B\rho)(\partial^2 B_y)/(\partial x^2)$ (default: 0 m⁻³).
- H1 The curvature of the entrance pole face (default: 0 m^{-1}).
- H2 The curvature of the exit pole face (default: 0 m^{-1}). A positive pole face curvature induces a negative sextupole component; i.e. for positive H1 and H2 the centres of curvature of the pole faces are placed inside the magnet.

```
BR: RBEND,L=5.5,ANGLE=+0.001! Deflection to the rightBD: SBEND,L=5.5,ANGLE=+0.001,TILT! Deflection downBL: SBEND,L=5.5,ANGLE=-0.001! Deflection to the leftBU: SBEND,L=5.5,ANGLE=-0.001,TILT! Deflection up
```





- label: QUADRUPOLE,TYPE=name,L=real,K1=real,TILT=real
- A QUADRUPOLE has three real attributes:
- L The quadrupole length (default: 0 m).
- K1 The quadrupole coefficient $K_1 = (1/B\rho)(\partial B_y/\partial x)$. The default is 0 m⁻². A positive quadrupole strength implies horizontal focussing of positively charged particles.
- TILT The roll angle about the longitudinal axis (default: 0 rad, i. e. a normal quadrupole). A skewed quadrupole is defined by entering TILT with no value; this implies a roll of $\pi/4$ rad about the s-axis. A positive angle represents a clockwise rotation.

Example:

QF: QUADRUPOLE,L=1.5,K1=0.001

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

15





label: SEXTUPOLE,TYPE=name,L=real,K2=real,TILT=real

A SEXTUPOLE has three real attributes:

L The sextupole length (default: 0 m).

- K2 The sextupole coefficient $K_2 = (1/B\rho)(\partial^2 B_y/\partial x^2)$ (default: 0 m⁻³).
- TILT The roll angle about the longitudinal axis (default: 0 rad, i. e. a normal sextupole). A skewed sextupole is defined by entering TILT with no value; this implies a roll of $\pi/6$ rad about the *s*-axis. A positive angle represents a clockwise rotation.

Example:

S: SEXTUPOLE,L=0.4,K2=0.00134

Octupoles



label: OCTUPOLE, TYPE=name, L=real, K3=real, TILT=real

An OCTUPOLE has three real attributes:

- L The octupole length (default: 0 m).
- K3 The octupole coefficient $K_3 = (1/B
 ho)(\partial^3 B_y/\partial x^3)$ (default: 0 m⁻⁴).
- TILT The roll angle about the longitudinal axis (default: 0 rad, i. e. a normal octupole). A skewed octupole is defined by entering TILT with no value; this implies a roll of $\pi/8$ rad about the s-axis. A positive angle represents a clockwise rotation.

Example:

03: OCTUPOLE,L=0.3,K3=0.543

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

17

Beam lines		SNS
		SPALLATION KEURON SOURCE
Name	Meaning	Section
LINE	Beam line definition	4.1
SEQUENCE	Beam line sequence	4.2
LIST	Replacement list definition	4.6

The simplest beam line consists of single elements:

label: LINE=(member{,member})

Example:

L: LINE=(A,B,C,D,A,D) USE,L

The USE command tells MAD to perform all subsequent calculations on the sequence

A,B,C,D,A,D





Instead of referring to an element, a beam line member can refer to another beam line defined in a separate command. This provides a shorthand notation for sub-lines which occur several times in a beam line. Lines and sub-lines can be entered in any order, but when a line is expanded, all its sub-lines must be known. Example:

L: LINE=(A,B,S,B,A,S,A,B) S: LINE=(C,D,E) USE,L

This example produces the following expansion steps:

1. Replace sub-line S:

(A,B,(C,D,E),B,A,(C,D,E),A,B)

2. Omit parentheses:

A,B,C,D,E,B,A,C,D,E,A,B

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

19



Action commands



		STALLANUX
Name	Meaning	Section
USE	Select working beam line	5.1
COGUESS	Enter guess for initial closed orbit	5.2
BEAM	Beam data: particle energy and charge, emittances etc.	5.3
PRINT	Select print positions	5.4
SELECT	Select output positions	5.4
SURVEY	Print geometry of machine	5.6
TWISS	Print lattice functions	5.7
IBS	Intra-beam scattering	5.8
EMIT	Equilibrium emittances	5.9.1
EIGEN	Eigenvectors for normal modes	5.9.2
ENVELOPE	Beam envelopes in 3 degrees of freedom	5.9.2
TWISS3	Mais-Ripken lattice functions	5.9.2
DYNAMIC	Dynamic normal form analysis	5.10
STATIC	Static normal form analysis	5.10
SPLIT	Interpolate within element for OPTICS command	5.5
OPTICS	Output lattice functions and element strengths for control	5.11
	system	
SECTORMAP	TRANSPORT map for sectors of the ring	5.13

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

21



The USE statement specifies the beam line and its range to be used in subsequent commands. It must be entered before any physics computation is requested. It has the form

- USE,PERIOD=line,RANGE=range,SYMM,SUPER=integer
- The USE statement has four attributes:
- PERIOD The beam line to be expanded (see Section 4.5). If omitted, the previous line is used without a new expansion.
- RANGE The range of the beam line to be used. If PERIOD is given and RANGE is omitted, the range is the complete line. If PERIOD and RANGE are both omitted, the previous line and range are assumed. If RANGE is given, but PERIOD omitted, a new range is selected from the previous line.
- SYMM A logical flag. If set, subsequent calculations are made as if the mirror image had been appended to the range.
- SUPER An integer. Specifies the number of superperiods desired in the calculations. Quantities like tunes, chromaticities, and the like which refer to the machine circumference will be scaled with the value of SUPER (default: 1).

Example:

OCT: LINE=(...) ! one octant of the machine
 USE,OCT,SYMM,SUPER=4



USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

PRINT and SELECT statement



Each position in the beam line carries several associated selection flags. They are initially cleared by the USE command when the beam line is expanded. Output is selected by setting some of these flags by one of the commands

PRINT, RANGE=range, CLASS=name, PATTERN=string, FULL, CLEAR SELECT, FLAG=name, RANGE=range, CLASS=name, PATTERN=string, FULL, CLEAR

USE,OCT	! print at beginning and end only
PRINT,#35/37	! print at positions number 35 to 37
SELECT, TWISS, FULL	! set all print flags
PRINT, CLEAR	! clear all print flags
PRINT,OCT	! set all print flags
PRINT, CELL [3], CLEAR	! clear all flags,
	! then set flags for all of third CEL

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

23





The OPTICS command writes one table line for each element selected by SELECT, OPTICS. The output line contains the element parameters and the lattice functions for the element centre or its exit. The command

SPLIT,NAME=name,FRACTION=real&
 [,RANGE=range][,CLASS=name][,PATTERN=string][,FULL][,CLEAR]

selects additional positions for output of the lattice functions only. The positions are given the name NAME, and element parameters in those positions are output as zero. The elements are selected as by SELECT, and FRACTION specifies a fraction of the length of the element where output is desired. Any number of points can be selected in the same element; output occurs in order of increasing *s*. Example:

SPLIT,NAME=B1,FRACTION=0.25,CLASS=B SPLIT,NAME=B2,FRACTION=0.5,CLASS=B SPLIT,NAME=B3,FRACTION=0.75,CLASS=B

Gives three lines for each B, at 1/4, 1/2, and 3/4 of its length respectively and assigns the names B1,B2,B3 to the three positions.

TWISS Statement

The simplest form of the TWISS command is

TWISS, DELTAP=real{,value},CHROM,COUPLE,& TAPE=file=name,SAVE=table=name

It computes the periodic solution for the specified beam line for all values of DELTAP entered (or for DELTAP = 0, if none is entered). Example:

USE,OCT,SYMM,SUPER=4 TWISS,DELTAP=0.001,CHROM,TAPE=OPTICS

This example computes the periodic solution for the linear lattice and chromatic functions for the beam line OCT, made symmetric and repeated in four superperiods. The DELTAP value used is 0.001. Apart from saving computing time, it is equivalent to the command sequence

RING: LINE=(4*(DCT,-DCT)) USE,RING
As from Version 8.19 of MAD the following variables are accessible after calling TWISS:
QX, QY, QX', QY',
ALFX, ALFY, BETX, BETY,
XO, PXO, YO, PYO, TO, PTO.

The first five are global variable denoting horizontal and vertical tunes, horizontal and vertical chromaticities respectively, while the local Twiss parameters and closed orbit coordinates refer to the beginning of the beam line being USEd.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

25





When DATAVRSN=TWISS, the third to fifth lines of the position records have the format

(5E16.9/5E16.9/5E16.9)

and contain the quantities

ALFX	BETX	MUX	DX	DPX
ALFY	BETY	MUY	DY	DPY
Х	РХ	Y	РҮ	SUML

in this order. The trailer record has three lines in the format

(3E16.9/5E16.9/5E16.9)

and contains

DELTAP	GAMTR	С		
COSMUX	QX	QX'	BXMAX	DXMAX
COSMUY	QY	QY'	BYMAX	DYMAX

in this order. If the COUPLE option was given, the output has the same format, but the quantities given refer to the two possible modes (1,2) instead of referring to the two planes (x, y).

OPTICS Statement



27

Control system applications often require tables with arbitrary selections of element data and/or lattice functions. Such tables can be generated by the command

OPTICS, BETX=real,ALFX=real,MUX=real,& BETY=real,ALFY=real,MUY=real,& DX=real,PX=real,DY=real,DPY=real,& X=real,PX=real,Y=real,PY=real,T=real,PT=real& WX=real,PHIX=real,DMUX=real,& DDX=real,DHY=real,DMUY=real,& LINE=beam=line,BETAO=name,CENTRE,& FILENAME=file=name,DELTAP=real:real:real,& COLUMNS=name{,name}

CENTRE Normally output occurs at the exit of each selected element. If the CENTRE flag is on, output occurs at the centre of each selected element;

FILENAME The output is written on the file file-name (default: optics). Note that the resulting table is written on this file and erased from the computer's memory. In order to plot it, it must be read back by an RETRIEVE command.

COLUMNS Up to 50 table columns may be selected by name for output. All optical and chromatic functions listed in Sections 1.5.1, 1.5.3, and 1.5.4 are accepted. Further possibilities are:

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

PTICS (Jutput			SN
@ GAMTR	%f 64.3336			SPALLATION NEUTRON SOUR
@ ALFA	%f 0.241615E	-03		-
@ XIY	%f455678			
@ XIX	%f 2.05279			
@ QY	%f 0.250049			
@ QX	%f 0.249961			
@ CIRCUM	%f 79.0000			
@ DELTA	%f 0.00000E	+00		
@ COMMENT	%20s "DATA FOR	TEST CELL"		
@ ORIGIN	%24s "MAD 8.01	IBM - VM/	CMS"	
@ DATE	%08s "19/06/89	н		
@ TIME	%08s "09.47.40	н		
* NAME	S	BETX	BETY	
\$ %16s	%f	%f	%f	
B1	36.6600	24.8427	126.380	
SF1	37.6200	23.8830	130.925	
QF1	39.5000	23.6209	132.268	
B2	75.8000	124.709	25.2153	
SD1	77.1200	130.933	23.8718	
QD1	79.0000	132.277	23.6098	

File Handling



Name	Meaning	Section
ASSIGN	Assign standard streams to files	7.2
SAVE	Save machine structure in MAD format	7.3
CALL	Read alternate input file	7.3
RETURN	Return to calling file	7.3
EXCITE	Read element excitations	7.4
INCREMENT	Increment element excitations	7.4
ARCHIVE	Archive an internal table	7.5
RETRIEVE	Retrieve an internal table	7.5
PACKMEMORY	Garbage removal	7.6
STATUS	Show status of files	7.7
POOLDUMP	Dump data pool to disk	7.8
POOLLOAD	Reload data pool from disk	7.8

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

29



The SAVE command

SAVE, FILENAME=string, PATTERN=string

causes all beam element, beam line, and parameter definitions to be written on the named file. The output format is similar to the normal input format. The file may be read again in the same run. The attributes are

FILENAME The name of the file to be written (default: save).

The CALL command

CALL, FILENAME=string

serves to read an alternate input file. Input continues on that file until a RETURN statement or end of file is encountered. The attribute is

FILENAME The name of the file to be read (default: save).

Example:

CALL, FILENAME=STRUCT

PLOT command



The SETPLOT command allows to specify parameters common to all subsequent plots:

```
SETPLOT, FONT=integer,LWIDTH=real,XSIZE=real,YSIZE=real,&
ASCALE=real,LSCALE=real,SSCALE=real,TSCALE=real,POST=integer
```

The PLOT command produces one or several frames (pictures) at a time:

PLOT,VAXIS=name,VAXIS1=name,VAXIS2=name,VAXIS3=name,VAXIS4=name,& HAXIS=name,BARS=integer,STYLE=integer,SYMBOL=integer,& MAXPLOT=integer,SORT=logical,SPLINE=logical,MULTIPLE=logical,& FFT=logical,HMIN=real,HMAX=real,VMIN=real,VMAX=real,& TABLE=name,TITLE=string,PARAM=name,RANGE=range,DELTAP=real,& PARTICLE=integer,TURNS=integer

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

31

Matching modules		
Name	Function	Section
CELL	Initialise cell matching	12.1
MATCH	Initialise insertion matching	12.1
WEIGHT	Set matching weights	12.2
CONSTRAINT	Impose matching constraint	12.3
COUPLE	Impose periodicity between two points	12.3
GLOBAL	Constraint on global quantities	12.4
GWEIGHT	Weights for Global Constraints	12.4
VARY	Vary parameter	12.2
FIX	Fix parameter value	12.2
RMATRIX	Constraint on linear matrix	12.3
TMATRIX	Constraint on second-order terms	12.3
LEVEL	Set print level	12.5
LMDIF	Minimisation by gradient method	12.6
MIGRAD	Minimisation by gradient method	12.6
SIMPLEX	Minimisation by simplex method	12.6
ENDMATCH	Leave matching mode	12.1

Matching a periodic cell



In the first mode, called cell matching, a periodic cell is adjusted. The periodicity is enforced exactly, and constraints are fulfilled in the least squares sense. Cell matching mode is initiated by the CELL command:

CELL,DELTAP=real,ORBIT

It has two attributes:

DELTAP The value of the momentum error $\Delta p/p_0 c$ for which the match should be performed (default: 0).

ORBIT If this flag is true, the closed orbit is also matched.

Examples:

! Match a simple periodic cell USE,PERIOD=OCTANT,RANGE=CELL1 CELL,ORBIT

! Match a symmetric and periodic cell with repetitions USE,HALFCELL,SYMM,SUPER=5 CELL

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

33

Matching an insertion



MATCH,LINE=beam-line,MUX=real,MUY=real,DELTAP=real,ORBIT

- The initial phases angles may be specified by:
- MUX The initial horizontal phase μ_x ,
- MUY The initial vertical phase μ_y .
- DELTAP The value of the momentum error $\Delta E/p_0 c$ for which the match should be performed (default: 0).

ORBIT If this flag is true, the closed orbit is also matched.

Matching insertion example



CELL1: LINE=(...) INSERT: LINE=(...) USE,INSERT MATCH,LINE=CELL1,MUX=9.345,MUY=9.876,ORBIT

This matches the beam line INSERT. Initial conditions are given by the periodic solution for the beam line CELL1.

It is also possible to enter numerical initial values. The MATCH command then has the form

MATCH, BETX=real,ALFX=real,MUX=real,& BETY=real,ALFY=real,MUY=real,& X=real,PX=real,Y=real,PY=real,& DX=real,DY=real,DPX=real,DPY=real,& DELTAP=real,ORBIT

The ENDMATCH command terminates the matching section and deletes all tables related to a tracking run:

ENDMATCH

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

35



i parameter to so tarrea to specifica sy the time command

VARY,NAME=variable,STEP=real,LOWER=real,UPPER=real

It has four attributes:

NAME The name of the parameter or attribute to be varied (see Section 2.4.10),

- STEP The approximate initial step size for varying the parameter. If the step is not entered, MAD tries to find a reasonable step, but this may not always work.
- LOWER Lower limit for the parameter (optional),

UPPER Upper limit for the parameter (optional).

Examples:

```
VARY,PAR1,STEP=1.0E-4 ! vary global parameter PAR1
VARY,QL11[K1],STEP=1.0E-6 ! vary attribute K1 of the QL11
VARY,Q15[K1],STEP=0.0001,L0WER=0.0,UPPER=0.08 ! vary with limits
```

Constraints



Simple constraints are imposed by the CONSTRAINT command. It can take three forms. The first form is

CONSTRAINT,[RANGE=range,][CLASS=name,][PATTERN=string,]& LINE=beam-line,MUX=real,MUY=real

The second form of the CONSTRAINT command is

CONSTRAINT,[RANGE=range,][CLASS=name,][PATTERN=string,]& BETAO=betaO-name,MUX=real,MUY=real The third form CONSTRAINT,[RANGE=range,][CLASS=name,][PATTERN=string,]&

BETX=real,ALFX=real,MUX=real,& BETY=real,ALFY=real,MUY=real,& X=real,PX=real,Y=real,PY=real,T=real,PT=real,& DX=real,DPX=real,DY=real,DPX=real

allows one to enter numerical values for any optical function(s) in place.

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

37



WEIGHT, BETX=real,ALFX=real,MUX=real,& BETY=real,ALFY=real,MUY=real,& X=real,PX=real,Y=real,PT=real,PT=real,& DX=real,DPX=real,DY=real,DPY=real

Default	Matching	Weights

name	weight	name	weight	name	weight	name	weight
BETX	1 .0	ALFX	10.0	MUX	10.0		
BETY	1.0	ALFY	10.0	MUY	10.0		
Х	10.0	PX	100.0	Y	10.0	PY	100.0
Т	0.0	PT	0.0				
DX	10.0	DPX	100.0	DY	10.0	DPY	100.0

LMDIF Matching method



The LMDIF command minimises the sum of squares of the constraint functions using their numerical derivatives:

LMDIF,CALLS=integer,TOLERANCE=real

It is the fastest minimisation method available in MAD. The command has two attributes: CALLS The maximum number of calls to the penalty function (default: 1000). TOLERANCE The desired tolerance for the minimum (default: 10^{-6}). Example:

LMDIF, CALLS=2000, TOLERANCE=1.0E-8

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

39

MIGRAD Matching methods

The MIGRAD command minimises the penalty function using its numerical derivatives of the sum of squares:

MIGRAD, CALLS=integer, TOLERANCE=real, STRATEGY=1

The command has three attributes:

CALLS The maximum number of calls to the penalty function (default: 1000).

TOLERANCE The desired tolerance for the minimum (default: 10^{-6}).

STRATEGY A code for the strategy to be used (default: 1). The user is referred to the MINUIT manual for explanations [23].

Example:

MIGRAD, CALLS=2000, TOLERANCE=1.0E-8

SIMPLEX Matching methods



The SIMPLEX command minimises the penalty function by the simplex method: SIMPLEX, CALLS=integer, TOLERANCE=real Details are given in the description of the MINUIT program [23]. The command has two attributes: CALLS The maximum number of calls to the penalty function (default: 1000). TOLERANCE The desired tolerance for the minimum (default: 10⁻⁶). Example: SIMPLEX, CALLS=2000, TOLERANCE=1.0E-8

USPAS 2004, Madison (WI), J.Wei and Y.Papaphilippou

41